

The first view of δ Scuti and γ Doradus stars with the TESS mission

V. Antoci,^{1*} M. S. Cunha², D. M. Bowman³, S. Murphy^{4,1}, D. W. Kurtz⁵, T. R. Bedding^{4,1}, C. C. Borre¹, S. Christophe⁶, J. J. Daszyńska-Daszkiewicz⁷, L. Fox-Machado⁸, A. García Hernández^{9,10}, H. Ghasemi¹¹, R. Handberg¹, H. Hansen¹, A. Hasanzadeh¹², G. Houdek¹, C. Johnston³, A. B. Justesen¹, F. Kahraman Alicavus¹³, K. Kotysz⁷, D. Latham¹⁴, J.M. Matthews¹⁵, J. Mønster¹, E. Niemczura⁷, E. Paunzen¹⁶, J. P. Sánchez Arias¹⁷, A. Pigulski⁷, J. Pepper¹⁹, T. Richey-Yowell¹⁹, H. Safari¹¹, S. Seager^{20,21,22}, B. Smalley²³, T. Shutt²⁴, A. Sódor^{25,26}, J.-C. Suárez^{27,28}, A. Tkachenko³, T. Wu^{29,30,31}, K. Zwintz³², S. Barceló Forteza,³³ E. Brunsden²⁴, Z. Bognár^{25,26}, D. L. Buzasi³⁴, S. Chowdhury¹³, P. De Cat³⁵, J. Evans²³, Z. Guo^{36,13}, J. A. Guzik³⁷, N. Jevtic³⁸, P. Lampens³⁵, M. Lares Martiz¹⁰, C. Lovekin³⁹, G. Li⁴, G. M. Mirouh⁴⁰, D. Mkrtichian⁴¹, M. J. P. F. G. Monteiro^{2,42}, J. Nemec⁴³, R. Ouazzani⁶, J. Pascual-Granado¹⁰, D. Reese⁶, M. Rieutord⁴⁴, J. R. Rodon¹⁰, M. Skarka^{45,46}, P. Sowicka¹³, I. Stateva⁴⁷, R. Szabó^{25,26}, and W.W. Weiss⁴⁸

The authors' affiliations are shown in Appendix B.

Accepted XXX. Received YYY; in original form ZZZ

ABSTRACT

We present the first asteroseismic results for δ Scuti and γ Doradus stars observed in Sectors 1 and 2 of the TESS mission. We utilise the 2-min cadence TESS data for a sample of 117 stars to classify their behaviour regarding variability and place them in the Hertzsprung-Russell diagram using Gaia DR2 data. Included within our sample are eponymous members of two pulsator classes, γ Doradus and SX Phoenicis. Our sample of pulsating intermediate-mass stars observed by TESS also allows us to confront theoretical models of pulsation driving in the classical instability strip for the first time and show that mixing processes in the outer envelope play an important role. We derive an empirical estimate of 74% for the relative amplitude suppression factor as a result of the redder TESS passband compared to the *Kepler* mission using a pulsating eclipsing binary system. Furthermore, our sample contains many high-frequency pulsators, allowing us to probe the frequency variability of hot young δ Scuti stars, which were lacking in the *Kepler* mission data set, and identify promising targets for future asteroseismic modelling. The TESS data also allow us to refine the stellar parameters of SX Phoenicis, which is believed to be a blue straggler.

Key words: asteroseismology – techniques: photometric: TESS – binaries: chemically peculiar – stars: interiors – stars: variables: δ Scuti: variables: γ Doradus

1 INTRODUCTION

One of the important and long-standing goals within astronomy is to constrain the largely unknown interior physics of stars across the Hertzsprung–Russell (HR) diagram, and ultimately improve our un-

derstanding of stellar evolution. In particular, the interior rotation, mixing and angular momentum profiles represent significant uncertainties in theoretical models of stellar structure. All these uncertainties propagate from the main-sequence into later stages as these strongly influence the evolution of a star (Maeder 2009; Meynet et al. 2013; Aerts et al. 2018a). The only observational method for determining these unknowns in models is asteroseismology, which

* E-mail: antoci@phys.au.dk

uses stellar oscillations to probe the sub-surface physics of stars (Aerts et al. 2010). Asteroseismology allows us to test internal stellar physics across the entire HR diagram, since different classes of pulsating stars exist at various stages of stellar evolution.

Stellar pulsations in intermediate-mass stars are principally of two main types, characterised by their respective restoring mechanisms: pressure (p) modes, where pressure forces restore perturbations, and gravity (g) modes, where buoyancy does (Aerts et al. 2010). However, for moderately and rapidly rotating stars, the Coriolis force is also important as a restoring force, leading to gravito-inertial modes, or Rossby (r) modes (Saio et al. 2018a). Typically p modes are most sensitive to the stellar envelope whereas g modes probe the near-core region, with pulsations described by spherical harmonics such that each p or g mode has a radial order, n , angular degree, ℓ , and azimuthal order, m .

In the last two decades, space telescopes such as WIRE (Buzasi et al. 2005), MOST (Matthews 2007), CoRoT (Auvergne et al. 2009), *Kepler* (Koch et al. 2010) and BRIDE (Weiss et al. 2014) have provided the necessary continuous, long-term and high-precision time series photometry for the identification of large numbers of individual pulsation modes in single stars. Hence asteroseismology has been successfully applied to hundreds of solar-type and tens of thousands of red giant stars (Chaplin & Miglio 2013), as well as dozens of pulsating white dwarfs and intermediate-mass main-sequence stars (e.g., Aerts et al. 2017, 2018a; Li et al. 2019a).

Among the intermediate-mass main-sequence A and F stars there are different pulsator classes, such as the δ Sct, γ Dor and rapidly oscillating Ap (roAp) stars. These classes are located at the intersection of the main-sequence and classical instability strip in the HR diagram, and exhibit p modes and/or g modes pulsations (Breger 2000; Aerts et al. 2010). The high-frequency ($\nu \gtrsim 4 \text{ d}^{-1}$), low-radial-order p modes in δ Sct stars¹ are excited by the opacity mechanism operating in the He II ionisation zone, as well as by turbulent pressure, which has also been shown to be responsible for excitation of moderate radial order p modes within the classical instability strip (Antoci et al. 2014; Xiong et al. 2016). On the other hand, low-frequency ($\nu \lesssim 4 \text{ d}^{-1}$) g modes in γ Dor stars are excited by a convective flux modulation mechanism (Guzik et al. 2000; Grigahcène et al. 2010; Dupret et al. 2005). The instability regions of the δ Sct and γ Dor stars partially overlap in the HR diagram (Dupret et al. 2005), and include stars on the pre-main-sequence, the main-sequence and post-main-sequence with masses in the range of $1.2 \leq M \leq 2.5 M_{\odot}$.

Space telescopes have provided continuous, long-term light curves of tens of thousands of pulsating stars. In particular, *Kepler* heralded a large and important step forward for asteroseismology of δ Sct and γ Dor stars, since its 4-yr light curves provided unprecedented frequency resolution and duty cycle, both of which are necessary for accurate mode identification. An unexpected discovery was that many pulsating A and F stars are hybrid pulsators exhibiting both p and g modes (Grigahcène et al. 2010; Uytterhoeven et al. 2011; Balona & Dziembowski 2011), although pure pulsators of both types do exist (see e.g. Van Reeth et al. 2015a; Bowman 2017; Barceló Forteza et al. 2018; Li et al. 2019a). The high incidence of hybrid stars remains unexplained, particularly if a single excitation mechanism should be responsible for both the p and g modes in hybrid stars (Balona et al. 2015; Xiong et al. 2016). This incomplete understanding of pulsational excitation in A and F stars is further complicated by the observation that a significant fraction

of hybrids, γ Dor and δ Sct stars are hotter than their theoretically predicted instability regions, and that constant stars also exist within the δ Sct instability domain (Bowman & Kurtz 2018; Murphy et al. 2019).

A major advance in asteroseismology has been the characterisation of internal rotation profiles of dozens of A and F stars using their g mode pulsations (Van Reeth et al. 2016; Aerts et al. 2017; Li et al. 2019a). From these studies, it has been inferred that a significant fraction of intermediate-mass main-sequence stars have, at first, slight near-uniform radial rotation profiles (e.g., Kurtz et al. 2014; Saio et al. 2015; Van Reeth et al. 2016, 2018; Ouazzani et al. 2017; Christophe et al. 2018; Li et al. 2019a,b), however in order to draw a final conclusion detailed studies in the manner of, e.g., Ouazzani et al. (2012) and Hatta et al. (2019) are required. Furthermore, the large number of excited g modes in these stars allow the physics of convective core overshooting and chemical mixing to be probed, as well as accurate determinations of stellar masses and ages using forward seismic modelling (Schmid & Aerts 2016; Aerts et al. 2018b; Mombarg et al. 2019). These studies clearly demonstrate the asteroseismic potential of high quality space-based observations of intermediate-mass stars.

Although the pulsation mode density is typically high in δ Sct stars hampering mode identification, some stars have been shown to show regularities in their spectra consistent with the so-called large frequency separation which may aid in mode identification and modelling of p modes (see, e.g., García Hernández et al. 2015, 2017; Paparó et al. 2016a,b; Barceló Forteza et al. 2018). The large frequency separation is the separation between consecutive radial order modes of the same degree and is a well-known quantity in the context of Sun and Sun-like stars (Aerts et al. 2010). When using the same quantity for δ Sct stars, special care must be taken as these stars typically pulsate in lower radial order modes, where the large frequency separation deviates from the one measured at higher radial orders. Nevertheless, it was shown that these patterns are also proportional to the mean density of the stars (Suárez et al. 2014) which was observationally confirmed (García Hernández et al. 2015, 2017).

More recently, the successful launch of TESS and the delivery of the first TESS mission data (Ricker et al. 2014, 2015), which are in the southern ecliptic hemisphere, provide new insight into pulsation and rotation in intermediate-mass stars (e.g., Cunha et al. 2019; Sikora et al. 2019). The primary mission of TESS follows the groundwork of the *Kepler* mission, and aims to detect planetary transits in the brightest stars across the sky. TESS data cover almost the entire sky ($|b| > 6 \text{ deg}$) at a cadence of 30 min and are publicly available to the community in the form of full frame images. However, a sub-sample of stars were selected to be observed at a 2-min cadence in each TESS observing sector and light curves of these stars are being delivered to the community a few months after observations have been obtained. Therefore, TESS provides a unique homogenous data set to test and constrain much of the currently unknown physics inside main-sequence A and F stars.

In this paper, we demonstrate the asteroseismic potential of TESS for the study of intermediate-mass stars, specifically for δ Sct and γ Dor stars. We show a selection of different types of pulsator classes, including some of the class prototypes, and the significant advantages and inference that can be achieved using TESS mission data. In addition, we show how theoretical models regarding the excitation of pulsations in A and F stars can be tested. In the following subsections, we briefly outline the different pulsator classes within the A and F stars.

¹ The exact boundary between p and g modes depends on the stellar parameters, and especially the rotation of the star.

2 THE PULSATION ZOO OF A AND F STARS

It is estimated that approximately half of the stars within the classical instability strip are pulsating at the μ mag photometric precision provided by space telescopes (Balona & Dziembowski 2011; Murphy et al. 2019). It remains a mystery why the other half do not show pulsations, especially at that precision. Among the intermediate-mass stars there is a veritable zoo of different pulsator classes. The heterogeneity of variability in these stars allows us to test different physical phenomena, such as rotation, diffusion and convection. Obviously, this is because the differences in observed pulsation characteristics are caused by differences in stellar structure, evolutionary stage and/or metallicity. Here, we briefly discuss the zoo of pulsating A and F stars.

2.1 Ap and Am stars

Stars within the δ Sct instability strip are predicted to pulsate in p modes excited by the opacity mechanism operating in the He II ionisation zone (Cox 1963; Aerts et al. 2010) as well as by turbulent pressure (e.g., Antoci et al. 2014). However, whether a star is unstable to pulsation strongly depends on mixing processes, such as rotation or the presence of a strong magnetic field, which can suppress the excitation of heat-driven (opacity mechanism) pulsation modes.

Slow rotation in intermediate-mass stars is typically caused by one of two mechanisms: (i) magnetic braking by a large-scale magnetic field; or (ii) tidal braking due to tidal forces caused by a close companion. Approximately 10 per cent of A-type stars are observed to have a strong, large-scale magnetic field, which is likely of fossil origin and causes magnetic braking during the pre-main-sequence stage (Stępień 2000). The slow rotation and strong magnetic field allow atomic diffusion – radiative levitation and gravitational settling of different ions – to give rise to surface spectral peculiarities (Abt 2000), which define the sub-classes of the magnetic Ap stars, and suppress the excitation of low radial-order p modes by the opacity mechanism (Saio 2005). However, a small fraction of these magnetic Ap stars pulsate in high-radial order modes; these are the rapidly oscillating Ap (roAp) stars (Kurtz 1982). The excitation of low-degree, high-order magneto-acoustic modes in roAp stars provides the opportunity to study the effects of pulsation and rotation in the presence of strong magnetic fields (Cunha & Gough 2000; Cunha 2001; Bigot & Dziembowski 2002; Cunha 2006; Saio & Gautschy 2004; Saio 2005). We refer the reader to Cunha et al. (2019) for the first results on roAp stars based on TESS data.

The second main sub-class of slowly rotating A and F stars are the non-magnetic Am stars (Conti 1970; Breger 1970; Kurtz 1978; Aurière et al. 2010). The presence of a close companion causes tidal braking such that atomic diffusion and gravitational settling separate chemical elements in the stellar envelope, deplete helium from the excitation region and inhibit the efficiency of pulsational excitation (Michaud 1970; Baglin et al. 1973; Turcotte et al. 2000). However, recent studies focussed on the incidence of pulsations in Am stars have found a non-negligible fraction pulsating in p modes (Smalley et al. 2011, 2017) with a significant amount of excitation connected to the turbulent pressure in the hydrogen rather than the He II ionisation layer (Antoci et al. 2014; Smalley et al. 2017). We present our analysis of TESS photometry of pulsating Am stars in Section 5.

2.2 Metal-poor stars

Among the A-type pulsators are two classes of metal-poor stars: the λ Boo stars and SX Phe stars. The λ Boo stars are a spectroscopically defined class in which refractory chemical elements – those with high condensation temperatures in pre-stellar disks – are underabundant by 0.5 to 2 dex, but volatile elements such as C and O show solar abundance (Heiter 2002; Andrievsky et al. 2002). The peculiarity of these stars is believed to originate from selective accretion of gas with little dust, but the specifics remain uncertain (Venn & Lambert 1990; King 1994; Kama et al. 2015), and it is not clear whether the peculiarity is restricted to surface layers only. Approximately 2 per cent of A stars are λ Boo stars (Gray & Corbally 1998), for which a catalogue has been compiled by Murphy et al. (2015). At present, there is no known difference between the pulsational characteristics of λ Boo δ Sct stars and ‘normal’ δ Sct stars.

SX Phe stars, on the other hand, are not a spectroscopically defined class but comprise Population II δ Sct stars, though not all of them are metal poor (Nemec et al. 2017). Many SX Phe stars are identified as blue stragglers in clusters, while those in the field are often identified kinematically. The dominant pulsations in SX Phe stars are typically high-amplitude radial modes (Nemec & Mateo 1990; Templeton et al. 2002; Murphy et al. 2013) but can also have low amplitudes (Kurtz et al. 2014). We analyse TESS data for SX Phe itself in section 6.3.

2.3 High-amplitude δ Sct stars

High-amplitude δ Scuti stars (HADS) are usually recognized by their large amplitude, non-sinusoidal light curves and small rotational velocities, but their most essential property is the dominant presence of the fundamental and/or first overtone radial mode(s) (McNamara 2000; Breger 2000). In the HR diagram, ground-based observations indicated that HADS occupy a narrow strip in the centre of δ Sct instability region, perhaps because the efficiency of mode excitation is maximised in this region (Petersen & Christensen-Dalsgaard 1996). However, space photometry revealed that some HADS can be found throughout the entire instability strip (Balona 2016).

Some studies have investigated whether the properties of HADS could be explained by them being in a post-main-sequence stage of stellar evolution (e.g. Petersen & Christensen-Dalsgaard 1996; Bowman 2017), since they obey a period-luminosity relation which allows an independent distance determination for some stellar systems (e.g., McNamara et al. 2007; Cohen & Sarajedini 2012; Kopacki 2015; Ziaali et al. 2019), such as globular clusters in which SX Phe stars are abundant. However, there remains no clear consensus on a physical difference between HADS and their lower amplitude δ Sct counterparts. Important remaining questions concerning HADS include: the excitation (e.g., Poretti et al. 2011); the relation between the single and double-mode HADS and the stellar parameters; and whether the pulsational properties of SX Phe stars differ from those of Population I HADS. The all-sky TESS sample of HADS will be particularly useful for addressing these questions and will extend the study of a handful of *Kepler* stars, particularly the seismic modelling of HADS such as those by Breger et al. (2011) and Balona et al. (2012).

The TESS sample of HADS and SX Phe stars in Sectors 1 and 2 includes at least 19 stars proposed for 2-min cadence observations based on a review of the ASAS-3 (Pojmański 1997, 2002) database (Pigulski & Kotysz, in preparation). The sample includes several

known HADS, e.g., ZZ Mic, RS Gru, BS Aqr and SX Phe itself (see Sect. 6.3). An example of a known HADS star observed by TESS, HD 224852 (TIC 355687188), is presented in detail in Sect. 6.6.

2.4 Pre-main-sequence stars

Intermediate-mass pre-main-sequence stars within the instability regions can become unstable to p and g-modes during their evolution from the birthline to the zero-age main-sequence (ZAMS). Pre-main-sequence stars differ from their main-sequence and post main-sequence analogues of similar mass because of their interior structure (Marconi & Palla 1998). Since pulsation modes carry information about the inner parts of stars and show a different pattern for stars on the pre- or the post-main-sequence phases (Suran et al. 2001), it is possible to use asteroseismology to constrain the evolutionary stage of a star (Guenther et al. 2007). This is important because the evolutionary tracks for stars before and after the ZAMS intersect in this part of the HR diagram making it impossible to constrain a star’s evolutionary stage from only its effective temperature and luminosity.

In general, without asteroseismology, the pre-main-sequence stage of a star in this region of the HR diagram can only be assessed using certain observational features as indicators. Such indicators for young stars are emission lines in the spectra, infrared or ultraviolet excesses, X-ray fluxes, and membership of a young open cluster or a star-forming region, i.e., younger than approximately 10 million years (Sloan et al. 2004). However, some of these features can also be misleading, since the comparatively old, low-mass asymptotic giant branch (AGB) and post-AGB stars show similar observational properties and populate the same region in the HR diagram as young stellar objects (Kamath et al. 2014). Therefore, special care has to be taken when investigating the potential early evolutionary stage of a given star.

Currently, about 80 pre-main-sequence stars are known to be p- and g-mode pulsators of three different types: Slowly Pulsating B (SPB) stars (Gruber et al. 2012), δ Sct stars (e.g., Zwintz et al. 2014) and γ Dor stars (Zwintz et al. 2013). Based on their basic properties, such as spectral types and effective temperature, TIC 150394126 and TIC 382551468 are two candidates for δ Sct and γ Dor pulsations. The former has an infrared excess (Sloan et al. 2004) and the latter is potentially an Herbig Ae/Be type star, identifying them as likely being in their pre-main-sequence evolutionary stages. We analyse these stars in Section 6.5.

