

Modelling δ Scuti pulsations: A new grid of p, g, and f modes across pre-main-sequence to post-main-sequence evolution

Anuj Gatnam,^{1*} Simon J. Murphy,^{1†} Timothy R. Bedding,²

¹ Centre for Astrophysics, University of Southern Queensland, Toowoomba, QLD 4350, Australia

² Sydney Institute for Astronomy, School of Physics, University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia

Accepted XXX. Received YYY; in original form ZZZ

ABSTRACT

Space-based photometry from missions such as *TESS* has revealed that many young δ Scuti stars exhibit regular high-frequency pulsation patterns. These pulsations provide a powerful means of inferring stellar properties, particularly ages, for pre-main-sequence and early main sequence δ Scuti stars for which traditional age-dating methods are poorly constrained. Realising this potential requires robust theoretical models that capture the complexities of stellar structure and evolution. We present a comprehensive grid of 30 million stellar pulsation models, computed using the *MESA* stellar evolution code and the *GYRE* oscillation code, tailored specifically to δ Scuti stars. The grid spans a wide range of masses, metallicities and surface rotation velocities, and covers evolutionary phases from the early pre-main-sequence through the main sequence and into the post-main sequence contraction phase. For each model, we compute hundreds of adiabatic pulsation frequencies for degrees $\ell = 0-3$, capturing p modes, g modes, surface-trapped f modes and their interactions through avoided crossings. Our analysis maps the behavior of asteroseismic observables, including the large frequency separation ($\Delta\nu$) and phase offset parameter (ε), across age, mass, and rotation. We investigate how these parameters change with evolutionary stage and revisit the scaling relations applicable to δ Scuti stars. This new model grid, which is publicly available, is designed to support the asteroseismology community in interpreting δ Scuti pulsations and in probing the evolution and internal structure of these stars. These improvements over previous such model grids will allow for reliable age estimates and stronger constraints on stellar evolution pathways and the timing of planet formation across A- and F-type stellar populations.

Key words: asteroseismology – stars: evolution – stars: oscillations – stars: fundamental parameters – stars: pre-main-sequence – stars: variables: δ Scuti

1 Introduction

Accurately determining stellar ages is essential for advancing our understanding of Galactic chemical evolution and the dynamics of planet formation. Precise age-dating is particularly significant for young stars, whose nascent planetary systems evolve quickly within short-lived circumstellar discs. Without reliable age estimates, it is difficult to place robust temporal constraints on protoplanetary disc observations from facilities such as *ALMA*, *VLT/SPHERE*, and *JWST*, thereby limiting our understanding of the timescales of planet formation.

Current age-dating methods often rely on isochrone fitting of star clusters, which is highly sensitive to the properties of high-mass stars ($M > 2.5, M_{\odot}$) near the main sequence turn-off. Other techniques, such as lithium depletion or kinematics, are more effective for low-mass stars ($M < 1.5, M_{\odot}$). In contrast, age determination for intermediate-mass stars remains particularly challenging because traditional age-estimation techniques such as isochrone fitting and gyrochronology often suffer from significant uncertainties in this

mass regime, owing to sparse empirical calibrators and the absence of strong magnetic braking (Soderblom 2010).

Asteroseismology, the study of stellar oscillations, offers a promising alternative for age determination, particularly for intermediate-mass stars (Aerts et al. 2010; Kurtz 2022). Among these, δ Sct stars, typically with masses between 1.5 and 2.5 M_{\odot} , are of particular interest. These stars are found in associations spanning ages from a few million years (e.g. Upper Centaurus; Murphy et al. 2021) to early- or mid-main-sequence clusters (e.g. Pleiades; Fox Machado et al. 2006; Bedding et al. 2023; Praesepe; Hernandez et al. 1998; Frandsen et al. 2001; Dall et al. 2002; Breger et al. 2012). At older ages, δ Sct stars are also observed near the terminal-age main sequence and beyond, though they are typically found as field stars, since most clusters disperse before reaching such ages.

Oscillations in a large number of δ Sct stars have been detected, revealing pulsations dominated by low-overtone radial and non-radial pressure (p) modes with periods ranging from 18 minutes to 8 hours (Handler & Shobbrook 2002; Goupil et al. 2005). These pulsations modulate the stellar luminosity with photometric amplitudes ranging from a few μ mag to over 300 mmag (Rodríguez & López-González 2000). High-precision photometry from space-based missions such as *WIRE*, *MOST*, *BRITE*, *CoRoT*, *Kepler*, and *TESS* has played a

* E-mail: anuj.gatnam@unisoq.edu.au (AG)

† E-mail: simon.murphy@usq.edu.au (SJM)

central role in detecting and characterizing these pulsations across a wide population of δ Sct stars (Handler & Shobbrook 2002; Goupil et al. 2005; Buzasi et al. 2005; Gilliland et al. 2010; Uytterhoeven et al. 2011; Breger et al. 2012; Paparó et al. 2013; Balona et al. 2015; Michel et al. 2017; Forteza et al. 2018; Bowman & Kurtz 2018; Antoci et al. 2019; Guzik 2021; Hasanzadeh et al. 2021; Barac et al. 2022; Chen et al. 2022; Zwintz et al. 2024).

Pulsations in δ Sct stars are generally attributed to the κ -mechanism acting in the He II ionization zone, which causes a local opacity increase that drives pulsational instability (Pamyatnykh 2000). However, not all observed features, particularly the lowest-amplitude, high-frequency modes, are readily explained by this mechanism alone. In addition to κ -mechanism, turbulent pressure in the outer convective layers, especially near the hydrogen partial ionization zone, may also contribute to the excitation of specific high-order p modes ($7 \leq n \leq 10$) (Houdek 2000; Antoci et al. 2014; Smalley et al. 2017). Such p modes have noticeably different line widths from low-frequency p modes of similar amplitude in observed amplitude spectra (Bedding et al. 2020). Turbulent pressure may be especially relevant in Am stars, where helium depletion and chemical stratification alter the opacity profile and suppress classical κ -driving (Preston 1974). In addition to these excitation mechanisms, the edge-bump mechanism has been identified as an alternative opacity source capable of driving pulsations, even in stars with significantly depleted helium envelopes (Stellingwerf 1979; Théado et al. 2005; Murphy et al. 2020b), and appears to explain the confinement of Am stars to the red half of the instability strip (Murphy et al. 2020b).

Regular frequency patterns in δ Sct stars have been both theoretically predicted and observationally confirmed. Theoretical studies have shown that high-radial-order p modes can retain near-regular frequency spacings even in the presence of moderate rotation, owing to their confinement in the outer stellar envelope (Reese et al. 2008; Ouazzani et al. 2015; Reese et al. 2017; Mirouh et al. 2019). Observationally, such regularities have been detected in many δ Sct stars (García Hernández et al. 2009; Breger et al. 2011; García Hernández et al. 2013; Bedding et al. 2020). In particular, the high-frequency p mode spectra of young δ Sct stars often display clearly spaced overtone series that can be lined up in échelle diagrams, allowing for reliable identification of radial and non-radial modes (Bedding et al. 2020; Murphy et al. 2021; Scutt et al. 2023; Bedding et al. 2023). The ability to identify pulsation modes means that fundamental stellar properties including mass, metallicity, and age can be determined via forward asteroseismic modelling, without requiring cluster membership or empirical calibrations. Additionally, this approach allows measurement of the internal angular rotation frequency (Ω) independent of the inclination angle, eliminating the geometric projection uncertainty that affects spectroscopic measurements of rotational velocities ($v \sin i$). Moreover, older δ Sct stars, particularly those exhibiting hybrid characteristics with γ Doradus pulsations, can also show gravity (g) modes that provide further insight into their deeper interior regions (Kurtz et al. 2014; Saio et al. 2015; Li et al. 2020; Aerts & Tkachenko 2024; Aerts et al. 2025).

The large frequency separation, $\Delta\nu$, represents the regular spacing between consecutive radial orders of pressure modes and scales approximately with the square root of the mean stellar density (Ulrich 1986), making it a powerful diagnostic of global stellar properties (García Hernández et al. 2017; Murphy et al. 2023). This scaling has been empirically validated in studies of δ Sct stars in eclipsing binary systems, where independent mass and radius measurements enable direct calibration of the $\Delta\nu$ -density relation (García Hernández et al. 2015).

Even in the presence of stellar rotation, the relation remains robust. For moderate rotators, the scaling holds well in the low-radial-order regime, with some dependence on stellar mass and evolutionary state (Rodríguez-Martín et al. 2020). At more rapid rotation rates, where centrifugal distortion and mode coupling become significant, $\Delta\nu$ continues to scale approximately with the square root of the mean density, although with reduced precision (Reese et al. 2008; García Hernández et al. 2015). This persistence highlights the fundamental connection between stellar structure and pulsation properties.

Beyond mean density, detailed modelling has shown that $\Delta\nu$, when combined with luminosity measurements, can also constrain stellar inclinations and rotation rates (Murphy et al. 2024). While individual frequency modelling yields the most precise stellar parameters, $\Delta\nu$ serves as a reliable and measurable quantity for population studies and for stars with less distinct pulsation patterns where mode identification remains challenging.

Grid-based asteroseismic modelling has already yielded tight age constraints for individual field stars. For example, HD 139614, a pre-main-sequence δ Sct star in Upper Centaurus–Lupus, was modelled with $< 8\%$ uncertainty on its age (Murphy et al. 2021). Similar analyses have been applied to δ Sct stars in the Pleiades (Murphy et al. 2022), and in the SPYGLASS sample (Kerr et al. 2022a,b), using échelle diagrams to identify radial and dipole modes and fit them with 1D evolutionary models. Additional work by Steindl et al. (2021); Steindl et al. (2022) has shown that different accretion histories during the pre-main-sequence phase can leave subtle imprints on internal structure, and hence on pulsation frequencies, although these signatures may be difficult to detect observationally.

Applying asteroseismic modelling to ensembles of δ Sct stars within the same cluster or association enables cross-validation of stellar parameters and yields high-precision estimates of cluster ages. Because all members of a cluster are expected to share the same age and initial composition, differences in the inferred parameters from individual stars directly reflect the precision and limitations of the modelling framework. In the Pleiades, for example, asteroseismic fits to five δ Sct stars revealed that non-rotating models systematically overestimated stellar densities, emphasizing the necessity of incorporating rotational effects in stellar models (Murphy et al. 2022). Similarly, large-sample analyses in the Cepheus–Hercules complex found that pulsator fractions increase with decreasing age and that rapid rotators, while more likely to pulsate, exhibit less regular mode patterns (Murphy et al. 2024).

Despite these advances, existing model grids have significant limitations. Previous grids either cover only a fraction of the main sequence lifetime (Murphy et al. 2023), neglect rotation entirely, or include a limited range of pulsation modes. To address these shortcomings and provide a comprehensive resource for the asteroseismic community, we have developed an extensive grid of 30 million stellar pulsation models computed using the stellar evolution code MESA (Modules for Experiments in Stellar Astrophysics; r24.03.1; Paxton et al. 2011, 2013, 2015, 2018, 2019; Jermyn et al. 2023) and the stellar oscillation code GYRE (v7.2.1; Townsend & Teitler 2013; Townsend et al. 2018; Goldstein & Townsend 2020). For this grid, we simulated the evolution of approximately 25,000 stars with varying masses, initial metallicities, and surface rotation velocities, across thousands of ages each (described below). Our grid extends beyond previous work by:

(i) *Incorporation of rotation:*

Stars exhibiting δ Sct pulsations typically lie above the Kraft break, a well-defined transition in stellar structure and rotational behaviour that separates low-mass, slowly rotating stars from higher-

mass, rapidly rotating ones (Kraft 1967). The break occurs near $T_{\text{eff}} \sim 6550$ K, and $M \sim 1.37 M_{\odot}$, with a characteristic width of only ~ 200 K and $0.11 M_{\odot}$ in mass (Beyer & White 2024). This boundary separates lower-mass stars with deep convective envelopes, capable of sustaining magnetic dynamos and undergoing significant angular momentum loss via magnetized winds, from higher-mass stars with predominantly radiative envelopes. In the latter, magnetic braking is inefficient or absent. The Kraft break coincides with a structural transition to thin, ionization-driven surface convection zones (Cantiello & Braithwaite 2019; Jermyn et al. 2022).

As a result of lying above the Kraft break, δ Sct stars are able to retain much of their initial angular momentum throughout the main sequence. Their observed surface rotation velocities span a broad range, with some reaching up to 300 km s^{-1} (Uesugi & Fukuda 1982; Peterson et al. 2006), while others rotate much more slowly. Early studies by Abt & Morrell (1995) suggested a bimodal distribution: one population of fast-rotating, chemically normal stars and another of slower-rotating, chemically peculiar (Am) stars. More detailed analyses by Royer et al. (2007) and Zorec & Royer (2012) revealed that the rotational velocity distribution among A-type stars, which includes δ Sct stars, is more complex. Specifically, they described the observed distribution as a mass-dependent, lagged Maxwellian with a distinct low-velocity component probably associated with stars in binary or multiple systems, and a high-velocity component representing single, rapidly rotating stars.

Asteroseismic studies of A/F stars, including δ Sct variables, reveal very little radial differential rotation across their interiors for slow to moderate rotators (Kurtz et al. 2014; Saio et al. 2015; Murphy et al. 2016), suggesting the presence of efficient internal angular momentum transport mechanisms in these stars. However, the internal rotation profiles of the most rapidly rotating δ Sct stars remain largely unconstrained by asteroseismology (Aerts et al. 2019; Aerts & Tkachenko 2024), mainly because mode identification becomes significantly more challenging in these cases due to the effects of strong centrifugal distortion and complex mode coupling. The empirical evidence for slow to moderate rotators aligns with theoretical predictions (Aerts et al. 2019), which highlight the role of internal gravity waves and hydrodynamical instabilities in enforcing near-rigid rotation.