3 FREQUENCY ANALYSES OF TESS DATA

The TESS data analysed in this work are the 2-min ‘Simple Aperture Photometry’ (SAP) light curves provided by the TESS Science Team, which are publicly available from the Mikulski Archive for Space Telescopes (MAST)². As part of the framework of the asteroseismic working group on pulsating stars of spectral type A and F within the TESS Asteroseismic Science consortium (TASC)³, 117 known pulsating stars were allocated observing slots at a 2-min cadence by the TESS Mission in Sectors 1 and 2 of its 1-yr observing campaign in the southern ecliptic hemisphere. There were more δ Sct stars observed in the first two sectors, but for this study we decided to concentrate on those that were allocated to the TASC




Figure 1. Comparison between the frequency extraction pipelines from Leuven (blue squares) and Aarhus (red circles) showing good agreement between the two different pipelines. The amplitude values are offset for clarity. Upper panel: HD 210111 (TIC 229059574). Lower Panel: TX Ret (TIC 38587180).

working group on A and F stars (TASC WG 4). Because g modes have long periods, we did not specifically include known γ Dor stars in our 2-min target list, since these stars will be observed with a cadence of 30 min, which is sufficient to determine their frequencies. We discuss one star that was *not* observed during Sectors 1 and 2 later on. This target is the eponymous star γ Doradus and is discussed in Section 6.7.

We analysed the TESS light curves of our sample in different independent groups, using various frequency extraction software routines. Commonly used programs to perform frequency analysis of light curves are PERIOD04 (Lenz & Breger 2004) and SigSpec (Reegen 2007), while the KU Leuven and Aarhus University ECHO (Extraction of Coherent Oscillations) pipelines were used also. We discuss these pipelines in Sections 3.1 and 3.2, and conclude that they yield compatible results for peaks in the amplitude spectrum with signal-to-noise (S/N) ≥ 4 . A comparison of the significant frequencies identified in two stars by the Leuven and Aarhus pipelines is shown in Fig. 1. We plan to compile a catalogue of pulsating A and F stars observed by TESS at the 2-min cadence in the entire southern ecliptic hemisphere, which will include all significant pulsation frequencies and identification of their combination frequencies.

3.1 The KU Leuven pipeline

The KU Leuven iterative pre-whitening pipeline was used to extract significant periodic variability in time series data. It uses Lomb-Scargle (Scargle 1982) periodogram and multi-frequency non-linear least-squares optimisation to extract significant frequencies and their associated amplitudes and phases. Uncertainties on frequencies, amplitudes and phases are calculated according to Montgomery & O’Donoghue (1999), and corrected for the correlated nature of oversampled time series data following Schwarzenberg-Czerny (2003). The pipeline was developed by Degroote et al. (2009), and improved by Pápics et al. (2012) and Van Reeth et al. (2015b); detailed discussions and applications to pulsating stars observed by CoRoT and *Kepler* were provided by these studies.

With this pipeline, peaks in the amplitude spectrum can be

² <https://archive.stsci.edu> ³ <https://tasoc.dk>

extracted in order of decreasing amplitude or decreasing S/N, as specified by the user. Normally, a lower limit of $S/N \geq 4$ (Breger et al. 1993) is chosen, where the noise is calculated as the average amplitude in a symmetric 1-d^{-1} window in the residual periodogram after pre-whitening. From extensive testing, it has been found that using a window around the extracted peak for calculating a local noise estimate (and hence the S/N) is more pragmatic and reliable for iterative pre-whitening than using a p -value (Pápics et al. 2012). Otherwise, hundreds or even thousands of individual frequencies can be found to be statistically significant, yet with an increasing probability of an artificial frequency having been injected during the prewhitening process into a light curve when the number of iterations becomes large. Typically, this limit is a few hundred frequency extraction iterations for a 4-yr *Kepler* light curve of a δ Sct or γ Dor star, but this depends on the quality and lengths of the data, and assumes that peaks are coherent and so varies from star to star.

3.2 ECHO

The ECHO program (Extraction of CoHerent Oscillations) was developed at Aarhus University. It extracts coherent signals from photometric light curves using an optimized, iterative pre-whitening technique. Coherent signals are iteratively identified using a Lomb-Scargle periodogram that is calculated using the Press & Rybicki (1989) algorithm, which allows a fast computation for unevenly sampled data. The frequency, amplitude and phase of an oscillation is computed using the algorithm described by Frandsen et al. (1995). The statistical uncertainties on the amplitudes, frequencies and phases are calculated according to Montgomery & O’Donoghue (1999). The statistical significances of the extracted signals are estimated from the periodogram using Scargle’s significance criterion (Scargle 1982). The extracted signals are continuously optimized at each iteration, taking into account the influence of one signal on another. This is done by reinserting previously extracted signals back into the pre-whitened light curve and redetermining the maximum amplitude of the peak. This may be slightly different than the previously found value, due to the influence of neighbouring peaks (Handberg 2013).

Using simulated light curves, Balona (2014) showed that iterative pre-whitening can lead to a very large number of false detections. This is because imperfect subtraction of signals will inject new, statistically significant signals into the light curve. For this reason, the reliability of each extracted oscillation is determined by comparing the amplitude of an extracted signal A_f^{ex} to the corresponding amplitude A_f^{og} in the unaltered, original light curve. If the amplitudes do not agree within a certain limit α , such that

$$\alpha < \frac{A_f^{\text{ex}}}{A_f^{\text{og}}} < \frac{1}{\alpha}, \quad (1)$$

the extracted signal is rejected. From simulated light curves, we found that $\alpha = 0.75$ is a suitable value, keeping the number of false detections to a minimum while still extracting most of the real signals present in the light curve. These simulations were based on 4 years of *Kepler* data. For shorter observations, our tests showed that a more conservative factor of $\alpha = 0.8$ is required. This method is similar to that used by Van Reeth et al. (2015b), although they started with $\alpha = 0.5$ and allowed it to vary during pre-whitening. In our use of the Leuven and ECHO pipelines, we enforced a maximum of number of 100 frequencies that could be extracted, although it was not typically needed since peaks became insignificant before

this criterion was met. This is because the pre-whitening of so many peaks can introduce additional signals, and make the results unreliable. The statistical significance of extracted peaks is an important topic that goes beyond the scope of this paper as we are only showing a first view into TESS δ Sct and γ Dor stars. At this stage we are expanding ECHO to also include the ‘traditional’ SNR test.

4 TARGET STARS

In this section, we present the details of all TASC WG4 A and F stars that are not bona fide or suspected Ap or roAp stars observed in a 2-min cadence in Sectors 1 and 2. The variability type for each star was identified, based on TESS data, by visual inspection of the light curves and their Fourier spectra. Spectroscopic and photometric parameters from the literature for all the stars, where available, are assembled in Table A1 in the appendices, including claimed detections of binarity. Note that here we do not evaluate the robustness of these literature values and leave it to the reader to investigate these in detail.

In Table 2, we list the newly derived effective temperatures using the spectral energy distribution method (SED) (see Section 4.1), new spectroscopically derived parameters (see Section 4.2), the dereddened luminosities from Gaia and Hipparcos data (Section 4.3), and the TESS stellar variability type. The latter is one of the following categories: (1) δ Sct star: $\nu \geq 4 \text{ d}^{-1}$; (2) γ Dor star: $\nu \leq 4 \text{ d}^{-1}$; (3) hybrid star: when frequencies were detected in the γ Dor and the δ Sct frequency ranges. Note that in many cases the peaks in the γ Dor range were not resolved and more data are required to fully characterise the frequencies. (4) binarity: eclipses and/or ellipsoidal modulation were detected; (5) rotational variable (rot): variability is consistent with rotational modulation of spotted stellar surfaces. (6) no detection: no significant variability was detected. We also note that ν Pic (TIC 260416268) was observed during sectors 1 and 2 but the amplitude differs significantly between the sectors suggesting a problem in the data extraction.

Table 1: Stellar parameters for the TESS δ Sct and γ Dor pulsators observed at 2-min cadence during the first two pointings (Sectors 1 and 2) in the ecliptic Southern hemisphere. The columns indicate: the TESS Input Catalogue (TIC) number, the TESS magnitude, an alternative identifier of the star, spectroscopic (Spec.) and photometric (Phot) effective temperatures and $\log g$ values and their associated uncertainties from literature respectively. The reference is indicated next to each value. In addition, we list the projected rotational velocity and binarity status as available in literature. The full table is available in the online version in the appendix.

TIC	TESS mag	alternative identifier	$T_{\text{eff}}[K]$ Spec.	$\sigma_{T_{\text{eff}}}$ Spec.	$T_{\text{eff}}[K]$ Phot.	$\sigma_{T_{\text{eff}}}$ Phot.	$\log g$ Spec.	$\sigma_{\log g}$ Spec.	$\log g$ Phot.	$\sigma_{\log g}$ Phot.	$v \sin i$ [km s ⁻¹]	$\sigma_{v \sin i}$ [km s ⁻¹]	binarity
9632550	9.06	BS Aqr	7245 ¹	75 ¹	-	-	3.82 ¹	0.12 ¹	-	-	23 ¹	-	-
12470841	8.44	HD 923	-	-	7832 ²	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12784216	8.92	HD 213125	-	-	6519 ³	178 ³	-	-	4.03 ³	0.26 ³	-	-	-
12974182	6.78	HD 218003	7471 ⁴	95 ⁴	7584 ⁵	208 ⁵	3.86 ⁴	0.18 ⁴	4.05 ⁵	-	-	-	-
...

Table 2: Stellar parameters and variability type of the 2-min cadence TESS δ Scuti and γ Dor pulsators determined in this work. In this table we list the TESS Input Catalogue (TIC) name, an alternative name for each star, the effective temperatures derived using the SED method (Section 4.1), the luminosities derived based on the Gaia and when available Hipparcos parallaxes and the respective uncertainties (Section 4.3). In column 9 we describe the variability type determined from the TESS data. The question mark means that the variability type is uncertain (e.g. due to unresolved peaks). HAGD denotes a High Amplitude γ Dor star. The last column describes the chemical peculiarity as described in [Renson & Manfroid \(2009\)](#). The full table is available in the online version in the appendix.

TIC	alternative identifier	T_{eff} [K] SED	$\sigma_{T_{\text{eff}}}$	$\log(L/L_{\odot})$ Gaia	$\sigma \log(L/L_{\odot})$	$\log(L/L_{\odot})$ HIPPARCOS	$\sigma \log(L/L_{\odot})$	variability type	chem. pec.
9632550	BS Aqr	6490	160	1.61	0.03	2.0	0.9	HADS	
12470841	HD 923	7940	180	1.63	0.02	2.0	0.4	δ Sct? 1 peak only	
12784216	HD 213125	6700	150	1.12	0.01			δ Sct/hybrid?	
12974182	HD 218003	7640	160	1.103	0.008	1.08	0.04	rot?/binary?	
...

¹ [Kunder et al. \(2017\)](#)

² [McDonald et al. \(2012\)](#)

³ [Huber et al. \(2016\)](#)

⁴ [Stevens et al. \(2017\)](#)

⁵ [McDonald et al. \(2017\)](#)

4.1 Effective temperatures derived from Spectral Energy Distributions

Effective temperature can be determined from the stellar spectral energy distribution (SED). For our target stars these were constructed from literature photometry, using, B and V magnitudes from either Tycho (Hoeg et al. 1997) or APASS9 (Henden et al. 2015), USNO-B1 R magnitudes (Monet et al. 2003), J , H and K from 2MASS (Skrutskie et al. 2006), supplemented with CMC14 r' (Evans et al. 2002) and APASS9 g' , r' , i' photometry.

Stellar energy distributions can be significantly affected by interstellar reddening, which was calculated as described in Section 4.3. The SEDs were de-reddened using the analytical extinction fit of (Howarth 1983). The stellar T_{eff} values were determined by fitting solar-composition Kurucz (1993) model fluxes to the de-reddening SEDs. The model fluxes were convolved with photometric filter response functions. A weighted Levenberg-Marquardt non-linear least-squares fitting procedure was used to find the solution that minimized the difference between the observed and model fluxes. Since $\log g$ is poorly constrained by our SEDs, we fixed $\log g = 4.0$ for all the fits. The uncertainties in T_{eff} includes the formal least-squares error and adopted uncertainties in $E(B - V)$ of ± 0.02 , $\log g$ of ± 0.5 and $[M/H]$ of ± 0.5 added in quadrature.

4.2 New spectroscopic determinations of T_{eff} based on archival data

Spectroscopic data were taken from the ESO archive⁴. We used medium and high signal-to-noise ratio (≥ 65) spectra from FEROS (Fiber-fed Extended Range Optical Spectrograph; $R \sim 48000$), HARPS (High Accuracy Radial velocity Planet Searcher, $R \sim 115000$), and UVES (Ultraviolet and Visual Echelle Spectrograph, $R \sim 65000$). Observational details are given in Table 3.

We applied two methods to derive spectroscopic parameters for the 14 stars that had available archival data. For the first method we used, the hydrostatic, plane-parallel line-blanketed, local thermodynamic equilibrium ATLAS9 model atmospheres (Kurucz 1993) were used. The synthetic spectra were generated by using the SYN-THE code (Kurucz & Avrett 1981). The projected rotational velocities, $v \sin i$, were calculated by fitting the profiles of non-blended metal lines (Gray 2008). The $H\beta$ lines were used to derive T_{eff} by considering the minimum difference between the synthetic and observed spectra. In this analysis, a solar metallicity and the obtained $v \sin i$ values were fixed. In addition, we assumed $\log g = 4.0$ (dex). This was done because the hydrogen lines are not sensitive to $\log g$ for stars with $T_{\text{eff}} \leq 8000$ K (Heiter et al. 2002). Our results are listed in Table 4, with 1σ uncertainties.

In addition, the Grid Search in Stellar Parameters (GSSP; Tkachenko (2015)) software package was used as an alternative method for determining the atmospheric parameters of our stars. GSSP is a multi-purpose spectrum analysis tool that is designed for precision spectrum analysis of single and binary stars. As the name suggests, the method is based on a grid-search in 6-D parameter space (metallicity $[M/H]$, T_{eff} , $\log g$, micro- (v_{micro}) and macro-turbulent (v_{macro}) velocities, and $v \sin i$) utilising atmospheric models and synthetic spectra. Each synthetic spectrum in a grid is compared to the normalised observed spectrum of a star with the chi-square (χ^2) merit function being used to judge the goodness of fit. Parameter errors are computed from χ^2 statistics by projecting all grid points onto each individual parameter so that correlations

Table 3. Spectroscopic data.

TIC	alternative name	Instrument	Observation	S/N	Nr. of spectra
9632550	BS Aqr	FEROS	2007 July	200	4
32197339	HD 210139	HARPS	2005 July	105	11
38587180	TX Ret	HARPS	2011 Dec.	270	1
52258534	BG Hyi	HARPS	2011 July	125	2
139825582	CF Ind	HARPS	2011 July	190	1
183595451	AI Scl	UVES	2001 Dec.	280	1
224285325	SX Phe	FEROS	2007 June	330	6
229154157	HD 11667	HARPS	2006 Nov.	65	2
253917376	UV PsA	HARPS	2011 July	150	1
265566844	BX Ind	HARPS	2011 July	125	1
303584611	BS Scl	HARPS	2011 July	205	2
355687188	HD 224852	FEROS	2007 June	100	8
382551468	CD-53 251	HARPS	2008 Nov.	65	28
394015973	BE Ind	HARPS	2011 July	150	1

are taken into account. GSSP employs the SynthV radiative transfer code (Tsymbal 1996) for calculation of synthetic spectra and LLMODELS software package (Shulyak et al. 2004) for computation of atmosphere models. Both codes operate under the assumption of local thermodynamical equilibrium and allow for extra functionality such as vertical stratification of elemental abundances in the atmosphere of a star. The $\log g$ value is not well constrained in the temperature range in question, which is the reason for fixing it to 4.0 dex (as was also done in the previous method). This is because the hydrogen Balmer lines are largely insensitive to changes in the surface gravity in this particular temperature regime, while significant broadening of metal lines and correlations of $\log g$ with $[M/H]$ and v_{micro} results in typical uncertainties as large as 0.3–0.5 dex.

4.3 Luminosities derived from the Gaia parallaxes

We calculated the absolute magnitude and luminosity for each target (see Table 2) using the parallaxes from both the re-reduced Hipparcos data (van Leeuwen 2007) and Gaia DR2 (Lindegren et al. 2016). This was done to check for biases in our parameters, especially for nearby and bright stars. All except but two stars from our sample (HD 4125 and α Pic) have Gaia DR2 parallaxes, but only 65 stars have Hipparcos parallaxes including HD 4125 and α Pic.

To estimate the total interstellar extinction, we used several published reddening maps (Arenou et al. 1992; Chen et al. 1998; Schlegel et al. 1998; Drimmel et al. 2003; Green et al. 2015, 2018). The distances and their uncertainties were calculated directly from the parallaxes. Almost all stars are within 1 kpc from the Sun, which means that the extinction is small but not negligible (total absorption $A_V < 0.25$ mag, with a mean and median of 0.05 and 0.04 mag, respectively). We calculated a mean value from the above-listed references, which are all consistent within 0.01 mag. This value of 0.01 mag was adopted as the extinction uncertainty for all targets. We chose to do so because there are no estimates of the individual errors from the different maps and methods.

To calculate luminosities for our targets, we used the bolometric corrections for the V magnitudes from Flower (1996). The bolometric correction is at a minimum for A stars and does not influence the luminosity calculation significantly. The effective temperatures were taken from Sect. 4.1. However, there is no homogeneous source of V magnitudes that includes all our targets. We therefore calculated

⁴ <http://archive.eso.org/cms.html>

Table 4. Spectroscopic parameters determined using two different methods as described in detail in Section 4.2. In columns three and four we list the T_{eff} determined from the hydrogen lines and GSSP methods, respectively. The parameters agree within their uncertainties. The $v \sin i$ values were also calculated by two different teams, and agree well within the error bars (here we chose to display the values determined with the GSSP method). The metallicity and v_{micro} were determined using the GSSP code. In the last column LPV stands for line profile variations. The asymmetries observed in the $H\beta$ line in HD 224852 are likely due to the data extraction pipeline.

TIC	alternative name	T_{eff} [K] H lines	T_{eff} [K] GSSP	$v \sin i$ [km s^{-1}]	[M/H]	v_{micro} [km s^{-1}]	comments
9632550	BS Aqr	7100 ± 150	7240 ± 290	21 ± 6	-0.2 ± 0.3	3.3 ± 2.5	SB2
32197339	HD 210139	7600 ± 200	7960 ± 95	8 ± 1	-0.05 ± 0.08	2.9 ± 0.6	pronounced LPVs
38587180	TX Ret	7200 ± 150	7310 ± 40	70 ± 3	-0.01 ± 0.04	3.8 ± 0.5	
52258534	BG Hyi	6900 ± 120	6980 ± 50	25 ± 1	-0.35 ± 0.07	4.3 ± 0.5	
139825582	CF Ind	7100 ± 150	7090 ± 40	227 ± 13	-0.22 ± 0.06	3.6 ± 0.5	pronounced LPVs
183595451	AI Scl	7300 ± 120	7315 ± 40	102 ± 5	-0.05 ± 0.07	4.0 ± 0.6	
224285325	SX Phe	7500 ± 150	7500 ± 115	18 ± 2	-0.1 ± 0.2	1.9 ± 0.4	
229154157	HD 11667	7300 ± 200	7185 ± 75	16 ± 1	-0.06 ± 0.07	3.1 ± 0.5	SB2, with LPVs
253917376	UV PsA	7000 ± 200	7025 ± 50	76 ± 4	-0.16 ± 0.06	4.0 ± 0.5	
265566844	BX Ind	6800 ± 220	6790 ± 60	5 ± 1	0.04 ± 0.06	1.3 ± 0.3	
303584611	BS Scl	7900 ± 150	7955 ± 55	32 ± 1	-0.08 ± 0.05	3.2 ± 0.4	
355687188	HD 224852	7000 ± 150	7335 ± 90	14 ± 1	-0.04 ± 0.08	3.0 ± 0.5	asymmetric $H\beta$
382551468	CD-53 251	6500 ± 200	6800 ± 80	13 ± 1	-0.08 ± 0.07	2.0 ± 0.4	pronounced LPVs
394015973	BE Ind	7500 ± 200	7500 ± 50	45 ± 2	0.06 ± 0.06	3.3 ± 0.5	

the averages from the magnitudes published by Kharchenko (2001) and Henden et al. (2016), and transformed the Gaia DR2 G magnitudes according to the calibration by Arenou et al. (2018). Within these three data sets, we found no outliers larger than 0.015 mag. For the error calculation, we took a full error propagation of the individual errors into account.

The stellar parameters with their respective uncertainties are listed in Table 2. If we compare the absolute magnitudes derived from the Hipparcos and Gaia DR2 data sets using the identical apparent magnitude, reddening and Bolometric correction, we find only three targets for which the deviation is larger than 3σ , specifically ν Pic, BX Ind, and θ Gru. This proves that the Gaia DR2 data have no significant discrepancies (in a statistical sense) when compared to the Hipparcos ones. We also note that these stars are bright which may explain the deviation. In addition, θ Gru was identified as a binary (see A1), which may apply for the other two as well.

In Fig. 2 we plot our stars in the HR diagram using Gaia luminosities and T_{eff} determined in this work using the SED method. Due to binarity, large error bars in their Gaia measurements or missing parallaxes the following stars have been omitted: θ Ret ($V = 6.05$), HD 223991 ($V = 6.35$), HD 216941 ($V = 9.49$), and HD 210767 ($V = 7.78$).

5 UNDERSTANDING THE EXCITATION MECHANISM OF δ SCT STARS USING AM STARS

In the absence of mixing, which is usually related to rotation and efficient convection in the outer envelopes, atomic diffusion, which includes radiative levitation and gravitational settling, plays an important role in A stars. As a result, Am stars display underabundances of Sc and Ca and overabundances of Ba, Sr and Y, among other elements, in their photospheres (Preston 1974).

Traditionally, the excitation mechanism associated with δ Sct pulsations is the κ -mechanism acting in the He II ionisation layer (Cox 1963). However, observations (Antoci et al. 2011; Murphy et al. 2019) clearly indicate that this mechanism alone is insufficient. This is especially the case for the chemically peculiar Am stars where He is expected to be depleted from the He II ionisation




Figure 2. Intermediate-mass A and F stars observed by TESS in sectors 1 and 2 in the HR diagram. Non-variable stars are shown as black downward facing triangles, δ Sct stars as blue squares, γ Dor stars as violet circles, hybrids or suspected hybrids in yellow diamonds, and HADS stars as left-facing red triangles. Stars marked with a black circle are known binary stars. The blue and red edges of the δ Sct instability domain (marked by the straight, continuous black lines) are taken from Pamyatnykh (2000) and Dupret et al. (2005), respectively. The blue edges from Pamyatnykh (2000) and Dupret et al. (2005) more or less overlap. The γ Dor instability strip marked by the dashed lines is from Dupret et al. (2005). In addition we highlight in white the δ Sct instability strip found empirically by Murphy et al. (2019) comprising 80 per cent of all identified pulsators. The evolutionary tracks were computed with the Warsaw-New Jersey models (Dziembowski 1977; Pamyatnykh et al. 1998; Pamyatnykh 1999) using no rotation and solar metallicity. The evolutionary tracks, labeled with masses expressed in M_{\odot} , are only displayed to guide the eye.

layer where the κ -mechanism operates. Even when including atomic diffusion (Turcotte et al. 2000), models in only a very narrow region in the instability strip were found to have unstable modes, which is clearly contradicted by observations (e.g., Smalley et al. 2017).

Here we investigate to what extent the pulsational stability changes when helium is depleted from the outer layers. More specifically we have used the same approach as described in [Balmforth et al. \(2001\)](#) to model the helium abundance in the outer layers, to mimic atomic diffusion. For our models with a chemically homogeneous envelope, the helium abundance by mass is $Y = 0.28$, whereas for our depleted models the helium abundance was decreased to $Y = 0.15$ in the outer layers, extending down beneath the He II ionisation layer.

In [Fig. 3](#) and [Fig. 4](#) we show results of our stability computations, in which we include the pulsationally perturbed radiative (κ -mechanism) and convective heat fluxes as well as the perturbed momentum flux (turbulent pressure). These computations were obtained from models following the procedures described in detail in [Houdek et al. \(1999\)](#) and [Balmforth et al. \(2001\)](#). These models adopt the time-dependent non-local convection formulation by [Gough \(1977a,b\)](#). In addition to the mixing length parameter, α_{MLT} , this formulation uses three additional non-local convection parameters, a , b and c . Here we adopt the values $a^2 = b^2 = c^2 = 950$, which allowed [Antoci et al. \(2014\)](#) to reproduce roughly 85 per cent of the observed frequency range of HD 187547 (a pulsating Am star) and [Balmforth et al. \(2001\)](#) to reproduce oscillations in roAp stars.

In [Fig. 3](#) we plot the theoretical instability region of our ‘mixed models’ (i.e., He non-depleted) and [Fig. 4](#) illustrates the instability domain of our depleted models. The asterisks show the locations of the computed models in the HR diagram and the number of excited radial orders found for that particular model is indicated. The contour levels in this plot delineate the number of consecutive excited radial-order modes. The redder the area, the larger number of modes to be excited. Yellow indicates no excitation at all. We note that in both cases, i.e. in the homogenous and the depleted envelope models, there seems to be a maximum in the number of excited radial orders at an effective temperature of 7500 K. Interestingly, the largest number of unstable modes roughly corresponds with the temperature where [Murphy et al. \(2019\)](#) found the largest fraction of pulsating stars, although slightly shifted in luminosity.

In [Fig. 5](#), panels (a1) and (b1), we show the accumulated work integrals for a radial mode with $n = 6$ and a mode with $n = 15$, respectively, in our chemically homogeneous and He-depleted models. Regions where the accumulated work integral increases towards the surface of the star contribute to the driving of the mode while regions where it decreases contribute to the damping. Panels (a2) and (b2) further show the individual contributions to the total accumulated work integral of the gas (dashed line) and of the turbulent pressure (solid line). For both the homogenous ([Fig. 3](#)) and the He-depleted envelope models ([Fig. 4](#)), the turbulent pressure contributes crucially to mode instability.

In this paper we do not aim to fully reproduce the instability domain of δ Sct stars, but to show that diffusion, especially of He, plays an important role in driving pulsations in δ Sct stars, and that turbulent pressure, acting in the H ionisation zone is a significant driving agent. Therefore, excitation by turbulent pressure offers a solution to the long-standing question of the driving mechanism in pulsating Am stars. In [Fig. 6](#) we plot all Am stars from [Renson & Manfroid \(2009\)](#) that were observed by TESS in sectors 1 and 2. We distinguish between constant (black triangles) and pulsating Am (blue) stars, and mark known binaries with black rings. We find good agreement between the observed Am stars and the predicted instability region when turbulent pressure is included. Our findings also agree well with earlier ground-based observations, showing

that pulsating Am stars tend to be found in the cooler part of the instability region ([Smalley et al. 2017](#)).

To conclude this section, we show that even in the case where He is depleted from the outer layers, excitation of pulsations is still possible and matches the observed distribution of known pulsating Am stars observed by TESS. In addition, we see an increase in the number of unstable radial orders and magnitude of the linear growth rates in stars with $T_{\text{eff}} \approx 7500$ K. Here we demonstrate the potential of how TESS observations of many Am stars will improve our understanding of pulsation driving in intermediate-mass stars. Before drawing any final conclusions, however, we need to perform in-depth analyses and to vary parameters in our computations, e.g., metallicity, the mixing length and the non-local convection parameters a , b , and c . In addition, we will also explore the excitation at different helium depletion values. This will be done in a future paper.

6 EXAMPLES OF VARIABILITY

As TESS is observing almost the entire sky, we have the unprecedented opportunity to obtain continuous, high-precision light curves of a variety of pulsating stars as described in [Section 1](#). The 2-min TESS sample in sectors 1 and 2 presented in this work includes some prototypes, i.e., SX Phe and γ Dor. In this section, we demonstrate the power of TESS data for a variety of different pulsator classes amongst A and F stars and the potential of future asteroseismic modelling. The stars shown here were chosen either because they are eponymous for their classes (SX Phe and γ Dor), very bright (α Pic) or to cover the entire variety of the stars studied here: δ Sct, γ Dor, λ Boo, HADS, pre main-sequence, eclipsing binary stars.

6.1 TIC 229059574 = HD 210111

TIC 229059574 (HD 210111), is a λ Boo star in a double-lined spectroscopic binary (SB2) system ([Paunzen et al. 2012](#)). SB2 line profiles were seen in all 24 high-resolution spectra taken by [Paunzen et al. \(2012\)](#) with UVES, from which the authors concluded that this is a pair of equal-mass stars with both stars having $T_{\text{eff}} \approx 7400$ K, $\log g = 3.8$, $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -1.0$ dex and $v \sin i = 30 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. By computing composite spectra with various atmospheric parameters, they also concluded that neither component has a solar metallicity, from which we infer that they are both λ Boo stars.

[Paunzen et al. \(1994\)](#) first discovered the δ Sct variability of HD 210111, and [Breger et al. \(2006\)](#) carried out the most extensive analysis of its variability with a multi-site ground-based campaign over a 30-d period. The TESS light curve is of similar duration, but almost uninterrupted and of space-telescope quality.

The TESS light curve from sector 1 shows HD 210111 to be highly multi-periodic with frequencies in the range $10 - 40 \text{ d}^{-1}$ and amplitudes of order $100 - 1000$ ppm ([Fig. 7](#)). The highest peak lies at 30.2 d^{-1} , consistent with the non-radial pulsation with a 49-min period identified by [Bohlender et al. \(1999\)](#). Many of the 18 oscillation frequencies reported by [Breger et al. \(2006\)](#) are present in the TESS data, but the amplitudes are significantly different. This may be due to the different passbands, however amplitude variability is not uncommon in δ Sct stars ([Lenz et al. 2008](#); [Barceló Forteza et al. 2015](#); [Bowman et al. 2016](#)). In the TESS passband, HD 210111 has 59 peaks with amplitudes exceeding 200 ppm, where our analysis is terminated because of the high density of peaks.

Visual inspection of the amplitude spectrum of the light curve




Figure 3. Theoretical instability strip from models including time-dependent non-local convection treatment (Section 5). The asterisks indicate the location of our theoretical models and adjacent number indicates the number of excited radial orders. In straight, continuous black lines we mark the theoretical blue and red edges of the δ Sct instability domain from Pamyatnykh (2000) and Dupret et al. (2005), respectively. The blue edges from Pamyatnykh (2000) and Dupret et al. (2005) are more or less overlapping. The black square is the red edge computed by Houdek (2000). For convenience we also indicate the γ Dor instability strip in dashed lines (Dupret et al. 2005). In addition we also depict the empirical δ Sct instability strip from Murphy et al. (2019) comprising 80 per cent of all identified pulsators (dashed-dotted line). The evolutionary tracks were computed with the Warsaw-New Jersey models (Dziembowski 1977; Pamyatnykh et al. 1998; Pamyatnykh 1999) using no rotation and solar metallicity. The evolutionary tracks are only displayed to guide the eye.




Figure 4. Theoretical instability strip with depleted helium in the outer layers mimicking helium settling. Models include time-dependent non-local convection treatment (Section 5). The asterisks indicate the location of our theoretical models and the adjacent number indicates the number of excited radial orders. The instability domains and evolutionary tracks are the same as in Fig. 3.




Figure 5. Accumulated work integrals (solid curves in panels (a1) and (b1)) as a function of the total pressure for two different radial orders, $n = 6$ and $n = 15$, for the homogeneous model (black) and the He-depleted model (red in the online and grey in the printed version). The modes are unstable whenever the accumulated work integrals are positive towards the surface of the star, as displayed in (a1) and (b1). In panels (a2) and (b2) we show the contributions of the gas pressure (dashed line) and the turbulent pressure (solid line). The grey region at $\log P = 6$ indicates the He II, and at $\log P = 4$ the H I and He I ionization zones. From panels (a2) and (b2) it is evident that turbulent pressure in the H I and He I ionisation zones plays a significant role in the excitation of both modes. Specifically in the case of $n = 15$, it is the only driving agent, while the gas pressure (responsible for the κ -mechanism) is damping pulsations in the He II ionisation zone. The model parameters are in both cases the following: $M = 2.3 M_{\odot}$, $T_{\text{eff}} = 7500$ K, $\log L = 1.48 L_{\odot}$ and correspond to the model with 13 unstable radial orders in Fig. 3 and 12 in Fig. 4, respectively.

(Fig. 7) suggests there may be a common frequency spacing between ~ 2 and 2.5 d^{-1} . When using all 59 peaks above 200 ppm, a histogram of frequency differences showed no outstanding features compared to a randomly generated set of frequencies covering the same frequency range. However, a subset of the strongest peaks (with amplitudes above 500 ppm) showed an excess of peaks spaced by $\sim 2.2 \text{ d}^{-1}$. Common spacings can sometimes be attributed to rotational splittings, or to the large frequency separation $\Delta\nu$, which is the frequency spacing between consecutive radial orders⁵. The $\nu \sin i$ of these stars is low, at about 30 km s^{-1} , so it is unlikely that

⁵ The exact spacing depends on the radial orders and can only be directly related to the Sun or Sun-like stars in case high radial order modes are observed.




Figure 6. Comparison between the observed TESS Am stars and the theoretical instability strip including He depletion in the outer envelope. All stars are identified to be Am stars based on the Renson catalogue (Renson & Manfroid 2009). The blue circles depict the pulsating Am stars; the black triangles identify constant Am stars. Known binaries (see Table 1) are marked by black rings. The square, asterisks and all lines have the same meaning as in Fig. 3.




Figure 7. TESS light curve (top) and amplitude transform (bottom) of the λ Boo star HD 210111.

the rotational splitting of one or both stars is being observed, unless the inclination i happens to be low. We therefore find it more plausible that the identified spacing corresponds to the large frequency separation or half this value. A more accurate assessment using a Gaia luminosity is prevented by the SB2 nature of the target. It is also not clear whether solar-metallicity tracks should be used. The reported $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ is -1 dex, but in λ Boo stars the low metallicity might be a surface phenomenon only. Asteroseismology is one of the tools that can be used to probe the global stellar metallicity, but HD 210111 is perhaps too complex a target to be a good starting point because of it being a binary of two similar stars. At this stage it is unclear whether only one or both components are pulsating. A more detailed analysis is beyond the scope of this paper.




Figure 8. A histogram of the frequency differences from the peaks extracted from HD 210111 that have amplitudes exceeding 500 ppm. The peak at 2.2 d^{-1} is marked with a red arrow. Integer multiples of this peak ($4.4, 6.6, \dots \text{ d}^{-1}$) are also expected.

6.2 TIC 167602316 = α Pictoris

α Pictoris is the brightest star in the sample presented here ($V = 3.3$) and was not known to be a pulsating star prior to TESS observations. This makes it one of the brightest known δ Sct stars. This star has $T_{\text{eff}} = 7550 \pm 35 \text{ K}$ (Zorec & Royer 2012a), a Hipparcos luminosity of $\log(L/L_{\odot}) = 1.47 \pm 0.14$ and is rapidly rotating with $v \sin i = 206 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ (Zorec & Royer 2012a).

α Pic has a rich pulsation spectrum (Fig. 9), with 39 significant peaks after 2 sectors of observations. From the lower panel of Fig. 9 it can be clearly seen that many additional peaks are observed in the low-frequency region that are consistent either with g and/or Rossby modes. In the high frequency range we detect a spacing that is consistent with the large frequency separation of about 2.7 d^{-1} and fits well the stellar parameters given Table 2.

Based on the known stellar parameters, the highest peak at $f_1 = 5.74 \text{ d}^{-1}$ could be the fundamental radial mode. The frequency f_3 is close to $2f_1$, which may imply that this frequency is the second harmonic, however it is not an exact match (difference is 0.017 d^{-1}), which is similar to the resolution. This issue will be resolved with one year of data, as this star is in the continuous TESS viewing zone. No other obvious combination frequencies were detected.

6.3 TIC 224285325 = SX Phe

SX Phe is a remarkable HADS star, whose strongest peak exceeds 100 ppt. The light curve is highly asymmetric, with minima of a consistent depth, but maxima spanning a wide range in brightness (Fig. 10). Such light curves are common among high-amplitude SX Phe stars dominated by one or two mode frequencies and their combinations (e.g., the *Kepler* SX Phe star KIC 11754974; Murphy et al. 2013). The shapes of the light curves arise from specific phase relationships between parent pulsation modes and their combination frequencies (Kurtz et al. 2015).

The amplitude spectrum of the light curve of SX Phe itself is indeed dominated by two parent radial modes and their many combination frequencies (Fig. 10). These combinations and their harmonics continue to high frequencies: even at $7f_1$ (127 d^{-1}), the pulsation amplitude is over 1 ppt. We extracted frequencies




Figure 9. Amplitude spectra of the original data of α Pic (top) and after pre-whitening the dominant mode (bottom). This star is in the TESS continuous viewing zone on the Southern hemisphere which makes it a promising target for further study.




Figure 10. A 1-d extract of the TESS light curve of SX Phe (top). Amplitude spectrum of the entire TESS light curve of SX Phe (bottom). The two strong independent mode frequencies are labelled along with combination frequencies belonging to three distinct families, and two other combinations at lower frequencies.