Beyond angular momentum transport, rotation also induces large-scale meridional circulation and shear instabilities that drive chemical mixing between the convective core and radiative envelope (Maeder & Meynet 2000). This mixing transports fresh hydrogen to the core, extending the main-sequence lifetime of the star and altering the internal chemical gradients that affect pulsation properties.

In our computations, we adopt uniform rotation profiles, initializing rotation at the onset of the pre-main-sequence phase (see Section 2). This approach is consistent with observations and enables a tractable treatment of rotational effects across a large model grid.

(ii) *Broader pulsation modelling:*

We extended our analysis by including higher-degree modes up to $\ell = 3$ in our models. Non-radial pressure mode pulsations propagate along paths not directed through the stellar center. As these waves travel inward, their lower portions of their wavefront encounter regions of higher temperature and sound speed than their upper portions, causing them to refract back toward the surface where they are reflected inward again. The degree ℓ of a mode corresponds to the number of nodal lines on the stellar surface, with higher-degree modes having more surface reflection points and being confined to shallower propagation cavities. Because each mode samples the sound speed along its ray path, its frequency reflects conditions within a specific region of the star. As a result, modes of varying degree carry

information about different depths within the stellar interior (Kurtz 2006; Aerts et al. 2010). While higher-degree modes are more difficult to detect observationally due to geometric cancellation effects, their inclusion in our models provide sensitivity to a wider range of depths within the stellar structure.

In addition to p modes for radial orders $n = 1$ to 11, we also compute g modes for radial orders $n = 1$ to 6 (i.e., $n_{\text{pg}} = -1$ to -5) for $\ell = 1, 2$, and 3, along with the f modes for $\ell = 2$, and 3 (see Sec. 2.3.2). The low-order g modes considered here are distinct from the high-order g modes that are typically a feature of γ Doradus (γ Dor) stars and hybrid pulsators. Our models calculate these low-order g modes across the full δ Sct instability strip. Together with f modes, which occupy frequencies slightly higher the lowest frequency p mode, they must be included for a complete representation of the non-radial mode spectra in these stars.

Identifying and matching the rotational splittings of $\ell = 1-3$ modes, for p, g and f modes, allows for a better chance of successfully measuring the rotation rate of the star. It also provides constraints on the internal rotation profile, allowing us to detect departures from uniform rotation and thus learn about angular momentum transport processes.

(iii) *Extended age range:*

The pulsation spectra of δ Sct stars change significantly as they evolve (for a review, see Christensen-Dalsgaard 2000). The expansion of the stellar envelope lengthens the acoustic travel time, leading to a decrease in p mode frequencies. Meanwhile, the buoyancy frequency in the core increases, leading to an increase in the frequencies of the g modes. Eventually, these p and g modes overlap in frequency and couple to form mixed modes, resulting in mode bumping and *avoided crossings*.

These avoided crossings are a resonance-like phenomenon caused by changes in the propagation region, where modes of similar frequencies but differing spatial characteristics (p and g modes) interact as they traverse regions with sharp density gradients, such as at the convective core boundary. Instead of crossing, the modes couple and exchange characteristics. This interaction effectively increases the restoring force for the p mode-dominated oscillation, shifting its frequency upward to resemble that of a higher radial order mode, while also altering the spatial structure of the coupled mode (Christensen-Dalsgaard 2000; Aerts et al. 2010). Avoided crossings are the reason that our previous work only modelled stars up to one-third of their main sequence lifetime (Murphy et al. 2023).

To capture these complex pulsation behaviours – the gradual decrease of p mode frequencies, the initial increase followed by the decrease in g mode frequencies, and the effects of avoided crossings – we extended our simulations to cover the entire main sequence evolution as well as the post-main-sequence contraction phase.

This paper is the first in a series aimed at integrating our pulsation model grid into a neural network based inference framework that will also account for systematic modelling uncertainties. The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we describe the computational methods and the physical parameters used in constructing the model grid. Section 3 presents an analysis of our model grid, including the effects of rotation and age on pulsation frequencies. Finally, Section 4 discusses the implications of our findings for asteroseismology with δ Sct stars, summarizes our conclusions, and outlines prospects for future work.




Figure 1. Phases of evolution of a typical $1.7 M_{\odot}$, solar-metallicity δ Sct star on the Hertzsprung-Russell diagram.

2 Methodology

2.1 Stellar evolutionary models

Stellar evolutionary models were calculated using *MESA*. This code computes one-dimensional stellar models by solving the fully coupled structure and composition equations, providing equilibrium models at discrete time-steps based on our temporal sampling (see Sec. 2.1.3).

2.1.1 Grid input parameters

The model grid spans initial stellar masses (M) from 1.4 to $2.5 M_{\odot}$ in increments of $0.02 M_{\odot}$, metal mass fractions (Z) from 0.001 to 0.026 in steps of 0.001 , and initial surface rotational velocities ($v_{\text{eq},0}$) from 0 to 15 km s^{-1} in 1 km s^{-1} increments. These velocities increase markedly as the pre-main-sequence models contract, with an initial surface rotational velocity 10 km s^{-1} corresponding to a zero-age main sequence surface rotation velocity of 142.62 km s^{-1} for a solar-metallicity model ($Z = 0.015$) of $1.7 M_{\odot}$. Each evolutionary track is initialized as described in Section 2.1.2 and Section 3.1.

2.1.2 Evolutionary phases

In our models, we choose a temporal sampling that varies between different evolutionary phases (refer Figure 1 and Table 1), defining each phase based on structural and evolutionary criteria. The distinct phases considered are the pre-main-sequence (pre-MS), near zero-age main sequence (near-ZAMS), main sequence (MS), and post-main-sequence (post-MS) contraction phases. The specific criteria for distinguishing each phase are described in detail below.

We begin by generating a pre-MS model with a specified mass and metallicity following the standard *MESA* routine. This routine initializes a chemically homogeneous star with a core temperature below $9 \times 10^5 \text{ K}$, no nuclear burning, and uniformly contracts the model under gravity to generate sufficient luminosity to make the star fully convective.

The *pre-MS phase* spans the evolution from the initial pre-MS model to the ZAMS and is characterized by gravitational contraction and structural reconfiguration as the star evolves toward sustained hydrogen fusion. During this phase, the star transitions from a fully convective, contracting configuration to one with a radiative envelope




Figure 2. Evolution of the large frequency separation ($\Delta\nu$) for a typical 1.7 solar mass star with solar metallicity. The dashed line shows the ZAMS stabilization point, where both the stellar density (hence $\Delta\nu$) and nuclear burning have reached equilibrium.

and a convective core, where nuclear burning becomes the dominant energy source.

We define the *zero-age main sequence* (ZAMS) as the point at which the second derivative of the large frequency separation, $\ddot{\Delta\nu}$, becomes stable. Specifically, we identify the earliest age prior to 50 Myr where the rolling standard deviation of $\ddot{\Delta\nu}$ remains below $0.01 \text{ d}^{-1} \text{ Myr}^{-2}$ over a sliding window of 100 models (spanning $\sim 10 \text{ Myr}$), as illustrated in Figure 2.

We also note that defining ZAMS as the point of highest $\Delta\nu$ is not appropriate in general. In many tracks, both $\Delta\nu$ and T_{eff} can exhibit a bump followed by a dip during the final stages of pre-MS contraction, before true equilibrium is established. For many stars, this bump represents the global maxima of these quantities. Such features can lead to premature ZAMS identification if stability is not explicitly assessed.

To ensure this pulsational stability corresponds to genuine structural equilibrium, we additionally require that the rolling standard deviations of the second derivatives of the effective temperature and mean stellar density remain below 0.5 K Myr^{-2} and $10^{-4} \text{ g cm}^{-3} \text{ Myr}^{-2}$, respectively, over the same window. These quantities are not used to define the ZAMS independently, but act as consistency checks to avoid falsely identifying transient dips in $\ddot{\Delta\nu}$ as stable phases.

This physically motivated approach corresponds to the end of gravitational contraction, where the star reaches hydrostatic and thermal equilibrium, and sustained hydrogen burning dominates its energy output. Compared to the $\Delta\nu$ -based ZAMS definition used in Murphy et al. (2023), our method offers more consistent ZAMS tagging across a wide range of masses and metallicities. It also avoids the ambiguity inherent in abundance-based definitions such as that used in Steindl et al. (2022), which mark ZAMS by a fixed decrement in central hydrogen content ($\Delta X_c = -0.010$), but which does not consistently correspond to equilibrium across the range of masses, metallicities, and initial rotation rates in our grid. Since $\Delta\nu \propto \sqrt{\bar{\rho}}$, where $\bar{\rho}$ is the mean stellar density, a similar density-based criterion to ours can also be applied to models that do not include pulsation calculations.

The *near-ZAMS phase* begins at the ZAMS and extends until an age of 50 Myr . Note that the age at the ZAMS is not known a priori and

Table 1. Temporal resolution settings used in the model grid. The second column specifies the maximum allowed evolution time-step, and the third column shows the effective time interval between saved profiles for pulsation frequency calculations.

Evolutionary Phase	Evolution Time-step	Output Interval
Pre-MS evolution	0.1 Myr	0.1 Myr
Near-ZAMS evolution	0.1 Myr	0.1 Myr
MS evolution to TAMS	2 Myr	10 Myr
Post-MS evolution	2 Myr	10 Myr

varies significantly across the parameter space of mass, metallicity and rotation. Maintaining dense sampling through this near-ZAMS phase ensures that we capture the full range of ZAMS onset times in our grid. By 50 Myr, all stars within our model range have stably entered core hydrogen burning, making this a conservative upper limit that guarantees inclusion of the early main-sequence across all tracks.

The *main sequence (MS) phase* is defined as the period of sustained core hydrogen burning following the near-ZAMS phase. During this time, the star evolves gradually as hydrogen is depleted in the core.

We define the *terminal-age main sequence (TAMS)* as the first time step after the central hydrogen fraction (X_c) has fallen below 70% of its initial value and T_{eff} shows a positive time derivative. This marks the end of stable core hydrogen burning and the onset of post-MS evolution.

The *post-MS contraction phase*, often identified as the ‘hook’ or ‘blue loop’ in the HR diagram, extends from the TAMS to the point where the stellar luminosity, L , reaches a local maximum. This point corresponds to the ignition of hydrogen burning in a shell around the core. We terminate our models at this stage rather than continuing into the subgiant phase, as δ Scuti pulsators become increasingly rare beyond this point due to stars rapidly evolving out of the instability strip (see Sec. 3.1).

2.1.3 Sampling and numerical convergence

We controlled the maximum time-step during different evolutionary phases by setting the `max_years_for_timestep` parameter in MESA. The limits were set as follows: 0.01 Myr for creating the pre-MS starting model, 0.1 Myr for pre-MS evolution and near-ZAMS evolution (up to 50 Myr), and 2 Myr for evolution along the MS to TAMS, until the end of the post-MS contraction. High temporal resolution was required during the pre-MS and early MS phases due to the rapid changes in stellar structure, energy transport, and nuclear burning during these phases. Having larger time steps during this interval can lead to numerical inaccuracies that propagate through later evolution (a detailed analysis of which is being prepared for future work). However, dense profile output is not strictly necessary to maintain this accuracy. To ensure adequate sampling for GYRE pulsation frequency calculations such that key structural transitions are adequately captured, the `profile_interval` parameter in MESA was configured to save stellar structure profiles at every time-step during early phases and every five steps during later phases (refer to Table 1). These adjustments provided a balance between computational efficiency and model accuracy across all evolutionary phases. Note that the temporal sampling used in Figures 2 and 4 is 5× finer during the MS and post-MS phases than that of the model grid.

The spatial resolution of stellar models was controlled using the `mesh_delta_coeff` parameter in MESA, which governs the maximum permissible variation in properties between adjacent grid

points. Testing `mesh_delta_coeff` values from 0.2 (high resolution) to 1.2 (low resolution) revealed fractional frequency differences of less than 0.5%, with computational time reduced by 80% for the coarser models. Fractional differences in resolution effects diminished near the ZAMS; beyond the ZAMS, during the MS, fractional differences in p-mode frequency remained consistently below 0.1%. We adopted the default MESA value of `mesh_delta_coeff` = 1.0 to balance precision and computational efficiency.

To ensure a complete grid of models, we developed a systematic approach to address convergence issues during pre-MS evolution. If a model failed to converge at a given time-step, we retried the step with the structural mesh restored to its previous configuration using the `restore_mesh_on_retry` option in MESA. This setting reverts the model to its previous mesh before attempting the step again, which can improve convergence by limiting structural changes. If this retry also fails, the track is recalculated with relaxed convergence tolerances. We validated this method on successfully converging evolutionary tracks and found that the resulting systematic uncertainties are negligible, with fractional pulsation frequency differences below 0.1%.

2.2 Input physics

We provide our MESA inlist template as a supplementary file, should experienced MESA users find this a preferable way to read through the input physics and numerical setup. Any parameters not explicitly discussed in the following sections remained at their default MESA settings.

2.2.1 Rotation

MESA includes a one-dimensional treatment of stellar rotation based on the shellular approximation, where rotation frequency is assumed to be constant on isobaric surfaces (Zahn 1992; Meynet & Maeder 1997). This allows rotational effects to be incorporated into 1D models by modifying the stellar structure equations to account for centrifugal acceleration and rotational deformation (Kippenhahn & Thomas 1970; Paxton et al. 2013, 2019).

The treatment of rotation in MESA includes centrifugal corrections to pressure and temperature gradients through modification factors f_P and f_T , which account for the deviation of equipotential surfaces from spherical symmetry (Paxton et al. 2019). These corrections are computed using analytical fits to the Roche potential of a point mass, allowing for stable calculations up to approximately $\Omega/\Omega_{\text{crit}} \sim 0.9$. The implementation accounts for the reduced mean stellar density resulting from centrifugal distortion, which affects both the stellar structure and pulsation properties.