Table 5. The list of extracted significant frequencies of SX Phe from TESS Sector 1 and 2 data.

f_n	Frequency [d ⁻¹]	Amplitude [ppt] ±0.040	Combinations
f_1	18.193565(6)	136.284	
f_2	23.37928(2)	33.079	
f_3	36.38712(2)	48.757	$2f_1$
f_4	41.57284(4)	20.286	$f_1 + f_2$
f_5	5.185718(4)	20.133	$f_2 - f_1$
f_6	54.58069(5)	16.653	$3f_1$
f_7	13.00784(8)	10.237	$2f_1 - f_2$
f_8	59.766413	9.801	$2f_1 + f_2$
f_9	72.7742(1)	6.939	$4f_1$
f_{10}	77.9599(2)	5.047	$3f_1 + f_2$
f_{11}	31.2014(2)	4.948	$3f_1 - f_2$
f_{12}	46.7585(2)	3.572	$2f_2$
f_{13}	90.9678(2)	3.527	$5f_1$
f_{14}	96.1535(3)	2.893	$4f_1 + f_2$
f_{15}	22.6303(3)	2.774	
f_{16}	28.5650(3)	2.692	$2f_2 - f_1$
f_{17}	64.9521(3)	2.632	$f_1 + 2f_2$
f_{18}	27.5864(4)	2.074	
f_{19}	114.3471(4)	1.931	$5f_1 + f_2$
f_{20}	109.1613(4)	1.831	$6f_1$
f_{21}	49.3950042	1.798	
f_{22}	83.1456(5)	1.738	$2f_1 + 2f_2$
f_{23}	132.5406(5)	1.495	$6f_1 + f_2$
f_{24}	40.823(3)	1.198	$f_1 + f_2$
f_{25}	127.355(1)	1.118	$7f_1$
f_{26}	17.2645(7)	1.105	
f_{27}	45.7806(7)	1.072	

down to an amplitude of 1 ppt. Five other apparently independent peaks exist with amplitudes above 1 ppt. Although it is possible to assign combinations to some of them, the combinations are not simple (i.e. they do not have low coefficients), so we conclude that some of these peaks could be independent mode frequencies and speculate that resonance may play an important role. A frequency list is provided in Table 5.

The strongest mode corresponds to the frequency $f_1 = 18.193565(6) \text{ d}^{-1}$, which is high for the fundamental radial mode but rather typical for SX Phe-type stars (e.g., McNamara 2011; Ziaali et al. 2019). The frequency ratio of f_1/f_2 is 0.778192(6), is consistent with the identification of f_1 as the fundamental radial mode and f_2 as the first radial overtone. Normal δ Sct stars have values around 0.772, but SX Phe itself has a rather high value of 0.778192(6), owing mostly to its low metallicity. Petersen & Christensen-Dalsgaard (1996) showed that higher values of this frequency ratio correlate well with lower metallicity, and SX Phe itself has a low metallicity, with $Z \approx 0.001$. In addition, Pigulski (2014) shows that other SX Phe stars can also have such a high ratio.

Using the Warsaw-New Jersey evolutionary code (e.g., Pamyatnykh et al. 1998; Pamyatnykh 1999) and the nonadiabatic pulsational code of Dziembowski (1977), we computed models for SX Phe with the aim of fitting f_1 and f_2 as the radial fundamental and first overtone modes, respectively, using the so-called Petersen diagram (e.g., Petersen & Christensen-Dalsgaard 1996). We searched a wide range of effective temperatures ranging from 7200 K to 8700 K. The OPAL opacity tables and the solar element mixture of Asplund et al. (2009) were adopted. We considered different metallicity values, Z , and initial hydrogen abundance, X_0 , in the

ranges (0.001, 0.002) and (0.66, 0.74), respectively. The value of the mixing length parameter, $\alpha_{\text{MLT}} = 1.5$ and overshooting from the convective core was not included. We note that, at the metallicities and effective temperatures specified above, the choice of the α_{MLT} does not have a high impact on the exact values of the mode frequencies. Our pulsations models, confirm that the observed frequencies f_1 and f_2 can only correspond to the radial fundamental and first overtone modes, respectively. Thus, for further seismic modelling we will only consider these radial orders.

As a start, we used the model parameters $M = 1.0 M_{\odot}$, $Z = 0.001$, $X_0 = 0.70$ and an age of roughly 3.9 Gy⁶ as given by Petersen & Christensen-Dalsgaard (1996). Our best seismic model for these input values has an effective temperature of $T_{\text{eff}} = 7660$ K, a luminosity $\log L/L_{\odot} = 0.811$ and a frequency ratio of the radial fundamental mode to the first overtone of $f_1/f_2 = 0.780014$. The observed counterparts are $\log L/L_{\odot} = 0.842 \pm 0.09$ and a frequency ratio of 0.778192(6). The theoretical values for the radial fundamental and the first radial overtone are 18.193565 d^{-1} and $f_2 = 23.324654 \text{ d}^{-1}$, respectively. Both radial modes are unstable (excited). However, as one can see, the difference between the theoretical and observed value of f_1/f_2 is 0.001822 and is above the numerical accuracy which is around the fifth decimal place, making it clearly significant. In the next step, we varied the metallicity and found a model with $Z = 0.0014$ and a mass $M = 1.15 M_{\odot}$, which fits better the observed frequency ratio. The model has the following parameters: $T_{\text{eff}} = 8270$, $\log L/L_{\odot} = 0.984$, an age of ~ 2.5 Gy and a frequency ratio of $f_1/f_2 = 0.77841$. The individual radial modes frequencies are $f_1 = 18.19357 \text{ d}^{-1}$ and $f_2 = 23.37268 \text{ d}^{-1}$. This model gives a better fit but still there is a room for improvement, especially because the radial fundamental and the first overtone in this model are both stable.

As a next step we changed the initial abundance of hydrogen. The model reproducing the observed frequency ratio up to the fifth decimal place has the following parameters: $M = 1.05 M_{\odot}$, $X_0 = 0.67$, $Z = 0.002$, $T_{\text{eff}} = 7760$ K, $\log L/L_{\odot} = 0.844$, an age of 2.8 Gy and it was interpolated to the dominant observed frequency $f_1 = 18.193565 \text{ d}^{-1}$. The value of the second frequency is 23.37976 d^{-1} , and differs by only 0.00048 d^{-1} from the observed value. Thus, the theoretical value of the frequency ratio is 0.77818, whereas the observed value is 0.77819. Taking into account the numerical accuracy, which is not better than five decimal places as specified above, we can conclude that this model reproduces the observed frequencies of the two radial modes of SX Phe well. In addition, this model also predicts instability (excitation) of both radial modes, the radial fundamental and first overtone. In Fig. 11, we show the evolution of the frequency ratio of the first radial overtone to the radial fundamental as a function of the radial fundamental mode (the Petersen diagram) for the three seismic models described above. The observed value is marked as an open square.

The lower hydrogen abundance (higher helium abundance) is not unlikely because, as other SX Phoenicis variables, SX Phe itself can be a blue straggler. Such objects are presumably formed by the merger of two stars or by interactions in a binary system (e.g., McNamara 2011; Nemeč et al. 2017). As a consequence these stars may have enhanced helium abundance. This is also consistent with the models fitting KIC 11145123, which is also a suspected blue straggler (Kurtz et al. 2014). In addition, the model luminosity also agrees with the Gaia luminosity and the model effective temperature

⁶ Given that SX Phe is consistent with the scenario of a Blue Straggler, the age in this context represents the time since its formation through mass transfer or a merger of two stars.




Figure 11. Petersen diagram illustrating the frequency ratio of the first radial overtone to the radial fundamental as a function of the radial fundamental mode for SX Phe. The model reproducing the observations best is depicted in blue. We refer the reader to the text for further details.

is within 2σ of the spectroscopically derived value ($T_{\text{eff}} = 7500 \pm 150$ K, and 3.7σ within the photometrical ($T_{\text{eff}} = 7210 \pm 150$ K). Measured differences in effective temperature are to be expected, because as noted by Kim et al. (1993), the effective temperature of a star displaying large amplitudes of pulsations depends on the pulsation phase at which the observations were made. In the case of SX Phe the spectroscopic T_{eff} was determined based on one spectrum taken at a single unknown pulsation phase.

6.4 TIC 49677785 = HD 220687

HD 220687 (TIC 49677785) is a known eclipsing binary system hosting a pulsating primary (Pigulski & Michalska 2007). Recently, HD 220687 was observed for approximately 80 d by the K2 mission (Howell et al. 2014). From these K2 data and estimates of the primary’s surface gravity and effective temperature from RAVE spectroscopy, Lee et al. (2019) obtained a binary model with the Wilson Devinney Code, albeit without an estimate for the mass ratio from radial velocities. After subtracting their binary light curve solution, Lee et al. (2019) found 35 significant frequencies with a $S/N \geq 4$ using a noise window of the size 5 d^{-1} centred around each peak; all of these frequencies were inferred to be δ Sct pulsations.

Since the K2 observations, HD 220687 was observed by the TESS mission in Sector 2 for 27.4 d (Fig. 12). Given the lack of an accurately constrained mass ratio and the presence of remaining harmonics after the binary model subtraction, we chose to not adopt the binary solution by Lee et al. (2019). Instead, we directly subtracted the orbital frequency ($f_{\text{orb}} = 0.62725 \text{ d}^{-1}$) and all its significant harmonics up to 80 d^{-1} and then examined the remaining variance. From iterative pre-whitening pipeline we obtained 25 significant frequencies, 11 of which can be explained as harmonics or combination frequencies. We note that seven of the frequencies reported by Lee et al. (2019) are either harmonics of the orbital frequency, or can be explained as second-order combination frequencies with the orbital frequency. Finally, 12 of the 25 frequencies in the TESS light curve of HD 220687 are above the Kepler/K2 long-cadence Nyquist frequency of 24.49 d^{-1} which emphasizes the usefulness of short-cadence observations for studying δ Sct stars.

The TESS and K2 light curves of HD 220687 also offer the only opportunity we are aware of to determine an empirical estimate for

the amplitude suppression factor of pulsation modes observed in the *Kepler*/K2 and TESS passbands. Since the TESS passband (6000 – 10 000 Å) is redder than that of *Kepler*/K2 (4300–8300 Å), the photometric pulsation mode amplitudes in early-type stars appear smaller in TESS photometry compared to bluer passbands since the wavelength range mainly probes the Rayleigh-Jeans tail, as opposed to the peak of the blackbody function. The ratio of pulsation mode amplitudes in the TESS and *Kepler*/K2 passbands for A and F stars was estimated to be of the order 50 per cent by [Bowman et al. \(2019\)](#), yet this value included many assumptions.

Here, we provide an empirical measurement of the ratio of pulsation mode amplitudes for the TESS and *Kepler*/K2 passbands using HD 220687 (TIC 49677785; EPIC 245932119). We downloaded the K2 target pixel files of HD 220687, created custom aperture masks and detrended light curve spanning approximately 80 d using the methodology described by [Bowman et al. \(2018\)](#). The calculation of an amplitude spectrum using a Discrete Fourier Transform ([Deeming 1975](#); [Kurtz 1985](#)) allowed the determination of the amplitudes of pulsation modes and harmonics associated with the eclipses present in the light curve. We performed independent frequency extraction using the K2 and TESS light curves via iterative pre-whitening and corrected the observed amplitudes of each extracted peak for the amplitude suppression caused by the sampling frequency of each instrument using

$$A = A_0 \operatorname{sinc}\left(\frac{\pi}{N}\right) = A_0 \operatorname{sinc}\left(\frac{\pi\nu}{\nu_{\text{samp}}}\right), \quad (2)$$

where A and A_0 are the observed and corrected pulsation mode amplitudes, respectively, N is the number of data points per pulsation cycle, ν is the pulsation mode frequency and ν_{samp} is the instrumental sampling frequency ([Murphy 2014](#); [Bowman et al. 2015](#)).

Under the assumptions of negligible amplitude modulation of its pulsation modes (see e.g. [Bowman et al. 2016](#)) and negligible contamination in HD 220687, which is reasonable given that HD 220687 is $V = 9.6$ and no other nearby sources are apparent, the ratio of the corrected pulsation mode amplitudes gave an empirical estimate of the TESS/*Kepler* amplitude suppression factor. For the dominant, independent pulsation mode amplitudes in HD 220687, we measured an average ratio of their amplitudes in the TESS to *Kepler*/K2 passbands to be 74 ± 1 per cent. Thus, the reduction in pulsation mode amplitudes when observing A and F stars with TESS is non-negligible compared to bluer wavelength instruments such as *Kepler*/K2, but importantly this passband suppression does not prevent the detection of pulsation modes. On the other hand, the vast number of A and F stars observed in the 2-min TESS cadence is a major advantage for the study of high-frequency pulsations in δ Sct stars, given that the bias introduced by the 30-min *Kepler* cadence into the observed frequency distribution of these stars is much more significant ([Murphy 2015](#); [Bowman & Kurtz 2018](#)).

6.5 TIC 150394126 = HD 46190

HD 46190 was observed in sectors 1 and 2 in the TESS mission (Fig. 13); its light curve has a total time base of 56 d. Prior to TESS observations, this star was thought to be a young star with a spectral type of A0, but its pulsational variability was unknown. We include HD 46190 in this paper, as it is a potential pre-main-sequence δ Sct star.

In total 65 frequencies between 15 and 76 d^{-1} were found to be statistically significant by both the KU Leuven and Aarhus ECHO




Figure 12. HD 220687. Upper panel: light curve. Lower panel: amplitude spectrum. The equally spaced peaks at low frequencies are due to the eclipses clearly visible in the light curve displayed in the upper panel.

pipelines. Many of these peaks can be explained by combination frequencies, depending on the number of parent modes assumed. However, some of these identified linear combinations are quite complex, and hence could also be accidental matches.

There are no estimates of fundamental parameters or binarity from spectroscopy in the literature, and only an approximate effective temperature of 8450 ± 600 K in the catalogue of [Oelkers et al. \(2018\)](#) and Gaia DR2. Our determined effective temperature based on the SED method is 8850 ± 100 K (Table 2). [Sloan et al. \(2004\)](#) report a debris disk and an infrared excess around HD 49160 using Spitzer spectroscopy, and identify this star as a Vega-like main-sequence star.

The highest-amplitude p-mode frequency in HD 46190 is at 49.09 d^{-1} , which supports the interpretation that this star is a hot and young star near the ZAMS and the blue edge of the classical instability strip ([Zwintz et al. 2014](#)). Yet without fundamental parameters from spectroscopy, we cannot infer if HD 46190 is a pre-main-sequence or main-sequence star. Once these data become available, and should a pre-main-sequence status be established, we can determine its properties based on the range of modes excited in the star. For pre-main-sequence stars, [Zwintz et al. \(2014\)](#) showed that there is a significant relationship between the pulsational properties and its evolution. Such a relation could not be established for δ Sct stars on the main-sequence or the post main-sequence.

6.6 TIC 355687188 = HD 224852

The variability of the HADS star TIC 355687188 (HD 224852, BV 1007, NSV 14800, A8 V, $V = 10.2$) was discovered by [Strohmeier \(1967\)](#), but the nature of this variability was only revealed from the ASAS-3 observations by [Pojmański \(2002\)](#), who reported a period of 0.122072 d and classified the star as δ Sct. [Poretti et al. \(2005\)](#) carried out a detailed analysis of the ASAS-3 data and found the star to be a double-mode pulsator with the frequency ratio of 0.77339 matching the ratio of the fundamental and first-overtone radial modes for HADS stars. In addition, the amplitude of the first overtone mode is higher than that of the fundamental mode. The full model describing the variability of the star in the ASAS data included 10 terms: the two radial mode frequen-




Figure 13. TESS light curve (top) and amplitude spectrum (bottom) of the young star HD 46190.




Figure 14. Upper panel: A 4-day section of the TESS light curve of HD 224852. The highly non-sinusoidal light curve and the beating between the two dominant modes are clearly visible. Lower panel: amplitude spectrum. The large majority of these peaks are combination frequencies and harmonics of the 4 independent modes. Although there are statistically significant peaks up to frequencies of 75 d^{-1} , for illustrative reasons we only plot up to 40 d^{-1} .

cies, three harmonics of these frequencies and five combination frequencies.

HD 224852 was observed in Sectors 1 and 2 of the TESS mission with 2-min cadence; these data span 56.2 d with small (~ 1 -d) gaps in the middle and between each observing sector. A 4-d section of the TESS light curve is shown in Fig. 14, in which the strong beating of the two radial modes can be seen. Frequency analysis of the TESS data indicates that the observed variability in HD 224852 can be accounted for by only four independent modes, their harmonics and combination terms. In total, the model including all significant frequencies contains 96 sinusoidal terms yet only four independent pulsation modes (Table 6), 12 harmonics (of f_1 and f_2 only), and 80 combination frequencies.

Both radial modes have non-sinusoidal light curves, which is in accordance with the findings of Poretti et al. (2005). The

Table 6. Characterization of the frequency spectrum of HD 224852.

Mode	Frequency [d^{-1}]	Harmonics detected	Combinations detected	S/N
f_1	8.1919470(07)	7	78	8855.39
f_2	6.3355745(10)	5	79	6414.12
f_3	7.9646(05)	0	5	14.47
f_4	13.1380(18)	0	0	4.28

first overtone mode has a larger amplitude than the fundamental mode, which is more commonly found for HADS stars in the LMC (Poleski et al. 2010) as opposed to the Milky Way. We infer that the independent frequencies f_3 and f_4 are non-radial modes. However, we cannot exclude that f_4 may be a higher-overtone radial mode. This can only be verified by detailed seismic modelling. We also note that no low-frequency modes were detected in HD 224852 above the detection threshold of 0.023 ppt corresponding to $S/N \approx 2.8$. The two radial modes show slight amplitude modulation during the TESS observations. In particular, the amplitude of f_1 drops by about 0.7 per cent and f_2 by about 0.4 per cent during this time. This effect has also been observed for other high-amplitude radial pulsators, such the HADS star KIC 5950759 (Bowman 2017).

6.7 TIC 219234987 = γ Dor

γ Dor is a bright ($V = 4.20$) F1V (Gray et al. 2006) and is eponymous for an entire class of gravity/Rossby modes pulsators, the γ Dor stars. Although this paper concentrates only on sectors 1 and 2, we decided to make an exception and to also include this prototype in this first-light article.

TESS observed γ Dor in sectors 3, 4 and 5. The light curve was extracted by performing aperture photometry on target pixel files downloaded from MAST using the LIGHTCURVE python package (Barentsen et al. 2019). Bright as it is, γ Dor saturates TESS CCDs. We settled on a classic approach for the preliminary analysis presented here. The photometric data extraction will be refined in the future using the halo photometry technique (White et al. 2017). The frequency analysis was subsequently done following an iterative pre-whitening procedure with the PERIOD04 software. The light curve and amplitude spectrum are plotted in Fig. 15 along with the 17 extracted frequencies with $S/N \geq 4$.

γ Dor has been extensively studied in the literature. Ground-based photometric (Cousins 1992; Balona et al. 1994a,b; Tarrant et al. 2008) and spectroscopic observations (Balona et al. 1996; Brunsten et al. 2018) dedicated to this star allowed the identification of a handful of frequencies. Brunsten et al. (2018) recently performed a comprehensive study of all available ground-based data. They found four consistent frequencies (1.3209, 1.3641, 1.4742, 1.8783 d^{-1}) that can all be confirmed with TESS data. The frequency at 0.31672 d^{-1} , previously identified as a daily alias, is also present here, suggesting that it is indeed intrinsic to the star. We also corroborate the 2.7428 d^{-1} combination frequency. The amplitude spectrum shows amplitude excess at multiples of the main frequency group around $\sim 1.3 \text{ d}^{-1}$, which is most likely due to harmonic and combination frequencies (e.g. Kurtz et al. 2015; Saio et al. 2018b), however, we cannot explore this further given the limited frequency resolution. No significant p modes were detected, ruling out a hybrid nature. TESS observations in synergy with available spectroscopic (e.g. Gray et al. 2006; Ammler-von Eiff & Reiners 2012) and as-




Figure 15. Lightcurve of γ Doradus from TESS observations (*top*) and amplitude spectra before (*middle*) and after pre-whitening the first two frequencies (*bottom*). Red vertical bars mark the 17 frequencies with $S/N > 4$ extracted from TESS data. Orange triangles indicate frequencies found in [Brunsten et al. \(2018\)](#).

trometric ([Gaia Collaboration et al. 2018](#)) measurements will be presented in a forthcoming paper.

6.8 TIC 154842794 = π PsA

TIC 154842794 (π PsA, HD 217792) is a F1V type star ([Gray et al. 2006](#)) and a newly classified γ Dor pulsator. ([David & Hillenbrand 2015a](#)) find the following stellar parameters: $T_{\text{eff}} = 7400 \pm 250$ K, $\log g = 4.3$, and $M = 1.5 M_{\odot}$, with the effective temperature agreeing well with our determination of $T_{\text{eff}} = 7440 \pm 110$ K. Additional values that may be relevant for the reader are $v_{\text{turb}} = 2$ km s $^{-1}$ from [Gray et al. \(2006\)](#) and $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -0.25 \pm 0.06$ from [Gáspár et al. \(2016\)](#) and a radius of $R = 0.87 R_{\odot}$ from [Pasinetti Fracassini et al. \(2001\)](#). We note that the radius of this star may be underestimated, as it is unrealistically low for an early F type star on or near the main-sequence.

[Strohmeier et al. \(1965\)](#) classified the star as a Cepheid with a period of 7.975 d and amplitude of 0.3 mag. It is thus likely the complex beating of the low-amplitude pulsations resulted in the misclassification. π PsA is a known spectroscopic binary ([Buscombe & Morris 1961](#)) with a low mass companion and an orbital period of 178 d ([Allen et al. 2012](#); [Pourbaix et al. 2004a](#)) and eccentricity 0.53 ([Abt 2005](#)). The velocity amplitude of the primary is 21.3 km s $^{-1}$ ([Pourbaix et al. 2004a](#)). It has also been flagged as a possible as-




Figure 16. Flare observed in the TESS data of π PsA.




Figure 17. π PsA. Upper panel: here we show the light curve that clearly resembles the variability of a typical γ Dor star. Lower panel: Fourier spectrum of the TESS light curve. Here we find 6 statistically significant frequencies. See text for details.

trometric binary ([Jancart et al. 2005](#)). None of the frequencies are multiples of the orbital frequency. The star has an IR excess ([Chen et al. 2014](#); [Trilling et al. 2007](#)), indicating the presence of a debris disk around the star and has been identified as a variable with a frequency of 0.94305 d $^{-1}$ ([Koen & Eyer 2002](#)). This frequency was confirmed using the original Hipparcos data. The sole Hipparcos frequency, calculated from 104 data-points, is inconsistent with any of the frequencies found in the TESS data.

The TESS light curve indicates the presence of a flare at BJD = 2458370.162 (Fig. 16), which might originate either from a background star or a possible companion. Detailed analyses as described in [Pedersen et al. \(2017\)](#) are required to identify the flare origin, but are out of the scope of this paper.

Based on TESS observations we find six statistically significant frequencies, which are $0.6721(2)$, $1.0242(2)$, $1.2688(3)$, $1.3814(3)$, $1.6385(5)$, $1.8376(3)$ d $^{-1}$, with additional peaks that are unfortunately unresolved, as this star was only observed for 27 days (Fig. 17). Based on the extracted peaks no clear period spacing can be detected. This shows that γ Dor stars require longer observations.

7 CONCLUSIONS

We highlighted the different aspects of the pulsational variability of intermediate-mass A and F stars observed by the TESS mission in its first two sectors. The 2-min cadence of the TESS mission data is particularly useful for studying high-frequency pulsations in δ Sct stars; many modes are found which are often higher than the Nyquist frequency of 24.5 d^{-1} provided by 29.4-min cadence data of the *Kepler* mission (e.g., Bowman & Kurtz 2018; Michel et al. 2017). The homogenous, all-sky sample of δ Sct stars observed by TESS is a significant advantage over previous ensembles of stars observed by, for example, the *Kepler* mission, and offers opportunities to test the physics of pulsation driving for a range in stellar mass, metallicity and rotation. In addition we used Gaia DR2 data and SED T_{eff} values to calculate stellar luminosities and place our sample in the HR diagram (Fig. 2).

In this study, we have also demonstrated that using models with time-dependent non-local convection treatment and mimicking He depletion in the outer envelopes as expected for Am stars, we can explain the driving of pulsations in these stars. The distribution of 31 known Am stars observed by TESS in the HR diagram is consistent with our theoretical predictions, which show that turbulent pressure plays a very important role. Driving pulsations in Am stars has been a long-lasting mystery as the κ mechanism alone could not explain the observations in the presence of He depletion through gravitational settling. Furthermore, the parameter space for which pulsation driving by turbulent pressure is predicted to be at its strongest is shown to be in the centre of the classical instability strip, corresponding to $T_{\text{eff}} \simeq 7500 \text{ K}$. This is compatible with the parameter space for which the pulsator fraction also reaches its maximum of ~ 70 per cent within the classical instability strip (Murphy et al. 2019).

We highlighted the unprecedented asteroseismic potential that the TESS mission data provides for A and F stars, especially for pulsators that have previously not been observed with high-precision space telescopes such as the brightest known δ Sct and γ Dor stars (e.g., α Pic, β Pic, γ Dor, etc). In addition, the near full-sky TESS observations will allow us to perform unbiased variability studies of A and F stars in general, but also in particular of, e.g., pre main-sequence and chemically peculiar stars, such as Am and Ap stars. We provided case studies of different variability types, including pulsators in eclipsing binaries, high-amplitude pulsators, chemically peculiar stars, and potential pre-main-sequence stars. We also show that the rather short observing periods of each sector (27 days) impose in some cases severe constraints on our ability to perform asteroseismic studies of, e.g., γ Dor, δ Sct or hybrid stars due to their unresolved pulsation spectra. A limited frequency resolution will prevent us from detecting consecutive radial orders of g and Rossby modes as well as rotational splitting in p modes. Future studies using longer TESS light curves (obtained during the nominal and a possible extended mission), which are necessary for detailed asteroseismic modelling, will naturally build on this work and improve stellar structure and evolution theory for intermediate-mass stars.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This paper includes data collected by the TESS mission. Funding for the TESS mission is provided by the NASA Explorer Program. Funding for the TESS Asteroseismic Science Operations Centre is provided by the Danish National Research Foundation (Grant

agreement no.: DNRF106), ESA PRODEX (PEA 4000119301) and Stellar Astrophysics Centre (SAC) at Aarhus University. We thank the TESS and TASC/TASOC teams for their support of the present work. This research has made use of the SIMBAD database, operated at CDS, Strasbourg, France. Some of the data presented in this paper were obtained from the Mikulski Archive for Space Telescopes (MAST). STScI is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under NASA contract NAS5-2655.

Funding for the Stellar Astrophysics Centre is provided by The Danish National Research Foundation (Grant agreement no.: DNRF106). MC was supported by FCT - Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia through national funds and by FEDER through COMPETE2020 - Programa Operacional Competitividade e Internacionalização by these grants: UID/FIS/04434/2019, PTDC/FIS-AST/30389/2017 & POCI-01-0145-FEDER-030389. MC is supported in the form of work contract funded by national funds through FCT (CEECIND/02619/2017). JDD acknowledges support from the Polish National Science Center (NCN), grant no. 2018/29/B/ST9/02803. AGH acknowledges funding support from Spanish public funds for research under projects ESP2017-87676-2-2 and ESP2015-65712-C5-5-R of the Spanish Ministry of Science and Education. FKA gratefully acknowledge funding through grant 2015/18/A/ST9/00578 of the Polish National Science Center (NCN). APi and KK acknowledge support provided by the Polish National Science Center (NCN) grant No. 2016/21/B/ST9/01126. This project has been supported by the Lendület Program of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, project No. LP2018-7/2018, and by the support provided from the National Research, Development and Innovation Fund of Hungary, financed under the K16 funding scheme, project No. 115709. JCS acknowledges funding support from Spanish public funds for research under projects ESP2017-87676-2-2 and ESP2015-65712-C5-5-R, and from project RYC-2012-09913 under the ‘Ramón y Cajal’ program of the Spanish Ministry of Science and Education. The research leading to these results has (partially) received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement N°670519: MAM-SIE), from the KU Leuven Research Council (grant C16/18/005: PARADISE), from the Research Foundation Flanders (FWO) under grant agreement G0H5416N (ERC Runner Up Project), as well as from the BELgian federal Science Policy Office (BELSPO) through PRODEX grant PLATO. acknowledges support by the Spanish State Research Agency (AEI) through project No. ESP2017-87676-C5-1-R and No MDM-2017-0737 Unidad de Excelencia María de Maeztu-Centro de Astrobiología (CSIC-INTA). ZsB acknowledges the support provided from the National Research, Development and Innovation Fund of Hungary, financed under the PD1717 funding scheme, project no. PD-123910. DLB acknowledges support from the Whitaker Foundation. SC gratefully acknowledge funding through grant 2015/18/A/ST9/00578 of the Polish National Science Centre (NCN). CCL gratefully acknowledges support from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada. GMM acknowledges funding by the STFC consolidated grant ST/R000603/1. RMO was supported in this work by the "Programme National de Physique Stellaire" (PNPS) of CNRS/INSU co-funded by CEA and CNES. Acknowledgements: IS acknowledges the partial support of projects DN 08-1/2016 and DN 18/13-12.12.2017. PS acknowledges financial support by the Polish NCN grant 2015/18/A/ST9/00578. MS acknowledges the Postdoc@MUNI project CZ.02.2.69/0.0/0.0/16-027/0008360.

This work has made use of data from the European Space

Agency (ESA) mission Gaia (<https://www.cosmos.esa.int/gaia>), processed by the Gaia Data Processing and Analysis Consortium (DPAC, <https://www.cosmos.esa.int/web/gaia/dpac/consortium>). Funding for the DPAC has been provided by national institutions, in particular the institutions participating in the Gaia Multilateral Agreement. The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No. 670519: MAMSIE) and from the Fonds Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek - Vlaanderen (FWO) under the grant agreement G0H5416N (ERC Opvangproject). This research has made use of the VizieR catalogue access tool, CDS, Strasbourg, France (DOI: 10.26093/cds/vizier). The original description of the VizieR service was published in *A&AS* 143, 23.

This publication makes use of data products from the Two Micron All Sky Survey, which is a joint project of the University of Massachusetts and the Infrared Processing and Analysis Center/California Institute of Technology, funded by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration and the National Science Foundation.

REFERENCES

- Abt H. A., 2000, *ApJ*, **544**, 933
 Abt H. A., 2005, *ApJ*, **629**, 507
 Aerts C., Christensen-Dalsgaard J., Kurtz D. W., 2010, *Asteroseismology*. Springer
 Aerts C., Van Reeth T., Tkachenko A., 2017, *ApJ*, **847**, L7
 Aerts C., Mathis S., Rogers T., 2018a, *ARA&A*, in press,
 Aerts C., et al., 2018b, *ApJS*, **237**, 15
 Allen P. R., Burgasser A. J., Faherty J. K., Kirkpatrick J. D., 2012, *AJ*, **144**, 62
 Ammler-von Eiff M., Reiners A., 2012, *A&A*, **542**, A116
 Ammons S. M., Robinson S. E., Strader J., Laughlin G., Fischer D., Wolf A., 2006, *ApJ*, **638**, 1004
 Andrievsky S. M., et al., 2002, *A&A*, **396**, 641
 Antoci V., et al., 2011, *Nature*, **477**, 570
 Antoci V., et al., 2014, *ApJ*, **796**, 118
 Arenou F., Grenon M., Gomez A., 1992, *A&A*, **258**, 104
 Arenou F., et al., 2018, *A&A*, **616**, A17
 Asplund M., Grevesse N., Sauval A. J., Scott P., 2009, *ARA&A*, **47**, 481
 Aurière M., et al., 2010, *A&A*, **523**, A40
 Auvergne M., et al., 2009, *A&A*, **506**, 411
 Baglin A., Breger M., Chevalier C., Hauck B., Le Contel J. M., Sareyan J. P., Valtier J. C., 1973, *A&A*, **23**, 221
 Bailer-Jones C. A., 2011, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, **411**, 435
 Balmforth N. J., Cunha M. S., Dolez N., Gough D. O., Vauclair S., 2001, *MNRAS*, **323**, 362
 Balona L. A., 2014, *MNRAS*, **439**, 3453
 Balona L. A., 2016, *MNRAS*, **459**, 1097
 Balona L. A., Dziembowski W. A., 2011, *MNRAS*, **417**, 591
 Balona L. A., Hearnshaw J. B., Koen C., Collier A., Machi I., Mkhozi M., Steenberg C., 1994a, *MNRAS*, **267**, 103
 Balona L. A., Krisciunas K., Cousins A. W. J., 1994b, *MNRAS*, **270**, 905
 Balona L. A., et al., 1996, *MNRAS*, **281**, 1315
 Balona L. A., et al., 2012, *MNRAS*, **419**, 3028
 Balona L. A., Daszyńska-Daszkiewicz J., Pamyatnykh A. A., 2015, *MNRAS*, **452**, 3073
 Barceló Forteza S., Michel E., Roca Cortés T., García R. A., 2015, *A&A*, **579**, A133
 Barceló Forteza S., Roca Cortés T., García R. A., 2018, *A&A*, **614**, A46
 Barentsen G., et al., 2019, KeplerGO/lightkurve: Lightkurve v1.0b29, doi:10.5281/zenodo.2565212, <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.2565212>
 Bigot L., Dziembowski W. A., 2002, *A&A*, **391**, 235
 Bohlender D. A., Gonzalez J.-F., Matthews J. M., 1999, *A&A*, **350**, 553
 Bowman D. M., 2017, *Amplitude Modulation of Pulsation Modes in Delta Scuti Stars*. Springer International Publishing, 2017, doi:10.1007/978-3-319-66649-5
 Bowman D. M., Kurtz D. W., 2018, *MNRAS*, **476**, 3169
 Bowman D. M., Holdsworth D. L., Kurtz D. W., 2015, *MNRAS*, **449**, 1004
 Bowman D. M., Kurtz D. W., Breger M., Murphy S. J., Holdsworth D. L., 2016, *MNRAS*, **460**, 1970
 Bowman D. M., Buysschaert B., Neiner C., Pápics P. I., Oksala M. E., Aerts C., 2018, *A&A*, **616**, A77
 Bowman D. M., et al., 2019, *A&A*, **621**, A135
 Breger M., 1970, *ApJ*, **162**, 597
 Breger M., 2000, in Breger M., Montgomery M., eds, *Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series Vol. 210, Delta Scuti and Related Stars*. p. 3
 Breger M., et al., 1993, *A&A*, **271**, 482
 Breger M., Beck P., Lenz P., Schmitzberger L., Guggenberger E., Shobbrook R. R., 2006, *A&A*, **455**, 673
 Breger M., et al., 2011, *MNRAS*, **414**, 1721
 Brunsden E., Pollard K. R., Wright D. J., De Cat P., Cottrell P. L., 2018, *MNRAS*, **475**, 3813
 Buscombe W., Morris P. M., 1961, *MNRAS*, **123**, 183
 Buzasi D. L., et al., 2005, *ApJ*, **619**, 1072
 Casagrande L., Schönrich R., Asplund M., Cassisi S., Ramírez I., Meléndez J., Bensby T., Feltzing S., 2011, *A&A*, **530**, A138
 Chaplin W. J., Miglio A., 2013, *ARA&A*, **51**, 353
 Chen B., Vergely J. L., Valette B., Carraro G., 1998, *A&A*, **336**, 137
 Chen C. H., Mittal T., Kuchner M., Forrest W. J., Lisse C. M., Manoj P., Sargent B. A., Watson D. M., 2014, *ApJS*, **211**, 25
 Cheng K.-P., Neff J. E., Johnson D. M., Tarbell E. S., Romo C. A., Gray R. O., Corbally C. J., 2017, *AJ*, **153**, 39
 Christophe S., Ballot J., Ouazzani R.-M., Antoci V., Salmon S. J. A. J., 2018, *A&A*, **618**, A47
 Cohen R. E., Sarajedini A., 2012, *MNRAS*, **419**, 342
 Conti P. S., 1970, *PASP*, **82**, 781
 Corbally C., 1984, *The Astronomical Journal*, **89**, 1887
 Cousins A. W. J., 1992, *The Observatory*, **112**, 53
 Cox J. P., 1963, *ApJ*, **138**, 487
 Cunha M. S., 2001, *MNRAS*, **325**, 373
 Cunha M. S., 2006, *MNRAS*, **365**, 153
 Cunha M. S., Gough D., 2000, *MNRAS*, **319**, 1020
 Cunha M. S., et al., 2019, *MNRAS*, **487**, 3523
 David T. J., Hillenbrand L. A., 2015a, *ApJ*, **804**, 146
 David T. J., Hillenbrand L. A., 2015b, *ApJ*, **804**, 146
 Deeming T. J., 1975, *Ap&SS*, **36**, 137
 Degroote P., et al., 2009, *A&A*, **506**, 111
 Díaz C. G., González J. F., Levato H., Grosso M., 2011, *A&A*, **531**, A143
 Drimmel R., Cabrera-Lavers A., López-Corrodoira M., 2003, *A&A*, **409**, 205
 Dupret M.-A., Grigahcène A., Garrido R., Gabriel M., Scuflaire R., 2005, *A&A*, **435**, 927
 Dziembowski W., 1977, *Acta Astron.*, **27**, 95
 Eggleton P. P., Tokovinin A. A., 2008, *MNRAS*, **389**, 869
 Erspamer D., North P., 2003, *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, **398**, 1121
 Evans D. W., Irwin M. J., Helmer L., 2002, *A&A*, **395**, 347
 Faraggiana R., Bonifacio P., Caffau E., Gerbaldi M., Nonino M., 2004, *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, **425**, 615
 Flower P. J., 1996, *ApJ*, **469**, 355
 Frandsen S., Jones A., Kjeldsen H., Viskum M., Hjorth J., Andersen N. H., Thomsen B., 1995, *A&A*, **301**, 123
 Gaia Collaboration 2018, *VizieR Online Data Catalog*, **1345**
 Gaia Collaboration et al., 2018, *A&A*, **616**, A1
 García Hernández A., Martín-Ruiz S., Monteiro M. J. P. F. G., Suárez J. C., Reese D. R., Pascual-Granado J., Garrido R., 2015, *ApJ*, **811**, L29
 García Hernández A., et al., 2017, *MNRAS*, **471**, L140
 Gáspár A., Rieke G. H., Ballering N., 2016, *ApJ*, **826**, 171