MESA approximates the effects of rotationally induced instabilities using diffusive prescriptions for angular momentum transport and chemical mixing. These approximations use diffusive prescriptions derived from multidimensional hydrodynamic theory but implemented within a one-dimensional stellar structure framework (Heger & Langer 2000; Paxton et al. 2013). While this approach captures the first-order effects of rotation on stellar evolution and structure, including centrifugal deformation effects on the mean density, it does not include full two-dimensional stellar structure, latitudinal differential rotation, or angular momentum transport by waves or internal gravity modes.

In our grid, we adopted MESA’s standard rotation physics and made only minimal modifications. Rotation was initiated from the beginning of the pre-main-sequence phase and each model was assigned a

solid-body rotation profile with an initial surface velocity drawn from the range mentioned in Section 2.1.1. To allow for convergence, we relaxed models to their target surface velocity over 100 steps, using a maximum timestep of 10^{-5} years.

To remain within the regime where first-order perturbative pulsation theory is valid, we limited our grid to models with rotation frequencies $\Omega/\Omega_{\text{crit}} \leq 0.3$. This threshold avoids complications arising from centrifugal distortion and mode coupling that would otherwise affect the interpretation of pulsation frequencies. Since our goal is to construct linear adiabatic pulsation models that are representative of δ Sct stars and use them to constrain stellar ages, this cutoff ensures consistency between the stellar models and the assumptions of the GYRE’s pulsation framework (see Section 2.3.1).

2.2.2 Convective mixing

Convection in our models was implemented using the mixing-length theory (MLT) with a mixing-length parameter of $\alpha_{\text{MLT}} = 1.9$, following the formalism of [Heney et al. \(1965\)](#). MLT assumes that convective energy transport occurs via fluid parcels that travel a mean free path, or mixing length (λ), before dissolving and releasing their energy. The efficiency of this process is governed by the parameter α_{MLT} , defined as the ratio of the mixing length to the pressure scale height (H_P), which quantifies the distance over which pressure decreases by a factor of e :

$$\alpha_{\text{MLT}} \equiv \frac{\lambda}{H_P}. \quad (1)$$

MLT reduces the complexity of modelling turbulent convection to a simplified, parametrized framework, making it computationally efficient and well-suited for constructing large stellar model grids. We employed the Ledoux criterion for convective boundary determination, along with convective premixing as described by [Paxton et al. \(2018\)](#), to account for composition gradients near these boundaries.

2.2.3 Convective core overshooting

Core overshooting was modelled using the exponential diffusive overshooting scheme, which allows for partial mixing beyond the convective boundary defined by the Ledoux criterion. This mixing occurs due to the inertia of convective cells, which penetrate into the radiative zone and extend mixing beyond the core. Such overshooting significantly impacts the evolution of stars by altering core hydrogen burning, stellar lifespans, and internal structure.

The exponential overshooting formulation is characterized by two parameters: f , which determines the extent of the overshooting layer as a fraction of the pressure scale height (H_P), and f_0 , which sets the width of the region near the convective boundary where the diffusion coefficient begins to decay exponentially.

The diffusion coefficient (D_{ov}) in the overshooting region is given by:

$$D_{\text{ov}} = D_0 e^{-\frac{2z}{H_V}}, \quad (2)$$

where D_0 is the diffusion coefficient at the convective boundary, z is the distance from the boundary, and $H_V = fH_P$ is the velocity scale height.

In our grid, overshooting parameters were set as:

- $f = 0.017$ and $f_0 = 0.002$ at the top of convective zones, and
- $f = 0.006$ and $f_0 = 0.001$ at the bottom of convective zones.

This prescription for overshooting and the chosen parameter values are consistent with convective boundary mixing and overshooting studies ([Claret & Torres 2019](#); [Pedersen et al. 2021](#); [Anders & Pedersen 2023](#)). The ‘top of convective zones’ refers to regions where a convective zone interacts with an overlying radiative zone (e.g., at the outer boundary of a convective core), while the ‘bottom of convective zones’ corresponds to cases where a convective layer extends into the radiative interior (e.g., at the base of an envelope convection zone).

In intermediate-mass stars like δ Sct stars, large convective cores and steep temperature gradients increase the sensitivity of stellar structure to overshooting, making the resulting variations pronounced. The size of the convective core and the extent of the overshooting region both increase with stellar mass. At fixed mass, meanwhile, increasing metallicity leads to a slight reduction in the size of the convective core. For instance, across models with masses of 1.4, 1.7, 2.0, and 2.3 M_{\odot} , increasing Z from 0.008 to 0.022 reduces the fractional enclosed core mass by approximately 0.02 M_{\odot} . This trend is consistent across the mass range studied, indicating a weak dependence on stellar mass. This sensitivity to metallicity arises because higher metallicity increases the mean molecular weight due to the greater abundance of heavy elements, and tends to reduce the pressure scale height near the core by lowering the core temperature and increasing opacity. Despite these effects on the core, the mass encompassed by the overshooting region remains largely unaffected by metallicity. Figure 3 presents Kippenhahn diagrams for various stars across our model grid, illustrating the evolution of convective boundaries and the extent of overshooting during the pre-MS and near-ZAMS phases.

We adopted a single overshooting value throughout our grid, based on the upper limit derived by [Claret & Torres \(2019\)](#), who examined the mass dependence of overshooting. Their study found that f_{ov} peaks at around 1.8 M_{\odot} , with lower values at lower masses and a roughly constant value at higher masses. By selecting an overshooting parameter near this maximum, we ensure consistency with empirical constraints while avoiding unphysically large convective cores in lower-mass stars. We acknowledge that overshooting is inherently mass-dependent and should ideally be treated as such to better reflect its variation with stellar mass and metallicity ([Claret & Torres 2019](#); [Claret & Torres 2018, 2017, 2016](#); [Lovekin & Guzik 2017](#); [Dornan & Lovekin 2022](#)). This will be addressed in future work, where we will investigate the impact of overshooting variations on pulsation frequencies as part of a broader study on systematic uncertainties.

2.2.4 Nuclear reaction network

In this work, we adopted a custom nuclear reaction network (`pp_extras+hot_cno.net`) constructed by combining the `pp_extras` and `hot_cno` extensions. This network incorporates the enhanced proton–proton (p–p) chain reactions and the hot CNO cycles, which are relevant for δ Sct stars, while omitting unnecessary reactions like those from the cold CNO-IV cycle, which only become significant in massive stars.

The `pp_extras+hot_cno.net` network closely matches the accuracy of the more complete `pp_and_cno_extras.net` network, with fractional frequency errors ($\delta f/f$) of less than 0.5%, while reducing computation time by approximately 10%. Compared to simpler networks like `basic.net`, which can introduce systematic errors up to 5%, this configuration ensures efficient and reliable modelling of δ Sct stars across their evolutionary phases ([Murphy et al. 2023](#)).




Figure 3. Kippenhahn diagrams for 12 stars from our model grid, illustrating how variations in stellar mass and metallicity influence core structure and evolution. These factors affect the size of the convective core, the extent of near-core overshooting, and the timing of the transition to stable hydrogen burning at the ZAMS (indicated by black dashed lines). Shading represents \log_{10} of the net energy generation rate, defined as nuclear energy production minus neutrino losses, in units of $\text{erg g}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$. Mixing occurs within convective zones and the adjacent overshoot regions, as indicated by the shaded areas and legend. Stellar mass increases from top to bottom, and metallicity increases from left to right.

2.2.5 Opacity

Opacity values in our models were computed using MESA’s `kap` module, which dynamically selects and blends opacity tables according to the local temperature, density, and chemical composition throughout the stellar structure (Paxton et al. 2011, 2015). The total opacity, κ , was calculated as a combination of radiative opacity (κ_{rad}) and conductive opacity (κ_{cond}):

$$\frac{1}{\kappa} = \frac{1}{\kappa_{\text{rad}}} + \frac{1}{\kappa_{\text{cond}}}. \quad (3)$$

For higher temperatures ($T \geq 10^4 \text{K}$) and hydrogen-rich conditions (Type 1 tables), the models primarily utilized the `a09` opacity tables, which are part of the OPAL (Iglesias & Rogers 1993, 1996) dataset and include high-resolution metallicity (Z) values across a wide range of hydrogen fractions (X). Seismic modelling comparisons indicate that OPAL tables yield better agreement with observed δ Sct temperatures and luminosities than OP or OPLIB alternatives, making them the preferred choice for modelling intermediate-mass pulsators (Daszyńska-Daszkiewicz et al. 2023). In metal-rich or hydrogen-poor conditions, the `a09_co` (Type 2) opacity tables are employed, which account for opacity variations in chemically evolved layers.

At lower temperatures, our models used the `lowT_fa05_a09p` opacity tables (Ferguson et al. 2005), which provide coverage of molecular and grain opacities relevant to cooler stellar layers. While δ Sct stars have effective temperatures between approximately 6,000 and 10,000 K—where molecular species are largely dissociated and

contribute negligibly to the stellar spectra—the inclusion of low-temperature opacity tables is done solely for completeness. This ensures consistency should future work extend these models to include metal-rich or chemically peculiar stars, to incorporate very low-mass stellar companions, or to investigate pulsating stars undergoing high-amplitude, shock-driven variations that momentarily cool their outer layers (Niu & Xue 2024).

Recent expansions to OPLIB tables (1194 Type-1 tables) show $\leq 15\%$ differences in solar convection zone opacities compared to OPAL (Farag et al. 2024), but δ Sct modelling still favors OPAL’s temperature-luminosity consistency (Daszyńska-Daszkiewicz et al. 2023; Lenz et al. 2010; Adassuriya 2022). Our future work will explore the impact of the expanded OPLIB tables and other opacity table sources on δ Sct pulsations to assess their influence on stellar structure and pulsation properties (Gautam et al. in preparation).

MESA blends opacity tables to allow for smooth transitions across temperature and composition conditions within each stellar model. In zones where the local temperature or composition falls within the overlap of different opacity tables, MESA blends the tabulated values using smooth weighting functions, effectively a form of interpolation, to prevent discontinuities. This includes blending between high- and low-temperature opacity tables based on the local temperature, and between Type 1 (hydrogen-rich) and Type 2 (hydrogen-poor or C/O-enhanced) tables based on local hydrogen abundance and metallicity. In our models, all opacity blending settings were left at their default MESA values.

2.2.6 Atmospheres

In this work, we adopted MESA’s default atmosphere setup with a fixed Eddington T - τ relation (Eddington 1926). This approach determines the surface temperature (T_{surf}) and pressure (P_{surf}) by solving for the atmospheric structure based on the optical depth (τ).

For δ Sct stars during the pre-MS, near-ZAMS, MS and post-MS contraction phases, the default atmospheric treatment provides a sufficient solution. Murphy et al. (2021) tested four different atmospheric prescriptions for the pre-MS star HD 139614 and found no significant effect on asteroseismic age determination. Steindl et al. (2021) noted that the Eddington T - τ relation successfully recreates the instability region for pre-MS δ Sct stars and thus preferred its use in their models. Our choice of the default Eddington T - τ relation is consistent with these previous studies.

While atmospheric boundary conditions may influence specific aspects of the instability strip location, their overall impact on δ Sct stars remains secondary. The pulsations in these stars are driven by the κ mechanism in the second helium ionization zone, which is located deep within the stellar envelope, far below the atmospheric layers. Consequently, the choice of atmospheric prescription has minimal influence on global oscillation frequencies or asteroseismic properties, making the default T - τ treatment sufficient for this work. An assessment of systematic effects of atmosphere prescription will be presented in future work on modeling uncertainties.

2.2.7 Chemical composition

Initial chemical abundances were determined using metallicity (Z_{init}) and the helium enrichment relation:

$$Y_{\text{init}} = Y_0 + (Z_{\text{init}} - Z_{\odot, \text{bulk}}) \frac{dY}{dZ}, \quad (4)$$

where $Y_0 = 0.28$ is the recommended helium abundance based on typical Galactic evolution values, and $\frac{dY}{dZ} = 1.4$ accounts for the helium enrichment ratio (Verma et al. 2019; Lyttle et al. 2021; Brogaard et al. 2012). We used solar reference values from Asplund et al. (2009): $Z_{\odot, \text{bulk}} = 0.0142$, and $Y_{\odot, \text{bulk}} = 0.2703$. The hydrogen (X_{init}) fraction was calculated as:

$$X_{\text{init}} = 1 - Y_{\text{init}} - Z_{\text{init}}. \quad (5)$$

Isotopic ratios were used to refine the initial hydrogen and helium abundances. The deuterium-to-hydrogen ratio was set to ${}^2\text{H}/{}^1\text{H} = 2.0 \times 10^{-5}$ (Stahler et al. 1980; Linsky 1998), and the helium-3 to helium-4 ratio was set to ${}^3\text{He}/{}^4\text{He} = 1.66 \times 10^{-4}$. The individual isotopic abundances were calculated as:

$$\text{Initial } {}^2\text{H} = {}^2\text{H}/{}^1\text{H} \cdot X_{\text{init}}, \quad \text{Initial } {}^1\text{H} = (1 - {}^2\text{H}/{}^1\text{H}) \cdot X_{\text{init}}, \quad (6)$$

$$\text{Initial } {}^3\text{He} = {}^3\text{He}/{}^4\text{He} \cdot Y_{\text{init}}, \quad \text{Initial } {}^4\text{He} = (1 - {}^3\text{He}/{}^4\text{He}) \cdot Y_{\text{init}}. \quad (7)$$

This calculation ensures consistency with observed solar abundances while accounting for galactic helium enrichment since the Sun’s formation. It provides initial conditions for hydrogen and helium abundances that match the metallicity input for our grid.

2.3 Stellar pulsation calculations

Stellar pulsation frequencies were computed using GYRE (v7.2.1; Townsend & Teitler 2013; Townsend et al. 2018; Goldstein & Townsend 2020), which numerically solves the linearized pulsation equations for stellar equilibrium models. The calculations included adiabatic frequencies for p modes, g modes, and mixed modes, up to a radial order of $n \sim 11$ and harmonic degrees $\ell = 0, 1, 2, 3$.

In our GYRE computations, we used the second-order Gauss-Legendre Magnus difference scheme (MAGNUS_GL2) for models younger than 50 Myr and the second-order collocation scheme (COLLOC_GL2) for older models. This selection was informed by computational efficiency tests, which showed that MAGNUS_GL2 delivers accurate results while being computationally faster than higher order schemes, while COLLOC_GL2 offers comparable accuracy for MS models with significantly reduced computation time (Murphy et al. 2023).

We performed frequency scans over the ranges of 0.8–95 c/d (0.8–150 c/d for models with metallicity less than 0.003) with a frequency grid of 500 points. To match the expected mode distribution, we used a linear grid (`grid_type = 'LINEAR'`) for p modes, which exhibit near-uniform frequency spacing at high radial orders, and an inverse frequency grid (`grid_type = 'INVERSE'`) for g modes, which tend toward uniform period spacing in the asymptotic limit. Since we were modelling rotating stars, we set `grid_frame = 'COROT_I'`, following the recommendation that asymptotic behaviours apply more accurately in the co-rotating frame than in the inertial one.

Spatial grid points followed the underlying MESA model structure. We explicitly set the inner boundary coordinate to $x_i = 10^{-5}$ to resolve g mode and mixed-mode eigenfunctions near the core while minimizing truncation errors. This also ensured consistency across models, avoiding systematic differences. We set `w_osc = 10` to give at least 10 grid points per wavelength in oscillation cavities, providing sufficient resolution for p modes, which have short radial wavelengths. The exponential weighting parameter was set to `w_exp = 2` to maintain at least two grid points per scale length in evanescent regions, preventing under-sampling in steep temperature and density gradients. Finally, `w_ctr = 10` was used to increase resolution near the stellar core, allowing us to compute g mode eigenfunctions and mixed modes under the traditional approximation of rotation. These choices follow best practices recommended in the GYRE documentation. We provide a `gyre_template` file with all input parameters used in our computations.

2.3.1 Rotation treatment in GYRE

For a spherical, non-rotating star, pulsation modes of a given spherical degree ℓ and azimuthal order m are degenerate in frequency. Stellar rotation lifts this degeneracy through a hierarchy of effects. Among these, GYRE computes the lowest-order rotational corrections: a kinematic Doppler shift arising from the transformation between inertial and co-rotating frames, and first-order dynamical perturbations due to the Coriolis force. Together, these constitute the first-order rotational corrections and are typically sufficient for modelling frequency splittings in slowly to moderately rotating stars.

In GYRE, mode frequencies are expressed as angular frequencies σ (in radians per second) in the inertial frame. The transformation between inertial and co-rotating frames is given by:

$$\sigma_c = \sigma - m\Omega, \quad (8)$$

where σ_c is the angular frequency in the co-rotating frame, Ω is the star’s angular rotation frequency, and m is the azimuthal order. This Doppler shift causes prograde modes ($m > 0$) to appear at higher frequencies and retrograde modes ($m < 0$) at lower frequencies in the observer’s frame. The corresponding cyclic frequency is given by $\nu = \sigma/2\pi$.

Beyond this frame transformation, the Coriolis force introduces additional frequency perturbations, leading to the splitting of non-radial modes into multiplets. For a mode of degree ℓ , the number of components in the multiplet is $2\ell + 1$, each corresponding to a

distinct azimuthal order m . The observed frequency $\nu_{n\ell m}$ of a non-radial mode is given by:

$$\nu_{n\ell m} = \nu_{n\ell 0} + \frac{m\Omega}{2\pi}(1 - C_{n\ell}) + D_L \frac{m^2}{\nu_{n\ell 0}} \left(\frac{\Omega}{2\pi} \right)^2, \quad (9)$$

where $\nu_{n\ell 0}$ is the unperturbed frequency (for $m = 0$), $C_{n\ell}$ is the Ledoux constant (Ledoux 1951), and D_L is a coefficient dependent on the mode geometry and the star's structural gradients (Ledoux 1951; Saio 1981; Dziembowski & Goode 1992).

The Ledoux constant differs significantly between g modes and p modes, owing to the differing role of the Coriolis force in each case (Ouazzani & Goupil 2012; Townsend 2013; Kurtz et al. 2014; Reed et al. 2014; Guo et al. 2024). With increasing radial order, the Ledoux constant $C_{n\ell}$ for g modes asymptotically approaches $\frac{1}{\ell(\ell+1)}$, whereas for p modes it tends toward zero. This contrast reflects the differing geometry of the mode eigenfunctions: high-order g modes are dominated by horizontal displacements, with the spherical degree ℓ determining the horizontal wavelength, while high-order p modes exhibit increasingly short radial wavelengths and more radial nodes, resulting in predominantly radial motion. Because the Coriolis force acts primarily on horizontal displacements, its influence remains significant for high-order g modes but diminishes for high-order p modes, leading to a correspondingly smaller $C_{n\ell}$ and smaller rotational splittings (Ledoux 1951; Unno et al. 1989; Dziembowski & Goode 1992; Lopes 2000; Aerts et al. 2010).

In GYRE, the first-order effects of the Coriolis force on non-radial modes can be incorporated using either a perturbative or a non-perturbative treatment. The choice of method depends on the type of pulsation mode. In this work, we employed these methods as follows:

(i) *Perturbative treatment*

In the perturbative approach, the effects of the Coriolis force are applied as a post-calculation correction to non-rotating eigenfrequencies. GYRE implements this method for p-mode calculations, since their frequencies are much higher than the stellar rotation frequency. Consequently, the Coriolis force acts as a minor perturbation, and first-order corrections are sufficient to capture its effects. The rotational shift is expressed as:

$$\Delta\sigma \approx m(1 - C_{n\ell})\Omega. \quad (10)$$

This shift is calculated using the `omega_rot` term output by GYRE as:

$$\Delta\sigma = m \int_0^R \Omega \frac{\partial\beta}{\partial r} dr, \quad (11)$$

where $\frac{\partial\beta}{\partial r}$ is the rotational splitting kernel, and β depends on the mode eigenfunctions.

(ii) *Non-perturbative treatment: Traditional approximation of rotation (TAR)*

In the non-perturbative approach, the rotational effects are incorporated directly into the computed frequencies using the Traditional Approximation of Rotation (TAR). The TAR simplifies the oscillation equations by assuming that horizontal displacements dominate over radial displacements, which is valid for g modes but not for p modes. GYRE does this by replacing spherical harmonics, which describe the angular dependence of oscillation modes, with Hough functions. These are solutions to Laplace's tidal equations, which explicitly account for the Coriolis force's influence on the angular structure of g modes. The TAR is commonly used for computing g mode frequencies in rotating stars (Bildsten et al. 1996; Lee 1993; Lee & Saio 1997; Townsend 2003a,b), and recent studies found that it remains applicable for rotation rates up to $\Omega = 0.2\text{--}0.4 \Omega_{\text{crit}}$ (Dhouib et al. 2021; Mathis & Prat 2019; Prat et al. 2017).

We applied this method to g modes, where the Coriolis force significantly affects oscillation frequencies due to their long periods (low frequencies), making their timescales comparable to the stellar rotation period. The relative importance of rotation compared to the mode frequency is quantified by the spin parameter, q , defined as:

$$q = \frac{2\Omega}{\sigma_c}, \quad (12)$$

where σ_c is the mode frequency in the co-rotating frame. The TAR assumes a significant spin parameter ($q \geq 1$) to properly capture rotational effects. For g modes, q is naturally larger due to their lower oscillation frequencies, leading to stronger Coriolis effects. This method results in a rotational shift that is consistent with the perturbative result but derived using a non-perturbative framework that incorporates the full effects of the Coriolis force on g modes.

For slowly rotating stars, p-mode splitting is generally uniform and well described by the first-order term, $\frac{m\Omega}{2\pi}(1 - C_{n\ell})$. However, in rapidly rotating stars, second-order (Ω^2) effects introduce additional perturbations (Saio 1981; Dziembowski & Goode 1992; Reese et al. 2021; Ouazzani & Goupil 2012), which are not accounted for in GYRE's computations. These effects can introduce asymmetries in the splitting and cause deviations from the simple symmetrical multiplet patterns expected in the slow-rotation regime (Guo et al. 2024; Keen et al. 2015). Additionally, rapid rotation induces centrifugal deformation, causing the star to become oblate rather than spherically symmetric, further complicating pulsation mode calculations (Reese et al. 2021; Reese 2022; Mirouh 2022). The Coriolis force also modifies pulsation modes by inducing circular motions, which alter the frequency structure (Aerts et al. 2010). The influence of these higher-order rotational effects on pulsations will be investigated in future work.

2.3.2 *Addressing avoided crossings and f modes*

In GYRE, pulsation modes are classified using the Eckart-Scuflaire-Osaki-Takata (ESO) scheme (Eckart 1963; Scuflaire 1974; Osaki 1975; Takata 2006a,b). For dipole modes ($\ell = 1$), the Takata (2006b) formalism was used to address limitations in the ESO scheme. Here, the generalized radial order is given by $n_{pg} = n_p - n_g + 1$. For higher-degree modes ($\ell > 1$), ESO scheme is followed and the generalized radial order is defined as $n_{pg} = n_p - n_g$. Modes with $n_{pg} > 0$ are classified as p modes, modes with $n_{pg} = 0$ are classified as f modes, while those with $n_{pg} < 0$ are classified as g modes. Notably, f modes exist only for $\ell \geq 2$, since an $\ell = 1$ f mode would physically correspond to a displacement of the stellar centre of mass, and is thus prohibited under the ESO scheme (Unno et al. 1989; Takata 2006a). These classifications are important to distinguish between p, g and f modes, particularly in more evolved δ Sct stars with mixed modes where avoided crossings can occur.

During avoided crossing interactions, the p and g modes exchange characteristics, with p modes gaining sensitivity to the stellar core and g modes becoming more influenced by the outer layers. These avoided crossings could potentially be a valuable diagnostic for probing the stellar core and envelope properties, although to our knowledge no modes that have undergone avoided crossings have yet been identified in any δ Sct star. Modelling these mixed modes accurately may help them to be identified from observations.

In addition to p and g modes, our models also include non-radial f modes for degrees $\ell = 2$ and $\ell = 3$, consistent with theoretical expectations from linear pulsation theory. These f modes are node-less modes with radial order $n_{pg} = 0$, distinct from both p and g modes.

They are surface gravity modes (Aerts et al. 2010, Sec. 7.1.4.3), where the dominant restoring force is gravity rather than pressure (as in p modes) or buoyancy (as in g modes). The displacement is predominantly horizontal, and the oscillation is confined to the outer layers of the star.

2.4 Asteroseismic parameters

The large frequency separation ($\Delta\nu$) and offset parameter (ϵ) are defined by the asymptotic relation for high-order p modes:

$$\nu = \Delta\nu \left(n + \frac{\ell}{2} + \epsilon \right), \quad (13)$$

where $\Delta\nu$ is inversely proportional to the acoustic wave travel time across the star's diameter and directly proportional to the square root of the mean density ($\bar{\rho}$) (Ulrich 1986). To determine $\Delta\nu$ and ϵ , we performed a linear fit to the frequencies of radial modes ($\ell = 0$) in the range $n = 5$ to 9, where modes are approximately equally spaced and form a vertical ridge in the échelle diagram (Bedding et al. 2020). At lower orders, however, the spacing becomes non-uniform, causing the ridge to curve; consequently, it is not appropriate to measure $\Delta\nu$ at $n < 5$. The slope of the fit yields $\Delta\nu$, while the y-intercept divided by the slope gives ϵ (White et al. 2011b).

The ϵ parameter depends on the upper and lower turning points of the standing waves, and is therefore sensitive to the surface layers (Aerts et al. 2010, Sec. 3.4.2.1). While $\Delta\nu$ and ϵ have been shown to constrain mass and age on the main sequence (MS) (Bedding et al. 2020; Murphy et al. 2023), their behaviour in the pre-main-sequence (pre-MS) phase is more complex. On the MS, $\Delta\nu$ primarily reflects metallicity and age, whereas ϵ is more sensitive to mass, making them useful for disentangling fundamental stellar properties (Murphy et al. 2023). However, on the pre-MS, the dependence of these parameters on age is non-monotonic, and metallicity plays an important role in shaping $\Delta\nu$ (Murphy et al. 2023). This complicates the distinction between pre-MS and MS stars using only these parameters, which becomes further complicated by rotation. We analyse the behaviour of $\Delta\nu$ and ϵ in our rotating models in Sec. 3.

3 Grid of δ Sct pulsation models

To understand the complex behaviour of asteroseismic parameters such as $\Delta\nu$ and the evolutionary progression of pulsation properties across our model grid, it is helpful to first examine the stellar evolution of a typical δ Sct star. In this section, we trace the evolutionary path of a representative model to establish the physical context for the pulsation behaviours discussed throughout this work. We then examine how key stellar parameters—mass, metallicity, and rotation—systematically affect the distribution of models across the Hertzsprung-Russell diagram and explore the temporal evolution of individual pulsation modes. Finally, we investigate asteroseismic scaling relations and their dependencies on fundamental stellar properties, providing both theoretical insights and practical tools for interpreting observations of δ Sct stars.

3.1 Typical δ Sct Evolution

Figures 4a and 4b illustrate the evolutionary path of a typical 1.7- M_{\odot} star with solar metallicity, representative of δ Sct pulsators in our model grid. During the pre-MS phase, the star begins its evolution on the Hayashi track as a fully convective protostar powered by gravitational contraction. As the core temperature rises to approximately

5 million K (Stahler & Palla 2004; Prialnik 2010), deuterium burning ignites via ${}^2_1\text{H} + {}^1_1\text{H} \longrightarrow {}^3_2\text{He} + \gamma$ (point A in Figure 4a), temporarily slowing contraction and increasing luminosity.