- González J. F., Hubrig S., Savanov I., 2008, Contributions of the Astronomical Observatory Skalnaté Pleso, **38**, 411
- Gough D. O., 1977a, in Spiegel E. A., Zahn J.-P., eds, Lecture Notes in Physics, Berlin Springer Verlag Vol. 71, Problems of Stellar Convection. pp 349–363, doi:10.1007/3-540-08532-7_56
- Gough D. O., 1977b, *ApJ*, **214**, 196
- Gray D. F., 2008, The Observation and Analysis of Stellar Photospheres. Cambridge University Press
- Gray R. O., Corbally C. J., 1998, *AJ*, **116**, 2530
- Gray R. O., Corbally C. J., Garrison R. F., McFadden M. T., Bubar E. J., McGahee C. E., O'Donoghue A. A., Knox E. R., 2006, *AJ*, **132**, 161
- Green G. M., et al., 2015, *ApJ*, **810**, 25
- Green G. M., et al., 2018, *MNRAS*, **478**, 651
- Grigahcène A., et al., 2010, *ApJ*, **713**, L192
- Gruber D., et al., 2012, *MNRAS*, **420**, 291
- Guenther D. B., Kallinger T., Zwintz K., Weiss W. W., Tanner J., 2007, *ApJ*, **671**, 581
- Guzik J. A., Kaye A. B., Bradley P. A., Cox A. N., Neuforge C., 2000, *ApJ*, **542**, L57
- Handberg R., 2013, PhD thesis, Aarhus University
- Hatta Y., Sekii T., Takata M., Kurtz D. W., 2019, *ApJ*, **871**, 135
- Heiter U., 2002, *A&A*, **381**, 959
- Heiter U., et al., 2002, *A&A*, **392**, 619
- Helt B. E., 1984, *A&AS*, **56**, 457
- Henden A. A., Levine S., Terrell D., Welch D. L., 2015, in American Astronomical Society Meeting Abstracts #225. p. 336.16
- Henden A. A., Templeton M., Terrell D., Smith T. C., Levine S., Welch D., 2016, VizieR Online Data Catalog, **2336**
- Hoeg E., et al., 1997, *A&A*, **323**, L57
- Houdek G., 2000, in Breger M., Montgomery M., eds, Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series Vol. 210, Delta Scuti and Related Stars. p. 454
- Houdek G., Balmforth N. J., Christensen-Dalsgaard J., Gough D. O., 1999, Arxiv Prepr. astro-ph/9909107, 596, 16
- Howarth I. D., 1983, *MNRAS*, **203**, 301
- Howell S. B., et al., 2014, *PASP*, **126**, 398
- Huber D., et al., 2016, The Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series, **224**, 2
- Jancart S., Jorissen A., Babusiaux C., Pourbaix D., 2005, *A&A*, **442**, 365
- Kama M., Folsom C. P., Pinilla P., 2015, *A&A*, **582**, L10
- Kamath D., Wood P. R., Van Winckel H., 2014, *MNRAS*, **439**, 2211
- Kharchenko N. V., 2001, Kinematika i Fizika Nebesnykh Tel, **17**, 409
- Kim C., McNamara D. H., Christensen C. G., 1993, *AJ*, **106**, 2493
- King J. R., 1994, *MNRAS*, **269**, 209
- Koch D. G., et al., 2010, *ApJ*, **713**, L79
- Koen C., Eyer L., 2002, *MNRAS*, **331**, 45
- Kopacki G., 2015, Acta Astron., **65**, 81
- Kordopatis G., et al., 2013, *AJ*, **146**, 134
- Kunder A., et al., 2017, VizieR Online Data Catalog, **3279**
- Kurtz D. W., 1978, *ApJ*, **221**, 869
- Kurtz D. W., 1982, *MNRAS*, **200**, 807
- Kurtz D. W., 1985, *MNRAS*, **213**, 773
- Kurtz D. W., Saio H., Takata M., Shibahashi H., Murphy S. J., Sekii T., 2014, *MNRAS*, **444**, 102
- Kurtz D. W., Shibahashi H., Murphy S. J., Bedding T. R., Bowman D. M., 2015, *MNRAS*, **450**, 3015
- Kurucz R., 1993, ATLAS9 Stellar Atmosphere Programs and 2 km/s grid. Kurucz CD-ROM No. 13. Cambridge, Mass.: Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory, 1993., **13**
- Kurucz R. L., Avrett E. H., 1981, SAO Special Report, **391**
- Lee J. W., Hong K., Kristiansen M. H., 2019, *AJ*, **157**, 17
- Lenz P., Breger M., 2004, in Zverko J., Ziznovsky J., Adelman S. J., Weiss W. W., eds, IAU Symposium Vol. 224, The A-Star Puzzle. pp 786–790, doi:10.1017/S1743921305009750
- Lenz P., Pamyatnykh A. A., Breger M., Antoci V., 2008, *A&A*, **478**, 855
- Li G., Bedding T. R., Murphy S. J., Van Reeth T., Antoci V., Ouazzani R.-M., 2019a, *MNRAS*, **482**, 1757
- Li G., Van Reeth T., Bedding T. R., Murphy S. J., Antoci V., 2019b, *MNRAS*, **487**, 782
- Liakos A., Niarchos P., 2016, Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, p. stw2756
- Liakos A., Niarchos P., 2017, *MNRAS*, **465**, 1181
- Lindegren L., et al., 2016, *A&A*, **595**, A4
- Maeder A., 2009, Physics, Formation and Evolution of Rotating Stars. Springer, doi:10.1007/978-3-540-76949-1
- Malkov O. Y., Tamazian V. S., Docobo J. A., Chulkov D. A., 2012, *A&A*, **546**, A69
- Marconi M., Palla F., 1998, *ApJ*, **507**, L141
- Martell S. L., et al., 2017, *MNRAS*, **465**, 3203
- Masana E., Jordi C., Ribas I., 2006, *A&A*, **450**, 735
- Matthews J. M., 2007, Communications in Asteroseismology, **150**, 333
- McDonald I., Zijlstra A. A., Boyer M. L., 2012, *MNRAS*, **427**, 343
- McDonald I., Zijlstra A. A., Watson R. A., 2017, *MNRAS*, **471**, 770
- McNamara D. H., 2000, in Breger M., Montgomery M., eds, Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series Vol. 210, Delta Scuti and Related Stars. p. 373
- McNamara D. H., 2011, *AJ*, **142**, 110
- McNamara D. H., Clementini G., Marconi M., 2007, *AJ*, **133**, 2752
- Meynet G., Ekstrom S., Maeder A., Eggenberger P., Saio H., Chomienne V., Haemmerlé L., 2013, in Goupil M., Belkacem K., Neiner C., Lignières F., Green J. J., eds, Lecture Notes in Physics, Berlin Springer Verlag Vol. 865, Lecture Notes in Physics, Berlin Springer Verlag. p. 3 (arXiv:1301.2487), doi:10.1007/978-3-642-33380-4_1
- Michaud G., 1970, *ApJ*, **160**, 641
- Michel E., et al., 2017, in European Physical Journal Web of Conferences. p. 03001 (arXiv:1705.03721), doi:10.1051/epjconf/201716003001
- Mombarg J. S. G., Van Reeth T., Pedersen M. G., Molenberghs G., Bowman D. M., Johnston C., Tkachenko A., Aerts C., 2019, *MNRAS*,
- Monet D. G., et al., 2003, *AJ*, **125**, 984
- Montgomery M. H., O'Donoghue D., 1999, Delta Scuti Star Newsletter, **13**, 28
- Munari U., et al., 2014, *AJ*, **148**, 81
- Murphy S. J., 2014, PhD thesis, Jeremiah Horrocks Institute, University of Central Lancashire, Preston, UK <EMAIL>murphy@physics.usyd.edu.au</EMAIL>
- Murphy S. J., 2015, *MNRAS*, **453**, 2569
- Murphy S. J., et al., 2013, *MNRAS*, **432**, 2284
- Murphy S. J., et al., 2015, *Publ. Astron. Soc. Australia*, **32**, e036
- Murphy S. J., Hey D., Van Reeth T., Bedding T. R., 2019, *MNRAS*,
- Nemec J., Mateo M., 1990, in Cacciari C., Clementini G., eds, Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series Vol. 11, Confrontation Between Stellar Pulsation and Evolution. pp 64–84
- Nemec J. M., Balona L. A., Murphy S. J., Kinemuchi K., Jeon Y.-B., 2017, *MNRAS*, **466**, 1290
- Niemczura E., Scholz R. D., Hubrig S., Järvinen S. P., Schöller M., Ilyin I., Kahraman Aliçavuş F., 2017, *MNRAS*, **470**, 3806
- Oelkers R. J., et al., 2018, *AJ*, **155**, 39
- Ouazzani R. M., Dupret M. A., Reese D. R., 2012, *A&A*, **547**, A75
- Ouazzani R.-M., Salmon S. J. A. J., Antoci V., Bedding T. R., Murphy S. J., Roxburgh I. W., 2017, *MNRAS*, **465**, 2294
- Pamyatnykh A. A., 1999, Acta Astron., **49**, 119
- Pamyatnykh A. A., 2000, in Breger M., Montgomery M., eds, Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series Vol. 210, Delta Scuti and Related Stars. p. 215 (arXiv:astro-ph/0005276)
- Pamyatnykh A. A., Dziembowski W. A., Handler G., Pikall H., 1998, *A&A*, **333**, 141
- Paparo M., Sterken C., Spoon H. W. W., Birch P. V., 1996, *A&A*, **315**, 400
- Paparó M., Benkő J. M., Hareter M., Guzik J. A., 2016a, *ApJS*, **224**, 41
- Paparó M., Benkő J. M., Hareter M., Guzik J. A., 2016b, *ApJ*, **822**, 100
- Pápics P. I., et al., 2012, *A&A*, **542**, A55
- Pasinetti Fracassini L. E., Pastori L., Covino S., Pozzi A., 2001, *A&A*, **367**, 521
- Paunzen E., Handler G., Weiss W. W., North P., 1994, Information Bulletin on Variable Stars, **4094**
- Paunzen E., et al., 2002, *A&A*, **392**, 515

- Paunzen E., Heiter U., Fraga L., Pintado O., 2012, *MNRAS*, **419**, 3604
- Pedersen M. G., Antoci V., Korhonen H., White T. R., Jessen-Hansen J., Lehtinen J., Nikbaksh S., Viuhio J., 2017, *MNRAS*, **466**, 3060
- Petersen J. O., Christensen-Dalsgaard J., 1996, *A&A*, **312**, 463
- Pigulski A., 2014, in Guzik J. A., Chaplin W. J., Handler G., Pigulski A., eds, IAU Symposium Vol. 301, Precision Asteroseismology. pp 31–38 ([arXiv:1311.3954](https://arxiv.org/abs/1311.3954)), doi:10.1017/S1743921313014038
- Pigulski A., Michalska G., 2007, *Acta Astron.*, **57**, 61
- Pojmański G., 1997, *Acta Astron.*, **47**, 467
- Pojmański G., 2002, *Acta Astron.*, **52**, 397
- Poleski R., et al., 2010, *Acta Astron.*, **60**, 1
- Poretti E., et al., 2005, *A&A*, **440**, 1097
- Poretti E., et al., 2011, *Astron. Astrophys.*, **528**, A147
- Pourbaix D., et al., 2004a, *A&A*, **424**, 727
- Pourbaix D., et al., 2004b, *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, **424**, 727
- Press W. H., Rybicki G. B., 1989, *ApJ*, **338**, 277
- Preston G. W., 1974, *ARA&A*, **12**, 257
- Rainer M., et al., 2016, *AJ*, **152**, 207
- Rainer M., et al., 2017, *VizieR Online Data Catalog*, **515**
- Reegen P., 2007, *A&A*, **467**, 1353
- Renson P., Manfroid J., 2009, *Astron. Astrophys.*, **498**, 961
- Renson P., Gerbaldi M., Catalano F., 1991, *Astronomy and Astrophysics Supplement Series*, **89**, 429
- Ricker G. R., et al., 2014, in *Space Telescopes and Instrumentation 2014: Optical, Infrared, and Millimeter Wave*. p. 914320 ([arXiv:1406.0151](https://arxiv.org/abs/1406.0151)), doi:10.1117/12.2063489
- Ricker G. R., et al., 2015, *Journal of Astronomical Telescopes, Instruments, and Systems*, **1**, 014003
- Rodríguez E., López-González M., 2000, *Astronomy and Astrophysics Supplement Series*, **144**, 469
- Royer F., Grenier S., Baylac M.-O., Gómez A. E., Zorec J., 2002, *A&A*, **393**, 897
- Royer F., Zorec J., Gómez A., 2007, *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, **463**, 671
- Saio H., 2005, *MNRAS*, **360**, 1022
- Saio H., Gautschi A., 2004, *MNRAS*, **350**, 485
- Saio H., Kurtz D. W., Takata M., Shibahashi H., Murphy S. J., Sekii T., Bedding T. R., 2015, *MNRAS*, **447**, 3264
- Saio H., Kurtz D. W., Murphy S. J., Antoci V. L., Lee U., 2018a, *MNRAS*, **474**, 2774
- Saio H., Bedding T. R., Kurtz D. W., Murphy S. J., Antoci V., Shibahashi H., Li G., Takata M., 2018b, *MNRAS*, **477**, 2183
- Scargle J. D., 1982, *ApJ*, **263**, 835
- Schlegel D. J., Finkbeiner D. P., Davis M., 1998, *ApJ*, **500**, 525
- Schmid V. S., Aerts C., 2016, *A&A*, **592**, A116
- Schwarzenberg-Czerny A., 2003, in *Sterken C.*, ed., *Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series Vol. 292, Interplay of Periodic, Cyclic and Stochastic Variability in Selected Areas of the H-R Diagram*. p. 383
- Shulyak D., Tsymbal V., Ryabchikova T., Stütz C., Weiss W. W., 2004, *A&A*, **428**, 993
- Siebert A., et al., 2011, *AJ*, **141**, 187
- Sikora J., et al., 2019, *arXiv e-prints*, p. [arXiv:1905.08835](https://arxiv.org/abs/1905.08835)
- Skrutskie M. F., et al., 2006, *AJ*, **131**, 1163
- Sloan G. C., et al., 2004, *ApJ*, **614**, L77
- Smalley B., et al., 2011, *A&A*, **535**, A3
- Smalley B., et al., 2017, *MNRAS*, **465**, 2662
- Soubiran C., Le Campion J.-F., Cayrel de Strobel G., Caillo A., 2010, *A&A*, **515**, A111
- Soubiran C., Le Campion J.-F., Brouillet N., Chemin L., 2016, *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, **591**, A118
- Stassun K. G., et al., 2018, *AJ*, **156**, 102
- Stępień K., 2000, *A&A*, **353**, 227
- Stevens D. J., Stassun K. G., Gaudi B. S., 2017, *AJ*, **154**, 259
- Strohmeier W., 1967, *Information Bulletin on Variable Stars*, **195**
- Strohmeier W., Knigge R., Ott H., 1965, *Information Bulletin on Variable Stars*, **86**
- Suárez J. C., García Hernández A., Moya A., Rodrigo C., Solano E., Garrido R., Rodón J. R., 2014, *A&A*, **563**, A7
- Suran M., Goupil M., Baglin A., Lebreton Y., Catala C., 2001, *A&A*, **372**, 233
- Tarrant N. J., Chaplin W. J., Elsworth Y. P., Spreckley S. A., Stevens I. R., 2008, *A&A*, **492**, 167
- Templeton M., Basu S., Demarque P., 2002, *ApJ*, **576**, 963
- Tkachenko A., 2015, *A&A*, **581**, A129
- Tokovinin A., Kiyaveva O., 2016, *MNRAS*, **456**, 2070
- Trilling D. E., et al., 2007, *ApJ*, **658**, 1289
- Tsymbal V., 1996, in *Adelman S. J., Kupka F., Weiss W. W.*, eds, *Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series Vol. 108, M.A.S.S., Model Atmospheres and Spectrum Synthesis*. p. 198
- Turcotte S., Richer J., Michaud G., Christensen-Dalsgaard J., 2000, *A&A*, **360**, 603
- Uesugi A., Fukuda I., 1970, *Contributions from the Institute of Astrophysics and Kwasan Observatory, University of Kyoto, Kyoto: University, Kwasan Observatory, Institute of Astrophysics*, 1970
- Uytterhoeven K., et al., 2011, *A&A*, **534**, A125
- Van Reeth T., et al., 2015a, *ApJS*, **218**, 27
- Van Reeth T., et al., 2015b, *A&A*, **574**, A17
- Van Reeth T., Tkachenko A., Aerts C., 2016, *A&A*, **593**, A120
- Van Reeth T., et al., 2018, *A&A*, **618**, A24
- Venn K. A., Lambert D. L., 1990, *ApJ*, **363**, 234
- Weiss W. W., et al., 2014, *PASP*, **126**, 573
- White T. R., et al., 2017, *MNRAS*, **471**, 2882
- Xiong D. R., Deng L., Zhang C., Wang K., 2016, *MNRAS*, **457**, 3163
- Ziaali E., Bedding T. R., Murphy S. J., Van Reeth T., Hey D. R., 2019, *MNRAS*, **486**, 4348
- Zorec J., Royer F., 2012a, *A&A*, **537**, A120
- Zorec J., Royer F., 2012b, *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, **537**, A120
- Zwintz K., Fossati L., Ryabchikova T., Kaiser A., Gruberbauer M., Barnes T. G., Baglin A., Chaintreuil S., 2013, *A&A*, **550**, A121
- Zwintz K., et al., 2014, *Science*, **345**, 550
- Zwitter T., et al., 2010, *A&A*, **522**, A54
- van Leeuwen F., 2007, *A&A*, **474**, 653

APPENDIX A: TABLES

Table A1: Stellar parameters for the TESS δ Sct and γ Dor pulsators observed at 2-min cadence during the first two pointings (Sectors 1 and 2) in the ecliptic Southern hemisphere. The columns indicate: the TESS Input Catalogue (TIC) number, the TESS magnitude, an alternative identifier of the star, spectroscopic (Spec.) and photometric (Phot) effective temperatures and log g values and their associated uncertainties from literature respectively. The reference is indicated next to each value. In addition, we list the projected rotational velocity and binarity status as available in literature.