Continued contraction raises the central temperature to ~ 6 – 8 million K, initiating hydrogen fusion via the proton–proton (p–p) chain (point B). Initially, energy generation is dominated by the p–p I branch, which converts hydrogen to helium through a sequence beginning with ${}^1_1\text{H} + {}^1_1\text{H} \longrightarrow {}^2_1\text{H} + e^+ + \nu_e$ and culminating in ${}^3_2\text{He} + {}^3_2\text{He} \longrightarrow {}^4_2\text{He} + 2 {}^1_1\text{H}$. This pathway operates efficiently at relatively low temperatures without requiring seed nuclei heavier than hydrogen.


As the temperature increases further, the p–p II branch begins contributing significantly through the ${}^3_2\text{He} + {}^4_2\text{He} \longrightarrow {}^7_4\text{Be} + \gamma$ reaction sequence. This transition occurs just before the onset of CNO burning and reflects the accumulation of ${}^3_2\text{He}$ from earlier p–p reactions. However, nuclear energy generation remains insufficient to fully balance gravitational contraction, and the core continues evolving toward higher temperatures and densities.

At a core temperature of ~ 11 million K, the CNO cycle begins (point C). This cycle is significantly more temperature-sensitive than the p–p chains, with energy generation rates scaling approximately as T^{16-20} . Shortly thereafter, the p–p III branch becomes active through ${}^7_4\text{Be} + {}^1_1\text{H} \longrightarrow {}^8_5\text{B} + \gamma$, marking the onset of ${}^8_5\text{B}$ production. The steep temperature dependence of both the CNO cycle and p–p III branch drives the formation of a convective core (point D), as the corresponding rise in nuclear luminosity and central energy flux creates the conditions necessary for core convection.


The growth of the convective region (from point D to E) causes a transient expansion of the stellar interior, leading to a temporary decrease in core temperature and density. This results in a brief dip in both p–p and CNO luminosities (point F). As contraction resumes, the core temperature climbs to ~ 17 – 19 million K, allowing for full operation of the p–p chain and complete activation of the CNO cycle (point G). The star soon settles into equilibrium, with nuclear burning balancing contraction, marking the ZAMS (point H).

The main sequence (MS) phase follows and is characterized by gradual structural evolution as hydrogen is depleted in the core. The post-main-sequence (post-MS) contraction phase begins at the TAMS (point I). During this phase, as core hydrogen becomes exhausted, the lack of central energy generation causes the core to contract under its own gravity. This core contraction releases gravitational potential energy, which initially heats the overlying layers and causes the envelope to expand. The envelope expansion leads to a brief reduction in surface gravity and T_{eff} . However, as the core continues to contract rapidly, the star as a whole begins to shrink. The resulting increase in core temperature and density drives a rise in T_{eff} and surface gravity, which offsets the decreasing radius and produces an increase in total luminosity. This phase concludes when the luminosity reaches its local maximum, corresponding to the ignition of hydrogen burning in a shell around the core and marking the transition to the subgiant branch. We did not follow the full subgiant evolution in our models. Instead, evolutionary tracks were terminated at the end of the post-MS contraction phase, prior to the development of sustained shell burning (point J).

To give an idea of timeframes of these phases, note that our 1.7- M_{\odot} model with solar metallicity spends approximately 13.7 Myr on the pre-main-sequence, 1683 Myr on the main sequence, and 29.8 Myr in the post-main-sequence contraction phase. Figure 5 summarizes the fractional time spent in each phase for models across a range of masses and metallicities. Table 2 presents the corresponding absolute times and peak surface rotation velocities for models initiated with different rotational velocities. We find that moderate rotation (up to



(a) Pre-MS evolution up to ZAMS



(b) MS and post-MS evolution

Figure 4. Evolution of a $1.7-M_{\odot}$ star with solar metallicity. Figure 4a shows the pre-MS evolution up to the ZAMS with three panels: the top panel displays the evolutionary track on the Hertzsprung-Russell diagram coloured by \log_{10} of the surface gravity (cm s^{-2}), the bottom left panel zooms in to show the ZAMS, while the bottom right panel presents Kippenhahn diagram corresponding to the top panel. Figure 4b shows the MS and post-MS evolution and contains two panels. The top panel shows the HR evolution from the ZAMS through TAMS up to the end of the subgiant phase, while the bottom panel presents the same evolution as a Kippenhahn diagram, in which shading represents \log_{10} of the net energy generation rate, defined as nuclear energy production minus neutrino losses, in units of $\text{erg g}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$. Shaded areas show mixing regions as indicated in the legend. The stellar track shown here was computed at $5\times$ higher temporal resolution during the MS and post-MS than the grid models to more clearly illustrate phase transitions.




Figure 5. Percentage of the main-sequence lifetime spent in each evolutionary phase for stellar models across a range of four masses and three metallicities. Each bar represents a star with a given mass (x-axis) and metallicity (panel title), subdivided into pre-main-sequence (Pre-MS), main-sequence (MS), post-MS contraction, and subgiant branch phases. The hatched portion of each bar indicates the time spent within the classical instability strip (Dupret et al. 2004) during that phase.

$\Omega/\Omega_{\text{crit}} \sim 0.3$) has little effect on the durations of each evolutionary phase, with differences remaining below 1% for the pre-MS and MS, and under 20% for the post-MS contraction phase.

Although subgiant δ Sct stars may offer insights into the late stages of pulsational evolution, several factors justify our decision to exclude this phase from our model grid. While the total time spent in the subgiant phase is comparable to that of the post-MS contraction phase, the time spent within the classical instability strip is significantly shorter. As a result, the expected fraction of observable pulsating subgiant δ Sct stars is low. Moreover, mode identification in this regime is especially challenging due to the emergence of complex pulsation spectra involving mixed modes, avoided crossings, and potential mode coupling. These features emerge due to sharp sound-speed gradients at the edge of the receding convective core, caused by chemical composition gradients left by core hydrogen burning (Reese et al. 2017; Dornan & Lovekin 2022; Winther et al. 2023). GYRE does not account for mode coupling and so computed frequencies in this regime, where such coupling is expected to be significant, may be inaccurate. The traditional approximation of rotation, which we adopted for computing g mode frequencies, also becomes increasingly inaccurate in post-MS models (Mathis & Prat 2019; Dhouib et al. 2021). Accurate treatment of pulsation–rotation coupling in this regime requires full two-dimensional modelling, which is computationally infeasible for grids of this scale.

The onset of hydrogen shell burning during the subgiant phase further amplifies this complexity by introducing additional discontinuities and steep gradients in the Brunt-Väisälä frequency. These structural changes reshape the p and g mode propagation cavities, strengthen mode trapping, and disrupt the regular frequency spacings required for reliable mode identification. The stellar structure during this phase also becomes highly sensitive to microphysical inputs such as convective overshooting, opacity profiles, and chemical mixing prescriptions, increasing model dependence and limiting the reliability of asteroseismic inference.


3.2 HR diagram trends across mass, metallicity, and rotation

The location and morphology of stellar evolutionary tracks on the Hertzsprung–Russell (HR) diagram are influenced by a star’s mass, metallicity, and rotation. These dependencies shape the distribution of models across evolutionary phases and provide context for interpreting where pulsations occur in δ Sct stars. We expect stellar mass to be the primary driver of HR diagram position, with metallicity introducing systematic temperature shifts, and rotation providing more subtle modifications to evolutionary tracks. Figure 6 shows how stellar parameters influence the distribution of models across the HR diagram through pre-MS, MS and post-MS evolution.


Mass is indeed the dominant factor setting a star’s position on the HR diagram across all evolutionary phases. As seen in the bottom-right panels, higher-mass stars occupy progressively hotter and more luminous regions, with this stratification already evident on the pre-MS and persisting through the MS and post-MS. The evolutionary pace is likewise mass-dependent: higher-mass models traverse the HR diagram more rapidly, resulting in a sparser distribution of evolved high-mass models.

Metallicity systematically shifts evolutionary tracks in temperature, with metal-rich models appearing cooler than their metal-poor counterparts at equivalent mass and evolutionary stage. This temperature offset is due to increased opacity in high-metallicity stars, which increases the photospheric radius while maintaining similar luminosity. The metallicity effect on temperatures remains consistent across evolutionary phases. The overplotted 1.7 M_{\odot} tracks at various metallicities highlight this behaviour.

Rotation has a more subtle effect on HRD morphology within the moderate rotation regime sampled by our grid. In the pre-MS phase (top-right panel), rotational velocities are relatively uniform, indicative of the imposed initial conditions. As stars evolve, centrifugal support from rotation leads to systematic reductions in T_{eff} at fixed luminosity (e.g., Pérez Hernández et al. 1999; Fox Machado et al. 2006; Espinosa Lara & Rieutord 2011; Bedding et al. 2023). For example, in 1.7- M_{\odot} solar-metallicity models at a given age, those initialized with 4 km s^{-1} surface rotation are approximately 20 K cooler than non-rotating models, while those starting at 8 km s^{-1} exhibit a



(a) Pre-MS evolution up to ZAMS



(b) MS and post-MS evolution

Figure 6. Distribution of models across the HR diagram, separated into two panels: pre-MS models (top) and MS+post-MS models (bottom). Each panel contains four subplots displaying the same models but coloured according to different stellar parameters: $\log(\text{Age}/\text{Myr})$, equatorial rotation velocity ($v_{\text{eq}}/\text{km s}^{-1}$), metallicity (Z), and stellar mass (M/M_{\odot}). For visual clarity, only every 200th pre-MS model and every 10th MS+post-MS model is plotted, with further thinning applied in metallicity. Models falling within the theoretical instability strip are shown in colour. In metallicity panels, we overlay evolutionary tracks for a fixed stellar mass of $1.7 M_{\odot}$ at different metallicities, while the mass panels show tracks of different masses at fixed solar metallicity.

Table 2. Evolutionary phase durations and peak rotation velocities for stellar models of varying initial surface rotation. Each row corresponds to a unique combination of mass, metallicity, and initial surface rotation velocity.

Mass (M_{\odot})	Z	$v_{\text{eq},0}$ (km/s)	Pre-MS		MS		Post-MS Contraction	
			Duration (Myr)	Max v_{eq} (km/s)	Duration (Myr)	Max v_{eq} (km/s)	Duration (Myr)	Max v_{eq} (km/s)
1.4	0.008	4	17.10	51.8	2423.3	53.1	50.3	54.9
		8	16.53	104.5	2433.6	107.4	48.2	112.2
1.4	0.015	4	21.84	46.5	2950.2	47.8	59.4	46.4
		8	22.17	93.7	2967.5	96.4	60.4	93.5
1.4	0.022	4	40.05	41.8	3369.9	42.8	77.4	39.1
		8	40.08	83.8	3378.3	85.9	75.8	78.7
1.7	0.008	4	10.40	62.7	1401.1	61.9	24.2	61.4
		8	10.71	126.8	1407.8	125.3	26.4	126.2
1.7	0.015	4	13.75	55.8	1683.0	55.3	29.8	53.0
		8	13.94	112.8	1692.6	111.7	24.7	108.3
1.7	0.022	4	16.88	52.1	1905.9	51.7	34.9	48.5
		8	17.02	105.2	1917.8	104.3	32.9	98.7
2.0	0.008	4	6.93	72.8	903.8	71.2	12.6	68.4
		8	7.09	148.0	907.4	144.3	16.3	141.6
2.0	0.015	4	10.74	65.3	1065.0	63.7	18.6	59.4
		8	10.63	132.4	1073.3	128.9	18.8	122.0
2.0	0.022	4	11.10	60.1	1194.4	59.0	23.6	54.3
		8	11.02	121.7	1203.3	119.3	20.3	111.1
2.3	0.008	4	4.99	83.4	625.7	80.9	5.9	76.6
		8	5.41	170.1	627.4	164.6	9.2	160.6
2.3	0.015	4	7.29	73.9	728.7	71.9	7.4	66.2
		8	7.70	150.5	726.8	145.9	13.2	137.3
2.3	0.022	4	9.11	68.3	808.7	66.4	8.3	60.5
		8	9.19	138.9	807.9	134.6	13.1	124.7

temperature reduction of approximately 100 K. At the same age, the corresponding decrease in luminosity is about $0.01 L_{\odot}$. These offsets scale non-linearly with rotation rate, such that higher rotation velocities produce progressively larger reductions in T_{eff} . The effect persists throughout the MS and post-MS, shifting evolutionary tracks to cooler temperatures and lower luminosities as rotation increases.

The instability strip intersects evolutionary tracks over a wide range of ages and masses. As indicated by the coloured models, stars within the strip span from early pre-MS contraction to post-MS expansion phases. Lower-mass stars ($M \lesssim 2 M_{\odot}$) can cross the strip during both pre-MS and MS evolution, while higher-mass stars ($M \gtrsim 2 M_{\odot}$) tend to exhibit instability primarily during the pre-MS or near the TAMS. This pattern suggests that asteroseismic analyses of δ Sct stars should account for the possibility of pre-MS as well as late-MS pulsators, particularly when studying young stellar populations or clusters with ongoing star formation. The pulsation properties readily distinguish between the two phases, as we discuss in the next subsection.

3.3 Evolution of p, g, and f modes

Beyond understanding the overall stellar evolution, examining the temporal behaviour of individual pulsation modes provides deeper

insights into the changing internal structure. Figure 7 illustrates the evolution of mode frequencies with stellar age for a representative $1.7 M_{\odot}$ star with solar metallicity.

3.3.1 Temporal trends in mode frequencies

Different oscillation modes in δ Sct stars exhibit distinct evolutionary behaviours that reflect their sensitivity to changes in specific stellar regions. For the radial and non-radial p modes most commonly observed in δ Sct stars, frequencies generally increase during the pre-MS phase until the ZAMS, after which they steadily decrease as the star ages. The most rapid frequency changes occur during the pre-MS evolution. This evolution is reflective of the mean density of the star with which these pulsation modes scale.

The g modes, in contrast, follow a more complex evolutionary path. During early pre-MS evolution, g-mode frequencies initially increase as the radiative core develops and the Brunt-Väisälä frequency (buoyancy frequency) profile becomes more pronounced in the stellar interior. These frequencies reach a local maximum near the ZAMS, followed by a slight decline. As evolution continues along the MS and the core gradually contracts, g-mode frequencies begin to increase again.

This late MS rise in g mode frequencies coincides with of a steep




Figure 7. Evolution of stellar oscillation modes as a function of age for a $1.7\text{-}M_{\odot}$, solar-metallicity star. The left panel shows the early evolution including the pre-MS and early MS phases, while the right panel displays the main sequence evolution. The vertical dashed line marks the ZAMS. Different mode types are colour-coded: p modes in blue, g modes in red, and f modes in green, with lighter shades for higher degree modes. The subscripts n, ℓ denote the radial order and spherical degree of each mode. Only a subset of modes is shown for clarity, and rotational splittings are omitted.

gradient in the Brunt–Väisälä frequency near the gradually contracting stellar core, driven by both core contraction and the buildup of a composition gradient at the core boundary. These structural changes bring the g-mode frequencies in proximity with non-radial p modes of similar frequency. The resulting interactions give rise to avoided crossings and the emergence of mixed modes with hybrid p- and g-mode characteristics.

Interestingly, while the absolute frequencies of p modes and the large frequency separation both change with stellar evolution, the regular spacing pattern between consecutive overtones of the same angular degree is maintained throughout most of the MS evolution. For higher-order ($n = 5$ to 9) radial ($\ell = 0$) p modes, this regular spacing roughly persists regardless of evolutionary stage since they cannot undergo avoided crossings due to their spherically symmetric nature. This stability makes $\Delta\nu$ a reliable diagnostic of age for young δ Sct stars, as discussed in Section 2.4. In contrast, non-radial p modes ($\ell \geq 1$) eventually interact with g modes of similar frequency, causing their frequency spacings to deviate from regularity in more evolved models.

Our models also include f modes ($n_{pg} = 0$) for degrees $\ell = 2$ and $\ell = 3$. Their frequencies evolve in a manner similar to g modes. In evolved models, f modes can also participate in avoided crossings with non-radial p modes of comparable frequency, further complicating the frequency spectrum. This interaction becomes particularly pronounced in post-MS models, where structural changes in the star’s envelope cause f mode frequencies to converge with those of p and g modes, leading to mode mixing and characteristic frequency perturbations.

The occurrence of avoided crossings follows clear patterns across our grid. For a given mass, their onset occurs earlier at higher metal-

licity due to the earlier development of sharp internal chemical gradients that steepen the Brunt–Väisälä frequency profile.

The onset of avoided crossings first affects the higher-degree, low-order p modes, beginning with $\ell = 3$ modes, followed by $\ell = 2$, and then $\ell = 1$ modes. For a given degree ℓ , the lowest radial order p mode ($n = 1$) is the first to interact directly with g modes of similar frequency. This interaction triggers a cascading effect: the perturbed $n = 1$ mode bumps up the $n = 2$ mode at a later evolutionary stage, which affects the $n = 3$ mode at an even later stage, and so forth. Higher radial orders thus experience avoided crossings at progressively older ages. Among modes of similar radial order but different degrees, those with higher ℓ are affected first. As a result, avoided crossings initially appear at relatively high frequencies and migrate to lower frequencies as the star ages.

3.3.2 Potential implications for mode identification

The temporal evolution of modes computed in our grid shows several important implications for observational asteroseismology of δ Sct stars. One significant challenge in modelling δ Sct pulsations is the frequent mismatch between observed and theoretical frequencies for the fundamental radial mode, as reported in previous studies (Murphy et al. 2021, 2023).

Murphy et al. (2023) highlighted a common challenge in δ Sct star modelling: the mismatch between observed and theoretical frequencies for the fundamental radial mode ($p_{1,0}$), even when higher-order p modes in the same star are well-modelled. The mode calculations in our grid demonstrate that what might appear to be a poorly modelled fundamental mode could plausibly be a g mode.

In young δ Sct stars, the frequencies of low-order g and f modes can




Figure 8. Échelle diagrams for HD 3622 and V624 Tau. The red filled markers indicate the identified modes and the overlaid unfilled markers represent modes from the least squares best-fit model.

fall near the expected frequencies of the fundamental radial mode, as seen in stars like HD 3622 (see Figure 8). Frequency peaks on the échelle corresponding close to (but lower than) the fundamental mode can only be explained by $n = 1, \ell = 2$ and $n = 1, \ell = 3$ g modes and their rotational splittings. The $n = 0, \ell = 2$ and $n = 0, \ell = 3$ f modes also lie nearby, but their frequencies are typically higher than the fundamental radial mode. The relatively high frequencies of these low-order g modes in δ Sct stars make them distinct from the higher-order g modes typically found at lower frequencies in γ Dor stars and δ Sct- γ Dor hybrids.

Model predictions indicate that these are the only modes with frequencies falling within this narrow window, there are no other modes expected where these frequencies fall. As a result, the frequency peaks observed near the fundamental radial mode and the first dipole mode in the échelle diagram can be attributed with some confidence to these specific g and f modes. While g modes and f modes do not directly interact with radial modes due to their different parity, the proximity in frequency could lead to observational complications through mode misidentification or contribute to amplitude variations and mode visibility through non-adiabatic effects in the excitation region.

Pulsational mode amplitudes for δ Sct stars cannot be predicted from linear adiabatic theory, but one way to compare relative mode amplitudes is to investigate the mode inertia. Modes with higher inertia should have lower amplitudes, all else being equal, as they require more energy to sustain the same displacement amplitude.

We computed an amplitude ratio of 0.7718 between the fundamental radial mode ($n = 1, \ell = 0$) and the $\ell = 3$ g mode in our least squares best-fit model for HD 3622, based on the relative inertia of these modes. This indicates that the g mode can achieve a substantial amplitude, nearly comparable to the fundamental mode during early stellar evolution, potentially explaining misidentifications in young δ Sct stars.

This frequency coincidence between inherently different mode types stresses the relevance of computing the full theoretical mode spectrum, i.e. including p, g and f modes along with their rotational splittings, when modelling δ Sct stars. By producing all possible theoretical mode frequencies across different spherical degrees, our grid takes a must needed first step toward resolving these identification challenges.

3.4 $\Delta\nu$ scaling relations and asteroseismic diagnostics

The development of scaling relations for δ Sct stars offers a tool for quick stellar characterization without the need for complex matching of individual frequencies. Several key relations have emerged as diagnostically useful, particularly the connections between large frequency separation ($\Delta\nu$), the fundamental mode frequency ($\nu_{n_1\ell_0}$), and mean stellar density ($\bar{\rho}$) (García Hernández et al. 2015; Murphy et al. 2023). Here, we investigate how these relations manifest in our grid of models, and explore how they vary with stellar age, mass, metallicity, and rotation.




Figure 9. Power-law fit to the scaling relation between large frequency separation ($\Delta\nu$) and mean stellar density ($\bar{\rho}$) for models within the classical instability strip. Points are coloured by the central hydrogen fraction normalized to its initial value ($X_c/X_{c,0}$), providing a proxy for stellar age and evolutionary phase. Since our models are non-uniformly sampled in age, we display every 20th model for ages below 50 Myr to reduce visual clutter, while all MS and post-MS models are shown in full. The best-fit relation, shown in black, was derived using the full set of instability strip models.

3.4.1 The $\Delta\nu$ – $\bar{\rho}$ scaling relation

The most fundamental asteroseismic scaling relation connects the large frequency separation to the square root of the mean stellar density (Ulrich 1986; Kjeldsen & Bedding 1995):

$$\Delta\nu \propto \sqrt{\bar{\rho}} \quad (14)$$

Figure 9 shows this relation for our grid. From a linear regression of models in the log–log scale within the instability strip, we find:

$$\Delta\nu/\Delta\nu_{\odot} = 0.858 \times (\bar{\rho}/\bar{\rho}_{\odot})^{0.506} \quad (15)$$

where $\Delta\nu$ is measured in cycles per day. To facilitate comparison, we adopt $\Delta\nu_{\odot} = 11.647 \text{ d}^{-1}$ (Kjeldsen & Bedding 1994) and $\bar{\rho}_{\odot} = 1.408 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ (Eddy & Ise 1979). The best-fit power-law exponent of 0.5064 is in excellent agreement with the theoretical expectation of 0.5, and the fitted scaling factor $f_{\Delta\nu} = 0.8577$ is broadly consistent with previous model-based and observational calibrations. The standard deviation of the residuals is 0.022 dex, which is a scatter of 5.2% in linear space, and the fit achieves an R^2 score of 0.9978.

Previous studies have reported modest departures from the solar-calibrated scaling both in normalization and in exponent, though methodological differences in measuring $\Delta\nu$ complicate direct comparison. Suárez et al. (2014) derived a relation of the form $\Delta\nu/\Delta\nu_{\odot} = 0.776 (\bar{\rho}/\bar{\rho}_{\odot})^{0.46}$ using a grid of non-rotating MS models, where $\Delta\nu$ was calculated as an average over consecutive mode differences for each degree ℓ up to 3, then averaged across all degrees. García Hernández et al. (2015) derived a mean density–frequency scaling relation from a sample of eclipsing binaries with δ Sct components, computing $\Delta\nu = \nu_{n+1,\ell} - \nu_{n,\ell}$ for radial and non-radial modes ($\ell = 0$ to 3) within the observed frequency range. Their fit yielded $\Delta\nu/\Delta\nu_{\odot} = 0.806 (\bar{\rho}/\bar{\rho}_{\odot})^{0.491}$. Rodríguez-Martín et al. (2020) calculated $\Delta\nu_{\ell} = \nu_{n+1,\ell} - \nu_{n,\ell}$ for radial orders $n = 2$ –8 and took the median of individual $\Delta\nu$ values across all degrees, reporting $\Delta\nu/\Delta\nu_{\odot} = 0.974 (\bar{\rho}/\bar{\rho}_{\odot})^{0.559}$ for solid-body rotating models and $0.792 (\bar{\rho}/\bar{\rho}_{\odot})^{0.495}$ for mass-averaged fits. However, their models did not include core overshooting, which may have influenced the inferred relation. Murphy et al. (2023) obtained a scaling factor of 0.87 (using the theoretical power-law exponent of 0.50) from a non-rotating model grid, measuring $\Delta\nu$ from a linear fit to radial modes with $n = 5$ –9, the same approach adopted in this work.

Since δ Sct stars pulsate in radial modes at low to intermediate




Figure 10. Relationship between the fundamental radial mode frequency (ν_1) and the large frequency separation ($\Delta\nu$) across our grid of models that lie within the instability strip. The points represent individual models spanning pre-MS, MS, and post-MS contraction phases.

radial orders ($n \sim 1$ –9), where asymptotic approximations such as $\Delta\nu \propto \sqrt{\bar{\rho}}$ are not strictly valid, features such as acoustic cavity structure, mode trapping, and sharp sound-speed gradients can introduce mild but systematic departures from the scaling behaviour in lower-order modes. Nevertheless, the scaling relation remains approximately preserved, suggesting that it continues to capture the dominant physical dependence on mean density even outside the asymptotic regime. In addition, the fitted scaling parameters appear to be influenced by the underlying mass distribution, the extent of evolutionary phase coverage, the treatment of rotation in each model grid, and the specific methodology used to compute $\Delta\nu$, including the mode range selected for the fit.

While the small residuals indicate that the scaling relation remains an effective first-order diagnostic, the small but systematic deviations from the canonical relation may encode additional information about stellar parameters. However, the diagnostic power of individual frequency analysis makes detailed pulsation modelling the preferred approach for δ Sct stars. Rather than extracting subtle information from scaling relation residuals, the clear mode patterns observed in young δ Sct stars allow for direct asteroseismic inference from comprehensive frequency matching (e.g. Murphy et al. 2023; Scutt et al. 2023).

3.4.2 The relation between ν_{n1,ℓ_0} and $\Delta\nu$

For solar-like oscillators, the relation between the fundamental frequency, ν_{n1,ℓ_0} , and the large separation, $\Delta\nu$, is well-established (Grec et al. 1980; Kjeldsen & Bedding 1994). Previous work by Bedding et al. (2020) suggested a similar relationship might exist for δ Sct stars, where ν_{n1,ℓ_0} is approximately $3\Delta\nu$. This has been validated as a tool for mode identification alongside period ratios and the period–luminosity relation (Murphy et al. 2020a).

Figure 10 shows the relation between the fundamental mode frequency and large frequency separation across our grid for stars with masses between 1.5 and 2.5 M_{\odot} , spanning pre-MS, MS, and post-MS contraction phases. We used only those models that lay within the classical instability strip, where δ Sct pulsations are theoretically expected to be driven. A remarkably consistent linear trend emerges across all evolutionary stages, which we quantify as:

$$\nu_{n1,\ell_0} = 3.071 \Delta\nu + 0.232 \quad (16)$$

This linear fit has a standard deviation of residuals of 0.3171 d^{-1}

and an R^2 score of 0.9972, confirming the tight correlation persists throughout stellar evolution.

When we incorporate a rotation term, the relation becomes:

$$\nu_{n_1 \ell_0} = 3.016 \Delta\nu + 0.500 \frac{\Omega}{2\pi} + 0.220, \quad (17)$$

where Ω is the angular rotation frequency (with units of rad d^{-1}). The inclusion of rotation further improves the fit, yielding a reduced standard deviation of residuals of 0.2510 d^{-1} and an increased R^2 score of 0.9982.

That this relationship holds across vastly different evolutionary stages with such precision is noteworthy, suggesting a fundamental connection between the radial fundamental mode frequency and the overall acoustic resonant cavity of the star that persists despite evolutionary changes in stellar structure. When the fundamental radial mode ($\nu_{n_1 \ell_0}$) can be confidently identified in an observed frequency spectrum, it offers an immediate estimate of the large frequency separation ($\Delta\nu$), and the stellar density therewith. This initial $\Delta\nu$ estimate allows for the efficient construction of échelle diagrams with the appropriate frequency modulus, rather than testing numerous values to achieve vertical alignment of mode ridges. Once the correct $\Delta\nu$ is established, mode identification becomes substantially more straightforward.