TIC	TESS mag	alternative identifier	$T_{\text{eff}}[K]$ Spec.	$\sigma_{T_{\text{eff}}}$ Spec.	$T_{\text{eff}}[K]$ Phot.	$\sigma_{T_{\text{eff}}}$ Phot.	log g Spec.	$\sigma_{\log g}$ Spec.	log g Phot.	$\sigma_{\log g}$ Phot.	$v \sin i$ [km s ⁻¹]	$\sigma_{v \sin i}$ [km s ⁻¹]	binarity
9632550	9.06	BS Aqr	7245 ¹	75 ¹	-	-	3.82 ¹	0.12 ¹	-	-	23 ¹	-	-
12470841	8.44	HD 923	-	-	7832 ²	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12784216	8.92	HD 213125	-	-	6519 ³	178 ³	-	-	4.03 ³	0.26 ³	-	-	-
12974182	6.78	HD 218003	7471 ⁴	95 ⁴	7584 ⁵	208 ⁵	3.86 ⁴	0.18 ⁴	4.05 ⁵	-	-	-	-
29281339	6.63	HD 198501	-	-	7511 ⁵	284 ⁵	-	-	3.88 ⁵	-	-	-	-
30531417	11.03	XX Dor	-	-	6769 ⁶	202 ⁶	-	-	-	1.82 ⁶	-	-	-
32176627	6.90	HD 209970	-	-	7156 ⁵	347 ⁵	-	-	4.10 ⁵	-	-	-	-
32197339	6.97	HD 210139	-	-	7766 ⁵	125 ⁵	-	-	3.50 ⁵	-	-	-	-
33911462	8.06	HD 222828	-	-	6987 ⁷	98 ⁷	-	-	3.53 ⁷	-	-	-	-
33984043	6.37	HD 223466	-	-	8433 ⁸	58 ⁸	-	-	4.23 ⁹	-	69.5 ¹⁰	1 ¹⁰	-
34038105	6.74	HD 223991A	-	-	8854 ¹¹	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
38587180	7.69	TX Ret	8009 ¹²	-	7258 ²	-	3.62 ¹²	-	-	-	-	-	-
38602305	6.13	θ Ret A	-	-	12442 ²	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	binary ¹³
38847248	5.86	θ Tuc	-	-	7638 ¹⁴	90 ¹⁴	-	-	3.59 ¹⁴	0.08 ¹⁴	80 ¹⁵	-	binary ¹⁶
44627561	8.98	HD 215559	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
49677785	9.35	HD 220687	7033 ¹	77 ¹	-	-	3.39 ¹	0.12 ¹	-	-	91 ¹	-	-
51991595	12.07	2MASS J01011055-6843069	-	-	6794 ⁶	201 ⁶	-	-	4.19 ⁶	-	-	-	-
52244754	9.04	HD 8438	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52258534	7.71	BG Hyi	7289 ¹²	-	6928 ²	-	2.91 ¹²	-	-	-	-	-	-
66434034	8.88	HD 5497	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
70744515	8.80	HD 225077	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
71334169	8.52	HD 209430	-	-	6872 ¹⁷	125 ¹⁷	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
79394646	3.58	θ Ind A	7870 ¹⁸	-	-	-	4.14 ¹⁸	-	-	-	135 ¹⁰	2 ¹⁰	binary ¹⁹
80474886	6.23	AZ Phe	-	-	7278 ¹⁴	35 ¹⁴	-	-	3.96 ⁵	0.11 ¹⁴	-	-	-
88277481	9.04	HD 210740	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
89464315	5.29	WX PsA	7430 ³⁹	34 ³⁹	7509 ⁹	255 ⁹	-	-	3.67 ⁹	0.14 ⁹	91 ⁸	-	-
89542582	9.71	HD 223587	-	-	7295 ⁵	208 ⁵	-	-	3.97 ⁵	-	-	-	-
92734713	8.32	HD 200835	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99839685	8.46	HD 204972	-	-	7153 ²	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
102090493	9.20	HD 7454	-	-	7195 ¹¹	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
116157537	5.88	BB Phe	6973 ³⁹	107 ³⁹	7000 ²	-	-	-	-	-	83 ¹⁵	-	-
126659093	9.18	ZZ Mic	7217 ¹	54 ¹	7613 ¹¹	-	-	-	-	-	35 ¹	-	-
137796620	9.87	HD 216728	-	-	7559 ⁶	213 ⁶	-	-	4.13 ⁶	0.48 ⁶	-	-	-
139131968	5.52	Tau ³ Gru	-	-	7820 ²¹	100 ²¹	-	-	3.723 ⁵	-	-	-	-
139232635	3.94	θ Gru	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	64 ²²	-	binary ¹⁹
139825582	7.40	CF Ind	6938 ²³	-	7075 ²	-	3.3 ²³	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table A1: Stellar parameters for the TESS δ Sct and γ Dor pulsators observed at 2-min cadence during the first two pointings (Sectors 1 and 2) in the ecliptic Southern hemisphere. The columns indicate: the TESS Input Catalogue (TIC) number, the TESS magnitude, an alternative identifier of the star, spectroscopic (Spec.) and photometric (Phot) effective temperatures and log g values and their associated uncertainties from literature respectively. The reference is indicated next to each value. In addition, we list the projected rotational velocity and binarity status as available in literature.

TIC	TESS mag	alternative identifier	$T_{\text{eff}}[K]$ Spec.	$\sigma_{T_{\text{eff}}}$ Spec.	$T_{\text{eff}}[K]$ Phot.	$\sigma_{T_{\text{eff}}}$ Phot.	log g Spec.	$\sigma_{\log g}$ Spec.	log g Phot.	$\sigma_{\log g}$ Phot.	$v \sin i$ [km s ⁻¹]	$\sigma_{v \sin i}$ [km s ⁻¹]	binarity
139845816	8.02	RS Gru	7853 ¹²	-	6933 ⁷	81 ⁷	-	-	3.53 ⁷	-	40 ¹⁵	-	binary ²⁴
141026903	6.37	HD 35184	-	-	8017 ⁸	206 ⁸	-	-	3.7 ⁵	-	94 ²⁵	6 ²⁵	-
144309524	11.33	TYC 8459-201-1	-	-	7818 ⁶	217 ⁶	-	-	4.11 ⁶	1.76 ⁶	-	-	-
144387364	7.16	BF Phe	-	-	7241 ⁵	185 ⁵	-	-	4.02 ⁵	0.17 ¹⁴	80	-	-
147085268	8.02	HD 203880	-	-	7046 ²⁶	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
147113185	5.30	HD 204018	-	-	7118 ²	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	binary ²⁷
150101501	9.49	HD 42780	-	-	8051 ²⁸	200 ²⁸	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
150394126	6.54	HD 46190	9790 ²⁹	97 ²⁹	8346 ⁵	669 ⁵	-	-	4.21 ⁵	-	-	-	-
152864226	7.84	HD 217417	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
154842794	5.15	π PsA	7194 ¹⁸	-	-	-	4.24	-	-	-	-	-	binary ³⁰
161172103	6.34	CC Gru	-	-	7031 ¹⁴	65 ¹⁴	-	-	3.55 ¹⁴	0.14 ⁹	122 ¹⁸	-	-
166808854	4.88	η Hor	-	-	7203 ³¹	90 ³¹	-	-	-	-	7 ³¹	2 ³¹	binary ³²
167602316	3.04	α Pic	-	-	7551 ³³	35 ³³	-	-	-	-	206 ⁸	-	-
183532876	11.24	CD-34 16262	-	-	6844 ⁶	203 ⁶	-	-	4.18 ⁶	1.49 ⁶	-	-	-
183595451	5.73	AI Scl	7147 ³⁹	145 ³⁹	7502 ⁹	255 ⁹	3.21 ²³	-	-	-	-	-	-
197686479	5.86	BZ Gru	-	-	6982 ¹⁴	81 ¹⁴	-	-	3.26 ¹⁴	-	-	-	-
197759259	8.68	HD 209689	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
198035211	9.45	HD 26058	7335 ¹	442 ¹	7950 ¹	330 ¹	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
201250317	9.23	HD 225032	7474 ¹	83 ¹	8115 ¹¹	-	4.25 ¹	0.16 ¹	-	-	-	-	-
206660904	7.65	HD 216290	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
211379298	9.46	HD 203513	-	-	8019 ²⁸	200 ²⁸	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
219332123	7.16	DR Gru	-	-	7447 ¹⁴	137 ¹⁴	-	-	3.82 ¹⁴	0.1 ³⁴	-	-	-
224280541	7.21	HD 222701	-	-	7420 ²	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
224285142	8.68	HD 222987	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
224285325	7.01	SX Phe	9138 ²³	-	-	-	5.02 ²³	-	-	-	18 ¹⁵	-	-
229059574	6.15	HD 210111	7400 ¹⁴	100 ¹⁴	7550 ¹⁴	123 ¹⁴	3.8 ¹⁴	0.1 ¹⁴	3.84 ¹⁴	-	30 ¹⁴	-	binary ³⁵
229150702	5.76	BD Phe	7950 ³⁹	-	8050 ⁹	274 ⁹	3.83	-	3.99 ⁹	0.09 ¹⁴	118 ³¹	15 ³¹	-
229154157	9.53	HD 11667	7061 ¹	81 ¹	7179 ⁴	146 ⁴	3.48 ¹	0.12 ¹	-	-	31 ¹	-	-
229810275	9.03	HD 19098	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
231014033	9.23	HD 10961	7482 ¹	83 ¹	8069 ¹¹	-	4.34 ¹	0.16 ¹	-	-	39 ¹	-	-
231020078	9.01	HD 11333	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
231048083	6.55	FG Eri	8986 ²³	400 ²³	7998 ⁸	93 ⁸	3.93 ²³	0.5 ²³	3.15 ⁵	-	107 ³¹	4 ³¹	-
231632224	12.72	2MASS J21101928-5750453	-	-	7027 ⁶	202 ⁶	-	-	4.17 ⁶	-	-	-	-
234498473	5.21	HD 4125	7943 ³⁹	92 ³⁹	-	-	-	-	-	-	15 ³¹	5 ³¹	binary ³²
234516307	8.78	HD 5648	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
234528371	8.97	HD 6622	-	-	7230 ³⁶	27 ³⁶	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table A1: Stellar parameters for the TESS δ Sct and γ Dor pulsators observed at 2-min cadence during the first two pointings (Sectors 1 and 2) in the ecliptic Southern hemisphere. The columns indicate: the TESS Input Catalogue (TIC) number, the TESS magnitude, an alternative identifier of the star, spectroscopic (Spec.) and photometric (Phot) effective temperatures and log g values and their associated uncertainties from literature respectively. The reference is indicated next to each value. In addition, we list the projected rotational velocity and binarity status as available in literature.

TIC	TESS mag	alternative identifier	$T_{\text{eff}}[K]$ Spec.	$\sigma_{T_{\text{eff}}}$ Spec.	$T_{\text{eff}}[K]$ Phot.	$\sigma_{T_{\text{eff}}}$ Phot.	log g Spec.	$\sigma_{\log g}$ Spec.	log g Phot.	$\sigma_{\log g}$ Phot.	$v \sin i$ [km s ⁻¹]	$\sigma_{v \sin i}$ [km s ⁻¹]	binarity
234548714	7.21	BS Tuc	7337 ³⁷	-	7328 ¹⁴	102 ¹⁴	-	-	3.84 ¹⁴	0.17 ³⁸	130 ¹⁵	-	-
237318602	9.18	HD 216941	-	-	7175 ⁴	496 ⁴	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
237881239	9.38	HD 8052	7477 ¹	83 ¹	7238 ⁴	469 ⁴	4.15 ¹	0.16 ¹	-	-	198 ¹	-	-
238185398	9.14	HD 23537	-	-	8173 ¹¹	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
246845553	8.35	HD 1619	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
246853154	8.03	HD 2026	-	-	6577 ²	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
253917376	8.07	UV PsA	7918 ¹²	-	6889 ⁷	154 ⁷	4.00 ¹²	-	-	-	-	-	-
260353074	8.96	HD 44596	-	-	7031 ²⁶	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
260416268	5.47	ν Pic	7537 ²⁰	76 ²⁰	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
260654645	12.44	2MASS J06324522-5748198	-	-	6826 ⁶	201 ⁶	-	-	4.18 ⁶	-	-	-	-
261089835	11.45	TYC 9492-1017-1	-	-	6978 ⁶	205 ⁶	-	-	4.17 ⁶	-	-	-	-
265566844	7.52	BX Ind	6968 ¹²	-	6762 ⁷	88 ⁷	3.62 ⁷	-	0.19 ⁷	-	-	-	-
267094416	8.51	HD 18006	-	-	7172 ²	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
269994543	8.63	HD 197648	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
270067755	7.09	2MASS J20472005-2624547	-	-	7098 ⁵	163 ⁵	-	-	3.83 ⁵	-	-	-	-
277682809	8.32	HD 208154	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
278611926	7.51	HD 210767	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	binary ¹⁹
279361762	8.04	V383 Car	6838 ⁷	95 ⁷	-	-	3.68 ⁷	-	-	-	-	-	-
279613634	8.77	HD 54480	-	-	7147 ²⁸	150 ²⁸	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
303584611	6.74	BS Scl	8216 ²³	400 ²³	7764 ⁵	175 ⁵	3.76 ²³	0.5 ²³	3.83 ⁵	-	-	-	-
308396022	10.99	TYC 8928-1300-1	-	-	6730 ⁵	248 ⁵	-	-	3.81 ⁵	-	-	-	-
340705975	6.51	AW Scl	-	-	8103 ⁵	143 ⁵	-	-	3.60 ⁵	-	-	-	binary ³⁰
348762920	5.42	HD 20313	-	-	7000 ²	-	-	-	3.98	-	75 ¹⁵	-	binary ¹⁶
348772511	7.25	CP Oct	7028 ³⁹	120 ³⁹	6900 ³⁸	100 ³⁸	3.44 ²³	-	3.63 ³⁸	-	72 ⁴⁰	-	binary ⁴¹
350431472	9.57	HD 38081	-	-	7624 ²⁸	75 ²⁸	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
350563225	9.07	HD 39131	7632 ⁴²	95 ⁴²	7827 ¹¹	-	4.1 ⁴²	0.18 ⁴²	-	-	157 ⁴²	-	-
355547586	12.56	2MASS J21242025-5751539	-	-	7073 ⁶	206 ⁶	-	-	4.16 ⁶	-	-	-	-
355687188	9.72	HD 224852	6834 ⁴³	300 ⁴³	6865 ⁵	360 ⁵	3.50 ⁴³	0.3 ⁴³	3.55 ⁵	-	-	-	-
358070081	9.47	HD 16938	7090 ⁴⁴	-	7032 ⁴	208 ⁴	3.95 ⁴⁴	-	-	-	109 ¹	-	-
358502706	11.60	2MASS J04032009-8341586	-	-	7369 ⁶	210 ⁶	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
364399376	7.16	V393 Car	-	-	7400 ³⁴	100 ³⁴	-	-	3.7 ³⁴	-	-	-	-
381204458	8.76	HD 19532	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
381857833	8.15	HD 204352	-	-	7308 ⁴⁵	81 ⁴⁵	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
382551468	10.44	CD-53 251	6761 ⁴⁶	93 ⁴⁶	5836 ⁶	190 ⁶	4.03 ⁴⁶	0.10 ⁴⁶	3.64 ⁶	-	17 ⁴⁶	1 ⁴⁶	-
394015973	7.96	BE Ind	7830 ²³	-	-	-	3.56 ²³	-	-	-	39 ²³	1 ²³	-
396720223	9.57	HD 28001	8720 ⁵²	-	7233 ⁴	186 ⁴	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table A1: Stellar parameters for the TESS δ Sct and γ Dor pulsators observed at 2-min cadence during the first two pointings (Sectors 1 and 2) in the ecliptic Southern hemisphere. The columns indicate: the TESS Input Catalogue (TIC) number, the TESS magnitude, an alternative identifier of the star, spectroscopic (Spec.) and photometric (Phot) effective temperatures and log g values and their associated uncertainties from literature respectively. The reference is indicated next to each value. In addition, we list the projected rotational velocity and binarity status as available in literature.

TIC	TESS mag	alternative identifier	$T_{\text{eff}}[K]$ Spec.	$\sigma_{T_{\text{eff}}}$ Spec.	$T_{\text{eff}}[K]$ Phot.	$\sigma_{T_{\text{eff}}}$ Phot.	log g Spec.	$\sigma_{\log g}$ Spec.	log g Phot.	$\sigma_{\log g}$ Phot.	$v \sin i$ [km s ⁻¹]	$\sigma_{v \sin i}$ [km s ⁻¹]	binarity
399572664	9.78	HD 215353	-	-	7826 ⁵	247 ⁵	-	-	4.10 ⁵	1.56 ⁶	-	-	-
402047030	8.05	HD 221098	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
402318229	8.96	HD 221576	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
426012953	9.62	HD 8096	8000 ¹	83 ¹	8919 ¹¹	-	4.50 ¹	0.16 ¹	-	-	-	-	-
431589510	11.81	TYC 9158-919-1	7023 ⁴⁷	41 ⁴⁷	-	-	4.04 ⁴⁷	0.17 ⁴⁷	-	-	-	-	-
439399707	8.82	HD 225186	-	-	7518 ²	377 ²	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
441110063	5.54	8 PsA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	23 ²⁵	-	-
469844770	9.62	HD 199247	-	-	7950 ⁵	208 ⁵	-	-	4.30 ⁵	-	-	-	-
469933721	5.88	DQ Gru	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	179 ²⁵	-	-

¹ Kunder et al. (2017) ² McDonald et al. (2012) ³ Huber et al. (2016) ⁴ Stevens et al. (2017) ⁵ McDonald et al. (2017) ⁶ Stassun et al. (2018) ⁷ Casagrande et al. (2011) ⁸ Zorec & Royer (2012b) ⁹ David & Hillenbrand (2015b) ¹⁰ Díaz et al. (2011) ¹¹ Ammons et al. (2006) ¹² Rainer et al. (2017) ¹³ Renson et al. (1991) ¹⁴ Paunzen et al. (2002) ¹⁵ Rodríguez & López-González (2000) ¹⁶ Liakos & Niarchos (2017) ¹⁷ Bailer-Jones (2011) ¹⁸ Erspamer & North (2003) ¹⁹ Tokovinin & Kiyaveva (2016) ²⁰ Soubiran et al. (2010) ²¹ Eggleton & Tokovinin (2008) ²² Uesugi & Fukuda (1970) ²³ Rainer et al. (2016) ²⁴ Liakos & Niarchos (2016) ²⁵ Royer et al. (2002) ²⁶ Smalley et al. (2011) ²⁷ Corbally (1984) ²⁸ Gaia Collaboration (2018) ²⁹ Sloan et al. (2004) ³⁰ Pourbaix et al. (2004b) ³¹ Ammler-von Eiff & Reiners (2012) ³² Malkov et al. (2012) ³³ Royer et al. (2007) ³⁴ Helt (1984) ³⁵ Faraggiana et al. (2004) ³⁶ Munari et al. (2014) ³⁷ Cheng et al. (2017) ³⁸ Niemczura et al. (2017) ³⁹ Soubiran et al. (2016) ⁴⁰ González et al. (2008) ⁴¹ Paparo et al. (1996) ⁴² Kordopatis et al. (2013) ⁴³ Zwitter et al. (2010) ⁴⁴ Siebert et al. (2011) ⁴⁵ Masana et al. (2006) ⁴⁶ Zwintz et al. (2014) ⁴⁷ Martell et al. (2017)

Table A2: Stellar parameters and variability type of the 2-min cadence TESS δ Scuti and γ Dor pulsators determined in this work. In this table we list the TESS Input Catalogue (TIC) name, an alternative name for each star, the effective temperatures derived using the SED method (Section 4.1), the luminosities derived based on the Gaia and when available Hipparcos parallaxes and the respective uncertainties (Section 4.3). In column 9 we describe the variability type determined from the TESS data. The question mark means that the variability type is uncertain (e.g. due to unresolved peaks). HAGD denotes a High Amplitude γ Dor star. The last column describes the chemical peculiarity as described in [Renson & Manfroid \(2009\)](#).