The weak dependence on rotation frequency (Ω) suggests that rotation has only a modest effect on this scaling relation, with faster rotators (within our moderate rotation regime) showing slightly higher $\nu_{n_1 \ell_0}$ values at a given $\Delta\nu$. This robustness to rotation makes the $\nu_{n_1 \ell_0} - \Delta\nu$ relation particularly useful for mode identification in δ Sct stars with unclear mode patterns (Murphy et al. 2024).

Figure 11 examines how the ratio $\nu_{n_1 \ell_0} / \Delta\nu$ varies with stellar parameters across our grid. Overall, the ratio clusters tightly around a value of ~ 3.1 , but systematic deviations reveal secondary dependencies. Age effects are most pronounced at the extremes: very young (< 10 Myr) and more evolved (≥ 1 Gyr) models show a downward trend in $\nu_{n_1 \ell_0} / \Delta\nu$, due to structural changes in the acoustic cavity during pre- and post-MS evolution. These outliers predominantly correspond to models with low $\Delta\nu$ values. Metallicity also introduces a subtle trend, with metal-rich stars exhibiting slightly lower $\nu_{n_1 \ell_0} / \Delta\nu$ ratios than metal-poor counterparts. Rotation, by contrast, appears to have only a weak influence on this relation, consistent with the shallow rotational dependence inferred from the global fit. Notably, low-mass models ($M < 1.6, M_{\odot}$) exhibit the largest deviations from the mean relation, with $\nu_{n_1 \ell_0} / \Delta\nu$ ratios dropping as low as ~ 1.5 in some cases.

Beyond variations in the ratio, these panels also illustrate how $\Delta\nu$ itself is distributed across different regions of parameter space. The projections onto the $\Delta\nu$ -parameter (X - Z) plane show that the spread in $\Delta\nu$ is strongly modulated by metallicity and mass. High-metallicity models are tightly confined to $\Delta\nu \sim 2$ – 5 d^{-1} , while low-metallicity stars span a broader range from ~ 2 to 8 d^{-1} . In terms of age, stars in the 10–500 Myr range exhibit relatively stable and narrow $\Delta\nu$ distributions, whereas the youngest (early pre-MS) and oldest (near- and post-TAMS) stars tend toward lower values, reflecting the lower mean densities characteristic of these evolutionary phases. Rotation has a subtle impact on the $\Delta\nu$ spread, but this effect is smaller than those of age or metallicity. Finally, a clear mass dependence is observed: lower-mass stars, being typically denser, populate the high- $\Delta\nu$ regime and show significant scatter, while higher-mass models cluster tightly at lower $\Delta\nu$ values, though the $\nu_1 / \Delta\nu$ ratio shows little mass dependence.

3.4.3 The ϵ parameter across the grid

The offset parameter ϵ , appearing in the asymptotic relation of Tassoul (1980),

$$\nu = \Delta\nu(n + \ell/2 + \epsilon), \quad (18)$$

contains additional diagnostic potential. Unlike $\Delta\nu$, which primarily reflects the mean stellar density, ϵ encodes information about deviations from the pure asymptotic behaviour and may be sensitive to the detailed structure of the acoustic cavity (White et al. 2011a,b).

Figure 12 presents a comprehensive view of how ϵ varies across our model grid as a function of $\Delta\nu$, with different panels showing its dependence on age, rotation, metallicity, and mass. Several clear trends emerge from this analysis.

The age dependence of ϵ (Figure 12a) reveals dependence on evolutionary phases. Pre-MS and early MS models generally exhibit lower $\Delta\nu$ values (reflecting their lower mean densities) with a wide spread in ϵ (1.3–2.2), indicative of rapid structural changes during these phases. As stars approach and settle onto the ZAMS (by ~ 50 Myr), this spread narrows considerably. Throughout the MS, models occupy a well-defined band along which ϵ slowly increases with increasing age. This band begins with ϵ values between 1.5 and 1.8 in the early MS and broadens to approximately 1.7–1.9 by 600–700 Myr. In the post-MS contraction phase, the ϵ range expands again (1.6–2.2), indicating renewed structural adjustments following core hydrogen exhaustion. This three-phase pattern in ϵ is similar to the behaviour observed in $\nu_{n_1 \ell_0} / \Delta\nu$, though neither parameter provides substantial additional discriminatory power beyond what is already available from other asteroseismic diagnostics. The sensitivity of ϵ to mode identification and fitting choices further limits its reliability compared to $\Delta\nu$ (Murphy et al. 2023; Bedding et al. 2020).

A particular feature across all parameters is the non-monotonic relationship between $\Delta\nu$ and ϵ . Models with high $\Delta\nu$ values (5 – 9 d^{-1}) consistently show low ϵ values (around 1.6), while models with intermediate $\Delta\nu$ values (3 – 5 d^{-1}) exhibit the highest ϵ values. Models with the lowest $\Delta\nu$ values ($< 3 \text{ d}^{-1}$) show intermediate ϵ values, higher than high- $\Delta\nu$ models but lower than intermediate- $\Delta\nu$ models. This pattern creates a characteristic arc in the ϵ - $\Delta\nu$ plane that appears consistently across variations in mass, metallicity, and rotation, limiting the diagnostic utility of ϵ as an independent stellar parameter constraint.

Rotation shows subtle effects on ϵ values (Figure 12b), particularly at lower $\Delta\nu$ values where rapid rotators (with equatorial velocities $\sim 120 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) predominantly exhibit higher ϵ values (1.65–2.0) compared to slower rotators ($\sim 30 \text{ km s}^{-1}$), which show lower values (1.5–1.95). Non-rotating models display the widest overall spread in ϵ (1.4–2.1). This trend is consistent with rotationally induced shifts in pulsation frequencies and phase relations, especially for low-order p-modes (Ouazzani & Goupil 2012; Guo et al. 2024).

Metallicity produces an interesting effect on the ϵ distribution (Figure 12c). For high- $\Delta\nu$ models, low-metallicity stars ($Z \approx 0.002$) show ϵ values around 1.6, with ϵ systematically decreasing as metallicity increases. This trend becomes less pronounced at intermediate $\Delta\nu$ values and nearly vanishes for the lowest $\Delta\nu$ models. Low-metallicity models show a relatively constrained ϵ range (1.43–2.05), while high-metallicity models ($Z \approx 0.025$) exhibit a broader spread (1.3–2.2). This metallicity dependence likely arises from opacity effects, which modify the sound speed gradient and reflection properties at the acoustic cavity boundaries.

The mass dependence of ϵ (Figure 12d) follows a similar pattern. For high- $\Delta\nu$ models, ϵ values cluster around 1.6 for lower-mass stars and decrease slightly as mass increases. This mass sensitivity




Figure 11. Visualization of the offset parameter $\nu_{n_1 \ell_0}$ as a function of large frequency separation $\Delta\nu$, for models within the classical instability strip (Dupret et al. 2004). The four panels display trends with stellar parameters shown along the vertical (z -) axis and indicated by colour: (a) $\log(\text{Age}/\text{Myr})$, (b) equatorial rotation velocity (v_{eq} , km s^{-1}), (c) metallicity (Z), and (d) stellar mass (M/M_{\odot}). To aid readability, every 100th model is plotted for ages below 50 Myr, and every 5th model beyond that. To further reduce visual clutter, a sparse sampling in metallicity is used.

diminishes for intermediate and low $\Delta\nu$ values, where ϵ remains high across all masses. While models across all masses span a similar overall range, lower-mass stars (around $1.6 M_{\odot}$) can reach lower ϵ values (down to ~ 1.4) compared to higher-mass stars (around $2.0 M_{\odot}$), which typically maintain $\epsilon \gtrsim 1.55$.

4 Conclusions

We have developed an extensive grid of stellar models for δ Sct stars spanning masses from 1.4 to $2.5 M_{\odot}$, metallicities from $Z = 0.001$ to 0.026 , and rotation rates up to $\Omega/\Omega_{\text{crit}} = 0.3$. This work extends prior efforts by incorporating rotation, including higher-degree modes ($\ell \leq 3$), including g and f modes, and tracking stars from the pre-MS through MS to post-MS contraction.

We have revisited the systematic relationships between pulsation properties and fundamental stellar parameters in δ Sct stars. The

large frequency separation ($\Delta\nu$) follows a tight power-law relation with mean stellar density, with $\Delta\nu/\Delta\nu_{\odot} = 0.858 \times (\bar{\rho}/\bar{\rho}_{\odot})^{0.506}$. This scaling factor of 0.860 reflects the non-asymptotic nature of the observed p modes in δ Sct stars, while the power-law exponent closely matches the theoretical expectation of 0.5 . We have also established a linear relationship between the fundamental radial mode frequency and the large frequency separation, $\nu_{n_1 \ell_0} = 3.071 \Delta\nu + 0.232$, which holds across our entire parameter space with remarkably low scatter. When rotation is incorporated, the relation becomes $\nu_{n_1 \ell_0} = 3.016 \Delta\nu + 0.500 \frac{\Omega}{2\pi} + 0.220$. The phase offset parameter ϵ shows complex but systematic variations that distinguish between pre-MS, MS, and post-MS evolutionary stages. Pre-MS and early MS models display a wide spread in ϵ (1.3 – 2.2), which narrows as stars approach the ZAMS and forms a well-defined band throughout the MS that gradually slopes upward with decreasing $\Delta\nu$. In the post-MS contraction phase, the ϵ range expands again (1.6 – 2.2), reflecting structural adjustments following core hydrogen exhaustion.




Figure 12. Three-dimensional visualization of ϵ as a function of $\Delta\nu$, across all of our models that lie within the classical instability strip. Each panel shows a different global stellar parameter on the vertical (z -) axis and encoded via colour: (a) $\log(\text{Age}/\text{Myr})$, (b) equatorial rotation velocity (v_{eq} , in km s^{-1}), (c) metallicity (Z), and (d) stellar mass (M/M_{\odot}). Here we present models of mass $\geq 1.6M_{\odot}$, showing every 100th model for ages younger than 50 Myr, and every 5th model thereafter. Models are also thinned in metallicity.

The different mode types in our grid exhibit varying penetration depths within stellar interiors, providing complementary sensitivity to the internal density profile at different radial locations. Pressure modes primarily sample the outer envelope and are sensitive to mean density variations, while gravity modes probe deeper regions and are more responsive to core structure and evolutionary changes. The inclusion of rotational effects allows quantification of internal rotation rates through the analysis of rotational splitting patterns, breaking the degeneracy between rotational and evolutionary effects on mode frequencies that has historically limited asteroseismic inference for δ Sct stars.

Our modeling of p modes, g modes, f modes, and mixed modes across evolutionary phases yields a significant result: higher-degree, low-order gravity modes in our theoretical models correspond to observed frequency peaks in young δ Sct stars. These frequency peaks occur in regions where only g modes are theoretically expected, demonstrating that our models are accurately capturing the

underlying stellar pulsation physics rather than fortuitously matching observations. This correspondence validates that our grid provides a complete description of the pulsational properties of young δ Sct stars, extending beyond the well-established p mode regime. These findings establish a new diagnostic avenue: the use of g modes to constrain both internal rotation and stellar age, alongside traditional p mode asteroseismology.

In a forthcoming paper, we will quantify systematic uncertainties in the inferred stellar parameters that arise from variations in the input physics of our models. This analysis will encompass variations in several physical factors, including convective overshoot, nuclear reaction networks, angular momentum diffusion, and rotational oblateness, among others. This analysis will inform a neural network inference framework for determining stellar parameters from observed δ Sct frequencies (Gautam et al. in preparation), building on previous machine learning approaches (Scutt et al. 2023; Murphy et al. 2023). We also plan to use our models to refine the age of the

Pleiades cluster – a benchmark open cluster whose precise age is useful for calibrating stellar evolution models. By doing so, we aim to test and validate our method and models.

REFERENCES

- Abt H. A., Morrell N. I., 1995, *ApJS*, 99, 135
- Adassuriya J., 2022, PhD thesis, doi:10.5281/zenodo.6814384, <https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2022PhDT.....2A>
- Aerts C., Tkachenko A., 2024, Asteroseismic Modelling of Fast Rotators and its Opportunities for Astrophysics, doi:10.48550/arXiv.2311.08453, <http://arxiv.org/abs/2311.08453>
- Aerts C., Christensen-Dalsgaard J., Kurtz D. W., 2010, Asteroseismology. Springer Netherlands, doi:10.1007/978-1-4020-5803-5
- Aerts C., et al., 2019, *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 624, A75
- Aerts C., Reeth T. V., Mombarg J. S. G., Hey D., 2025, Evolution of the near-core rotation frequency of 2,497 intermediate-mass stars from their dominant gravito-inertial mode, doi:10.48550/arXiv.2502.17692, <http://arxiv.org/abs/2502.17692>
- Anders E. H., Pedersen M. G., 2023, *Galaxies*, 11, 56
- Antoci V., et al., 2014, *ApJ*, 796, 118
- Antoci V., et al., 2019, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 490, 4040
- Asplund M., Grevesse N., Sauval A. J., Scott P., 2009, *ARA&A*, 47, 481
- Balona L. A., Daszyńska-Daszkiewicz J., Pamyatnykh A. A., 2015, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 452, 3073
- Barac N., Bedding T. R., Murphy S. J., Hey D. R., 2022, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 516, 2080
- Bedding T. R., et al., 2020, *Nature*, 581, 147
- Bedding T. R., et al., 2023, *The Astrophysical Journal Letters*, 946, L10
- Beyer A. C., White R. J., 2024, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 973, 28
- Bildsten L., Ushomirsky G., Cutler C., 1996, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 460, 827
- Bowman D. M., Kurtz D. W., 2018, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 476, 3169
- Breger M., et al., 2011, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 414, 1721
- Breger M., et al., 2012, *Astronomische Nachrichten*, 333, 131
- Brogaard K., et al., 2012, *A&A*, 543, A106
- Buzasi D. L., et al., 2005, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 619, 1072
- Cantiello M., Braithwaite J., 2019, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 883, 106
- Chen X., et al., 2022, *The Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series*, 263, 34
- Christensen-Dalsgaard J., 2000, in Breger M., Montgomery M., eds, *Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series Vol. 210, Delta Scuti and Related Stars*. p. 187
- Claret A., Torres G., 2016, *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 592, A15
- Claret A., Torres G., 2017, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 849, 18
- Claret A., Torres G., 2018, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 859, 100
- Claret A., Torres G., 2019, *ApJ*, 876, 134
- Dall T. H., et al., 2002, *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 385, 921
- Daszyńska-Daszkiewicz J., Walczak P., Pamyatnykh A., Szweczek W., Niewiadomski W., 2023, *The Astrophysical Journal Letters*, 942, L38
- Dhouib H., Prat V., Van Reeth T., Mathis S., 2021, *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, 652, A154
- Dornan V., Lovekin C. C., 2022, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 924, 130
- Dupret M.-A., Grigahcène A., Garrido R., Gabriel M., Scuflaire R., 2004, *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 414, L17
- Dziembowski W. A., Goode P. R., 1992, *ApJ*, 394, 670
- Eckart C., 1963, *Physics of Fluids*, 6, 1037
- Eddington A. S., 1926, *The Internal Constitution of the Stars*. <https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1926ics..book....E>
- Eddy J. A., Ise R., 1979, *New Sun: The Solar Results From Skylab*. NASA SP-402. Vol. 402
- Espinosa Lara F., Rieutord M., 2011, *A&A*, 533, A43
- Farag E., et al., 2024, An Expanded Set of Los Alamos OPLIB Tables in MESA: Type-1 Rosseland-mean Opacities and Solar Mod-
- els, doi:10.3847/1538-4357/ad4355, <http://arxiv.org/abs/2406.02845>
- Ferguson J. W., Alexander D. R., Allard F., Barman T., Bodnarik J. G., Hauschildt P. H., Heffner-Wong A., Tamanai A., 2005, *ApJ*, 623, 585
- Forteza S. B., Cortés T. R., García R. A., 2018, *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 614, A46
- Fox Machado L., Pérez Hernández F., Suárez J. C., Michel E., Lebreton Y., 2006, *A&A*, 446, 611
- Frandsen S., et al., 2001, *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 376, 175
- García Hernández A., et al., 2017, *MNRAS*, 471, L140
- García Hernández A., et al., 2009, *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, 506, 79
- García Hernández A., et al., 2013, *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, 559, A63
- García Hernández A., Martín-Ruiz S., Monteiro M. J. P. F. G., Suárez J. C., Reese D. R., Pascual-Granado J., Garrido R., 2015, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 811, L29
- Gilliland R. L., et al., 2010, *Publications of the Astronomical Society of the Pacific*, 122, 131
- Goldstein J., Townsend R. H. D., 2020, *ApJ*, 899, 116
- Goupil M. J., Dupret M. A., Samadi R., Boehm T., Alecian E., Suarez J. C., Lebreton Y., Catala C., 2005, *Journal of Astrophysics and Astronomy*, 26, 249
- Grec G., Fossat E., Pomerantz M., 1980, *Nature*, 288, 541
- Guo Z., Bedding T. R., Pamyatnykh A. A., Kurtz D. W., Li G., Gautam A., Murphy S. J., Aerts C., 2024, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 535, 2927
- Guzik J. A., 2021, *Frontiers in Astronomy and Space Sciences*, 8, 653558
- Handler G., Shobbrook R. R., 2002, *MNRAS*, 333, 251
- Hasanzadeh A., Safari H., Ghasemi H., 2021, *MNRAS*, 505, 1476
- Heger A., Langer N., 2000, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 544, 1016
- Heney L., Vardya M. S., Bodenheimer P., 1965, *ApJ*, 142, 841
- Hernandez M. M., Perez Hernandez F., Michel E., Belmonte J. A., Goupil M. J., Lebreton Y., 1998, *A&A*, 338, 511
- Houdek G., 2000, in Breger M., Montgomery M., eds, *Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series Vol. 210, Delta Scuti and Related Stars*. p. 454
- Iglesias C. A., Rogers F. J., 1993, *ApJ*, 412, 752
- Iglesias C. A., Rogers F. J., 1996, *ApJ*, 464, 943
- Jermyn A. S., Anders E. H., Lecoanet D., Cantiello M., 2022, *The Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series*, 262, 19
- Jermyn A. S., et al., 2023, *ApJS*, 265, 15
- Keen M. A., Bedding T. R., Murphy S. J., Schmid V. S., Aerts C., Tkachenko A., Ouazzani R. M., Kurtz D. W., 2015, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 454, 1792
- Kerr R., Kraus A. L., Murphy S. J., Krolikowski D. M., Offner S. S. R., Tofflemire B. M., Rizzuto A. C., 2022a, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 941, 49
- Kerr R., Kraus A. L., Murphy S. J., Krolikowski D. M., Bedding T. R., Rizzuto A. C., 2022b, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 941, 143
- Kippenhahn R., Thomas H. C., 1970, A Simple Method for the Solution of the Stellar Structure Equations Including Rotation and Tidal Forces. <https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1970stro.co11...20K>
- Kjeldsen H., Bedding T. R., 1994, Amplitudes of Stellar Oscillations: The Implications for Asteroseismology, doi:10.48550/arXiv.astro-ph/9403015, <http://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/9403015>
- Kjeldsen H., Bedding T. R., 1995, Amplitudes of stellar oscillations: the implications for asteroseismology., doi:10.48550/arXiv.astro-ph/9403015, <https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1995A&A...293...87K>
- Kraft R. P., 1967, *ApJ*, 150, 551
- Kurtz D. W., 2006, *Communications in Asteroseismology*, 147, 6
- Kurtz D., 2022, Asteroseismology across the HR diagram, doi:10.48550/arXiv.2201.11629, <http://arxiv.org/abs/2201.11629>
- Kurtz D. W., Saio H., Takata M., Shibahashi H., Murphy S. J., Sekii T., 2014, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 444, 102
- Ledoux P., 1951, *ApJ*, 114, 373
- Lee U., 1993, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 405, 359
- Lee U., Saio H., 1997, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 491, 839
- Lenz P., Pamyatnykh A. A., Zdravkov T., Breger M., 2010, *Astronomy &*

- Astrophysics*, 509, A90
- Li G., Van Reeth T., Bedding T. R., Murphy S. J., Antoci V., Ouazzani R.-M., Barbara N. H., 2020, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 491, 3586
- Linsky J. L., 1998, *Space Science Reviews*, 84, 285
- Lopes I., 2000, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 542, 1071
- Lovekin C. C., Guzik J. A., 2017, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 849, 38
- Lyttle A. J., et al., 2021, *MNRAS*, 505, 2427
- Maeder A., Meynet G., 2000, *ARA&A*, 38, 143
- Mathis S., Prat V., 2019, *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, 631, A26
- Meynet G., Maeder A., 1997, *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, 321, 465
- Michel E., et al., 2017, in *European Physical Journal Web of Conferences*. p. 03001 ([arXiv:1705.03721](https://arxiv.org/abs/1705.03721)), doi:10.1051/epjconf/201716003001
- Mirouh G. M., 2022, *Frontiers in Astronomy and Space Sciences*, 9
- Mirouh G. M., Angelou G. C., Reese D. R., Costa G., 2019, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society: Letters*, 483, L28
- Murphy S. J., Fossati L., Bedding T. R., Saio H., Kurtz D. W., Grassitelli L., Wang E. S., 2016, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 459, 1201
- Murphy S. J., Paunzen E., Bedding T. R., Walczak P., Huber D., 2020a, *MNRAS*, 495, 1888
- Murphy S. J., Saio H., Takada-Hidai M., Kurtz D. W., Shibahashi H., Takata M., Hey D. R., 2020b, *MNRAS*, 498, 4272
- Murphy S. J., Joyce M., Bedding T. R., White T. R., Kama M., 2021, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 502, 1633
- Murphy S. J., Bedding T. R., White T. R., Li Y., Hey D., Reese D., Joyce M., 2022, *MNRAS*, 511, 5718
- Murphy S. J., Bedding T. R., Gautam A., Joyce M., 2023, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, p. stad2849
- Murphy S. J., Bedding T. R., Gautam A., Kerr R. P., Mani P., 2024, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 534, 3022
- Niu J.-S., Xue H.-F., 2024, *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 682, L8
- Osaki Y., 1975, *PASJ*, 27, 237
- Ouazzani R.-M., Goupil M.-J., 2012, *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 542, A99
- Ouazzani R.-M., Roxburgh I. W., Dupret M.-A., 2015, *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 579, A116
- Pamyatnykh A. A., 2000, Pulsational instability domain of Delta Scuti variables, doi:10.48550/arXiv.astro-ph/0005276, <http://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/0005276>
- Paparó M., et al., 2013, *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 557, A27
- Paxton B., Bildsten L., Dotter A., Herwig F., Lesaffre P., Timmes F., 2011, *ApJS*, 192, 3
- Paxton B., et al., 2013, *ApJS*, 208, 4
- Paxton B., et al., 2015, *ApJS*, 220, 15
- Paxton B., et al., 2018, *ApJS*, 234, 34
- Paxton B., et al., 2019, *ApJS*, 243, 10
- Pedersen M. G., et al., 2021, *Nature Astronomy*, 5, 715
- Peterson D. M., et al., 2006, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 636, 1087
- Prat V., Mathis S., Lignières F., Ballot J., Culpin P. M., 2017, *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, 598, A105
- Preston G. W., 1974, *Annual Review of Astronomy and Astrophysics*, 12, 257
- Prialnik D., 2010, *An introduction to the theory of stellar structure and evolution*, 2nd edn. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge ; New York
- Pérez Hernández F., Claret A., Hernández M. M., Michel E., 1999, *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, 346, 586
- Reed M. D., Foster H., Teltng J. H., Østensen R. H., Farris L. H., Oreiro R., Baran A. S., 2014, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 440, 3809
- Reese D. R., 2022, *Frontiers in Astronomy and Space Sciences*, 9
- Reese D., Lignières F., Rieutord M., 2008, *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 481, 449
- Reese D. R., Lignières F., Ballot J., Dupret M.-A., Barban C., Veer-Menneret C. v., MacGregor K. B., 2017, *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 601, A130
- Reese D. R., Mirouh G. M., Espinosa Lara F., Rieutord M., Putigny B., 2021, *A&A*, 645, A46
- Rodríguez E., López-González M. J., 2000, *Astronomy and Astrophysics Supplement Series*, 144, 469
- Rodríguez-Martín J. E., Hernández A. G., Suárez J. C., Rodón J. R., 2020, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 498, 1700
- Royer F., Zorec J., Gómez A. E., 2007, *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, 463, 671
- Saio H., 1981, *ApJ*, 244, 299
- Saio H., Kurtz D. W., Takata M., Shibahashi H., Murphy S. J., Sekii T., Bedding T. R., 2015, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 447, 3264
- Scuflaire R., 1974, *A&A*, 36, 107
- Scutt O. J., Murphy S. J., Nielsen M. B., Davies G. R., Bedding T. R., Lyttle A. J., 2023, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 525, 5235–5244
- Smalley B., et al., 2017, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 465, 2662
- Soderblom D. R., 2010, *Annual Review of Astronomy and Astrophysics*, 48, 581
- Stahler S. W., Palla F., 2004, *The Formation of Stars*. <https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2004fost.book.....S>
- Stahler S. W., Shu F. H., Taam R. E., 1980, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 241, 637
- Steindl T., Zwintz K., Barnes T. G., Müllner M., Vorobyov E. I., 2021, *A&A*, 654, A36
- Steindl T., Zwintz K., Vorobyov E., 2022, *Nature Communications*, 13, 5355
- Stellingwerf R. F., 1979, *ApJ*, 227, 935
- Suárez J. C., García Hernández A., Moya A., Rodrigo C., Solano E., Garrido R., Rodón J. R., 2014, *A&A*, 563, A7
- Takata M., 2006a, *PASJ*, 58, 759
- Takata M., 2006b, *PASJ*, 58, 893
- Tassoul M., 1980, *ApJS*, 43, 469
- Théado S., Vauclair S., Cunha M. S., 2005, *A&A*, 443, 627
- Townsend R., 2003a, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 340, 1020
- Townsend R. H. D., 2003b, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 343, 125
- Townsend R., 2013, *Proceedings of the International Astronomical Union*, 9, 153
- Townsend R. H. D., Teitler S. A., 2013, *MNRAS*, 435, 3406
- Townsend R. H. D., Goldstein J., Zweibel E. G., 2018, *MNRAS*, 475, 879
- Uesugi A., Fukuda I., 1982, *VizieR Online Data Catalog*, 3063, III/63B
- Ulrich R. K., 1986, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 306, L37
- Unno W., Osaki Y., Ando H., Saio H., Shibahashi H., 1989, *Nonradial oscillations of stars*. <https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1989nos.book.....U>
- Uytterhoeven K., et al., 2011, *A&A*, 534, A125
- Verma K., Raodeo K., Basu S., Silva Aguirre V., Mazumdar A., Mosumgaard J. R., Lund M. N., Ranadive P., 2019, *MNRAS*, 483, 4678
- White T. R., et al., 2011a, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 742, L3
- White T. R., Bedding T. R., Stello D., Christensen-Dalsgaard J., Huber D., Kjeldsen H., 2011b, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 743, 161
- Winther M. L., Aguirre Børsen-Koch V., Rørsted J. L., Stokholm A., Verma K., 2023, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 525, 1416
- Zahn J. P., 1992, *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, 265, 115
- Zorec J., Royer F., 2012, *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 537, A120
- Zwintz K., et al., 2024, *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, 683, A49

This paper has been typeset from a $\text{\TeX}/\text{\LaTeX}$ file prepared by the author.