TIC	alternative identifier	T_{eff} [K] SED	σT_{eff}	$\log(L/L_{\odot})$ Gaia	$\sigma \log(L/L_{\odot})$	$\log(L/L_{\odot})$ HIPPARCOS	$\sigma \log(L/L_{\odot})$	variability type	chem. pec.
9632550	BS Aqr	6760	140	1.62	0.03	1.96	0.94	HADS	
12470841	HD 923	8090	230	1.64	0.02	2.01	0.43	one large peak only	
12784216	HD 213125	6390	120	1.14	0.01			δ Sct/hybrid?	
12974182	HD 218003	7670	170	1.103	0.008	1.08	0.04	rot?/binary?	
29281339	HD 198501	7430	160	1.205	0.007	1.17	0.04	almost const	
30531417	XX Dor	6800	150	1.64	0.03			HAGD	
32176627	HD 209970	7140	140	0.883	0.006	0.92	0.04	const	
32197339	HD 210139	8120	180	1.9	0.1	1.69	0.13	γ Dor (unresolved)	
33911462	HD 222828	6700	140	1.28	0.02	1.52	0.33	δ Sct	
33984043	HD 223466	8340	80	1.257	0.008	1.33	0.05	const	Am
34038105	HD 223991A	8640	90	1.131	0.007	1.02	0.06	const	
38587180	TX Ret	7230	160	1.343	0.006	1.38	0.1	δ Sct	Am
38602305	θ Ret A	12000	390	2.101	0.006	2.09	0.04	binary or multiple system	
38847248	θ Tuc	7510	150	1.831	0.007	1.77	0.03	δ Sct/EB	
44627561	HD 215559	6410	140	1.44	0.02			δ Sct/binary?/Ap?	Am
49677785	HD 220687	7360	180	1.42	0.02			δ Sct /binary	
51991595	2MASS J01011055-6843069	6890	150	1.25	0.03			HADS	Am
52244754	HD 8438	6650	140	1.240	0.009			δ Sct	Am
52258534	BG Hyi	6990	140	1.233	0.006	1.33	0.1	δ Sct	
66434034	HD 5497	7830	200	0.94	0.01			δ Sct	
70744515	HD 225077	9870	550	1.27	0.03	1.21	0.18	const	
71334169	HD 209430	7150	160	1.21	0.01	0.62	0.21	δ Sct	
79394646	θ Ind A	8090	60	1.074	0.008	1.1	0.01	δ Sct/binary/rot	Am
80474886	AZ Phe	7030	120	1.308	0.006	1.39	0.09	δ Sct	Am
88277481	HD 210740	7520	170	0.852	0.008			γ Dor/hybrid/1 peak only in δ Sct regime	
89464315	WX PsA	7250	150	1.68	0.01	1.7	0.02	δ Sct	Am
89542582	HD 223587	7430	170	1.09	0.03	0.81	0.36	δ Sct	
92734713	HD 200835	8420	270	1.12	0.01			δ Sct	
99839685	HD 204972	7210	160	1.10	0.01	0.84	0.16	δ Sct/ hybrid?	
102090493	HD 7454	5890	110	1.28	0.01	0.79	0.21	δ Sct /Ap/binary?	
116157537	BB Phe	7070	120	1.749	0.006	1.7	0.05	δ Sct	
126659093	ZZ Mic	8110	210	1.2	0.02	1.03	0.33	HADS	
137796620	HD 216728	7840	200	0.89	0.03			δ Sct	
139131968	τ^3 Gru	7550	160	1.457	0.009	1.38	0.02	const	Am
139232635	θ Gru	6990	90	1.4	0.02	1.45	0.02	rot	
139825582	CF Ind	7250	140	1.504	0.009	1.47	0.14	δ Sct/ hybrid?	Am

Table A2: Stellar parameters and variability type of the 2-min cadence TESS δ Scuti and γ Dor pulsators determined in this work. In this table we list the TESS Input Catalogue (TIC) name, an alternative name for each star, the effective temperatures derived using the SED method (Section 4.1), the luminosities derived based on the Gaia and when available Hipparcos parallaxes and the respective uncertainties (Section 4.3). In column 9 we describe the variability type determined from the TESS data. The question mark means that the variability type is uncertain (e.g. due to unresolved peaks). HAGD denotes a High Amplitude γ Dor star. The last column describes the chemical peculiarity as described in [Renson & Manfroid \(2009\)](#).

TIC	alternative identifier	T_{eff} [K] SED	σT_{eff}	$\log(L/L_{\odot})$ Gaia	$\sigma \log(L/L_{\odot})$	$\log(L/L_{\odot})$ HIPPARCOS	$\sigma \log(L/L_{\odot})$	variability type	chem. pec.
139845816	RS Gru	7780	170	1.45	0.01	1.41	0.25	HADS	
141026903	HD 35184	8120	160	1.455	0.005	1.43	0.03	const	
144309524	TYC 8459-201-1	7220	240	1.32	0.04			HADS	
144387364	BF Phe	7350	170	1.013	0.005	0.97	0.06	δ Sct/ hybrid?	
147085268	HD 203880	7290	160	1.062	0.008			δ Sct/ hybrid?	
147113185	HD 204018	6900	120	1.175	0.006	1.21	0.03	const	Am
150101501	HD 42780	7920	240	1.09	0.01			δ Sct	
150394126	HD 46190	8850	100	1.167	0.005	1.16	0.02	δ Sct	
152864226	HD 217417	7200	150	0.93	0.01	0.91	0.1	δ Sct/ hybrid	
154842794	π PsA	7420	110	0.783	0.007	0.82	0.02	γ Dor	Am
161172103	CC Gru	7160	150	1.466	0.006	1.57	0.06	δ Sct	
166808854	η Hor	7840	500	1.06	0.02	1.14	0.04	δ Sct	Am
167602316	α Pic	7350	660			1.56	0.05	δ Sct/hybrid	
183532876	CD-34 16262	7140	280	1.55	0.04			HADS	Am
183595451	AI Scl	7360	140	1.234	0.009	1.23	0.02	δ Sct	Am
197686479	BZ Gru	6950	130	1.69	0.01	1.77	0.05	δ Sct	
197759259	HD 209689	7510	180	1.135	0.009			δ Sct/ hybrid	
198035211	HD 26058	7530	170	0.95	0.01			δ Sct	
201250317	HD 225032	7410	250	1.01	0.01			δ Sct	Am
206660904	HD 216290	7450	170	1.33	0.01	1.26	0.12	const	
211379298	HD 203513	8110	210	1.20	0.03			δ Sct /binary	Am
219332123	DR Gru	7830	160	1.062	0.007	1.02	0.07	δ Sct/ hybrid?	
224280541	HD 222701	7420	140	1.35	0.01	1.46	0.08	const	
224285142	HD 222987	7730	190	1.23	0.01	1.09	0.18	δ Sct	
224285325	SX Phe	7320	170	0.844	0.009	0.79	0.04	HADS	Am
229059574	HD 210111	7910	110	1.157	0.006	1.15	0.03	δ Sct	
229150702	BD Phe	8300	80	1.343	0.005	1.33	0.02	δ Sct	Am
229154157	HD 11667	6660	140	1.33	0.01			δ Sct	
229810275	HD 19098	8250	220	1.17	0.008			const	
231014033	HD 10961	7150	150	0.88	0.01			δ Sct	
231020078	HD 11333	7450	180	0.94	0.02			δ Sct/ hybrid?	
231048083	FG Eri	7940	140	1.984	0.007	2	0.07	developed δ Sct?	
231632224	2MASS J21101928-5750453	7210	280	1.56	0.08			HADS	
234498473	HD 4125	7960	160	1.61	0.08			δ Sct	Am
234516307	HD 5648	7520	180	1.097	0.008			δ Sct	
234528371	HD 6622	7180	220	0.91	0.007			δ Sct	Am
234548714	BS Tuc	7290	160	0.981	0.005	0.95	0.04	δ Sct/ hybrid?	

Table A2: Stellar parameters and variability type of the 2-min cadence TESS δ Scuti and γ Dor pulsators determined in this work. In this table we list the TESS Input Catalogue (TIC) name, an alternative name for each star, the effective temperatures derived using the SED method (Section 4.1), the luminosities derived based on the Gaia and when available Hipparcos parallaxes and the respective uncertainties (Section 4.3). In column 9 we describe the variability type determined from the TESS data. The question mark means that the variability type is uncertain (e.g. due to unresolved peaks). HAGD denotes a High Amplitude γ Dor star. The last column describes the chemical peculiarity as described in [Renson & Manfroid \(2009\)](#).

TIC	alternative identifier	T_{eff} [K] SED	σT_{eff}	$\log(L/L_{\odot})$ Gaia	$\sigma \log(L/L_{\odot})$	$\log(L/L_{\odot})$ HIPPARCOS	$\sigma \log(L/L_{\odot})$	variability type	chem. pec.
237318602	HD 216941							δ Sct	Am
237881239	HD 8052	7400	180	0.913	0.008			δ Sct/ hybrid?	
238185398	HD 23537	8100	210	1.011	0.007			roAp candidate?	
246845553	HD 1619	7200	160	1.00	0.01			spots	Am
246853154	HD 2026	8340	160	1.39	0.01	1.27	0.12	rot?/binary?	
253917376	UV PsA	6850	140	1.24	0.01			δ Sct	Am
260353074	HD 44596	7010	150	1.26	0.02			δ Sct/ hybrid?	
260416268	ν Pic	7830	300	1.20	0.02	1.04	0.02	rot?/binary?	Am
260654645	2MASS J06324522-5748198	7050	160	1.24	0.03			HADS	
261089835	TYC 9492-1017-1	6310	160	1.32	0.03			HADS	Am
265566844	BX Ind	6640	130	1.46	0.01	1.09	0.1	δ Sct? 1 peak	
267094416	HD 18006	7300	160	1.08	0.02	1	0.1	almost const	
269994543	HD 197648	7430	170	0.95	0.02			δ Sct/ hybrid?	Am
270067755	2MASS J20472005-2624547	7790	200	1.077	0.008	1.13	0.1	almost const	Am
277682809	HD 208154	8320	240	0.936	0.007	0.98	0.14	δ Sct/ hybrid?	Am
278611926	HD 210767	7370	140	4	3			rot?/binary?	
279361762	V383 Car	6950	140	1.612	0.008	1.38	0.1	δ Sct	
279613634	HD 54480	7190	160	1.00	0.01			δ Sct	
303584611	BS Scl	8050	140	1.370	0.008	1.27	0.04	δ Sct	
308396022	TYC 8928-1300-1	6860	150	0.94	0.01			δ Sct/HADS/hybrid?	
340705975	AW Scl	8010	150	1.757	0.009	1.63	0.06	Ap?	
348762920	HD 20313	7370	130	1.461	0.007	1.48	0.04	δ Sct/hybrid	
348772511	CP Oct	6810	140	1.47	0.01	1.63	0.12	δ Sct	
350431472	HD 38081	7020	170	0.998	0.007			δ Sct	
350563225	HD 39131	7610	180	1.07	0.01			δ Sct	
355547586	2MASS J21242025-5751539	7240	170	1.05	0.08			HADS	
355687188	HD 224852	6510	140	1.45	0.02			HADS	
358070081	HD 16938	6540	140	0.9	0.2			δ Sct	
358502706	TYC 9492-02623-1	7220	190	1.12	0.02			HADS	
364399376	V393 Car	7330	170	1.489	0.008			δ Sct	
381204458	HD 19532	7730	180	1.48	0.01			δ Sct /binary	Am
381857833	HD 204352	7460	170	1.102	0.008	0.98	0.11	δ Sct	
382551468	CD-53 251	6080	110	0.83	0.01			const	
394015973	BE Ind	7520	180	1.33	0.01	1.42	0.23	δ Sct/ hybrid?	
396720223	HD 28001	7200	160	1.13	0.02			δ Sct/ hybrid?	
399572664	HD 215353	8050	210	1.26	0.02			δ Sct /binary?/rot?	
402047030	HD 221098	7080	150	1.213	0.008	1.25	0.16	const	

Table A2: Stellar parameters and variability type of the 2-min cadence TESS δ Scuti and γ Dor pulsators determined in this work. In this table we list the TESS Input Catalogue (TIC) name, an alternative name for each star, the effective temperatures derived using the SED method (Section 4.1), the luminosities derived based on the Gaia and when available Hipparcos parallaxes and the respective uncertainties (Section 4.3). In column 9 we describe the variability type determined from the TESS data. The question mark means that the variability type is uncertain (e.g. due to unresolved peaks). HAGD denotes a High Amplitude γ Dor star. The last column describes the chemical peculiarity as described in [Renson & Manfroid \(2009\)](#).

TIC	alternative identifier	T_{eff} [K] SED	σT_{eff}	$\log(L/L_{\odot})$ Gaia	$\sigma \log(L/L_{\odot})$	$\log(L/L_{\odot})$ HIPPARCOS	$\sigma \log(L/L_{\odot})$	variability type	chem. pec.
402318229	HD 221576	7650	180	1.07	0.02			δ Sct/ hybrid?	Am
426012953	HD 8096	8360	290	1.38	0.02			const	
431589510	TYC 9158-919-1	6930	190	1.2	0.3			HADS	
439399707	HD 225186	7250	170	0.99	0.02	1.19	0.25	δ Sct/binary/flares	
441110063	8 PsA	7720	160	1.122	0.008	1.17	0.02	δ Sct	
469844770	HD 199247	7980	210	0.92	0.01			δ Sct	
469933721	V* DQ Gru	0	0	1.691	0.008	1.63	0.07	γ Dor/ δ Sct/hybrid?	

APPENDIX B: AUTHOR AFFILIATIONS

¹Stellar Astrophysics Centre, Ny Munkegade 120, 8000, Aarhus, Denmark

²Instituto de Astrofísica e Ciências do Espaço, Universidade do Porto, CAUP, Rua das Estrelas, PT4150-762 Porto, Portugal

³Institute of Astronomy, KU Leuven, Celestijnenlaan 200D, 3001 Leuven, Belgium

⁴Sydney Institute for Astronomy (SIfA), School of Physics, University of Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia

⁵Jeremiah Horrocks Institute, University of Central Lancashire, Preston PR1 2HE, UK

⁶LESIA, Observatoire de Paris, Université PSL, CNRS, Sorbonne Université, Univ. Paris Diderot, Sorbonne Paris Cité, 5 place Jules Janssen, 92195 Meudon, France

⁷Instytut Astronomiczny, Uniwersytet Wrocławski, Kopernika 11, 51-622 Wrocław, Poland

⁸Instituto de Astronomía, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Ap. P. 877, Ensenada, BC 22860, Mexico

⁹Dept. Física Teórica y del Cosmos, Universidad de Granada, Campus de Fuentenueva s/n, E-18071 Granada, Spain

¹⁰Instituto de Astrofísica de Andalucía, Glorieta de la Astronomía s/n, Granada, Spain

¹¹Department of Physics, Institute for Advanced Studies in Basic Sciences (IASBS), Zanjan 45137-66731, Iran

¹²Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, University of Zanjan P.O. Box 45195-313, Zanjan, Iran

¹³Nicolaus Copernicus Astronomical Center, Bartycka 18, PL-00-716 Warsaw, Poland

¹⁴Center for Astrophysics | Harvard & Smithsonian, 60 Garden Street, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA

¹⁵Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada

¹⁶Department of Theoretical Physics and Astrophysics, Masaryk University, Kotlarska 2, 611 37 Brno, Czech Republic

¹⁷Instituto de Astrofísica La Plata, CONICET-UNLP, Paseo del Bosque s/n, 1900 La Plata, Argentina

¹⁸Department of Physics, Lehigh University, 16 Memorial Drive East, Bethlehem, PA, 18015, USA

¹⁹School of Earth and Space Exploration, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ 85281, USA

²⁰Department of Physics and Kavli Institute for Astrophysics and Space Research, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA

²¹Department of Earth, Atmospheric and Planetary Sciences, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA

²²Department of Aeronautics and Astronautics, MIT, 77 Massachusetts Avenue, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA

²³Astrophysics Group, Keele University, Staffordshire, ST5 5BG, United Kingdom

²⁴Department of Physics, University of York, Heslington, York, YO10 5DD, UK

²⁵Konkoly Observatory, MTA CSFK, H-1121, Konkoly Thege Miklós út 15-17, Budapest, Hungary

²⁶22 MTA CSFK Lendület Near-Field Cosmology Research Group

²⁷Dept. Física Teórica y del Cosmos, Universidad de Granada, Campus de Fuentenueva s/n, E-18071, Granada, Spain

²⁸Instituto de Astrofísica de Andalucía, Glorieta de la Astronomía s/n, Granada, Spain

²⁹Yunnan Observatories, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 396 Yangfangwang, Guandu District, Kunming, 650216, P. R. China

³⁰Key Laboratory for the Structure and Evolution of Celestial Objects, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 396 Yangfangwang, Guandu District, Kunming, 650216, P. R. China

³¹Center for Astronomical Mega-Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 20A Datun Road, Chaoyang District, Beijing, 100012, P. R. China

³²Universität Innsbruck, Institut für Astro- und Teilchenphysik, Technikerstrasse 25, A-6020 Innsbruck, Austria

³³Dpto. de Astrofísica, Centro de Astrobiología (CSIC-INTA), ESAC, Camino Bajo del Castillo s/n, 28692, Spain

³⁴Department of Chemistry and Physics, Florida Gulf Coast University, 10501 FGCU Blvd., Fort Myers, FL 33965 USA

³⁵Royal Observatory of Belgium, Ringlaan 3, 1180, Brussel, Belgium

³⁶Center for Exoplanets and Habitable Worlds, 525 Davey Laboratory, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA

³⁷Los Alamos National Laboratory, MS T-082, Los Alamos, NM 87545 USA

³⁸Physics Department, Bloomsburg University, 200 E 2nd St, Bloomsburg, PA 17815, USA

³⁹Physics Department, Mount Allison University, Sackville, NB, E4L 1E6, Canada

⁴⁰Astrophysics Research Group, Faculty of Engineering and Physical Sciences, University of Surrey, Guildford GU2 7XH, UK

⁴¹National Astronomical Research Institute of Thailand, 260 Moo 4, T. Donkaew, A. Maerim, Chiangmai, 50180 Thailand

⁴²Departamento de Física e Astronomia, Faculdade de Ciências da Universidade do Porto, Portugal ⁴³Department of Physics Astronomy, Camosun College, Victoria, BC, Canada.

⁴⁴IRAP, Université de Toulouse, CNRS, UPS, CNES, 14, avenue Edouard Belin, F-31400 Toulouse, France

⁴⁵Department of Theoretical Physics and Astrophysics, Masaryk University, Kotlářská 2, 61137 Brno, Czech Republic

⁴⁶Astronomical Institute, Czech Academy of Sciences, Fričova 298, 25165, Ondřejov, Czech Republic

⁴⁷Institute of Astronomy and NAO, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, blvd.Tsarigradsko chaussee 72, Sofia 1784, Bulgaria

⁴⁸Institute for Astrophysics, University of Vienna, Türkenschanzstrasse 17, 1180 Vienna, Austria

This paper has been typeset from a $\text{\TeX}/\text{\LaTeX}$ file prepared by the author.